arXiv:math/0303165v1 [math.GR] 13 Mar 2003

ENGEL-LIKE IDENTITIES CHARACTERIZING FINITE SOLVABLE GROUPS

TATIANA BANDMAN, GERT-MARTIN GREUEL, FRITZ GRUNEWALD,
BORIS KUNYAVSKIĬ, GERHARD PFISTER, AND EUGENE PLOTKIN

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Statement of the problem and main results 1
1.2. Analogues, problems, and generalizations 2
1.3. Related graphs 4
2. General strategy 6
2.1. First screening 6
2.2. Algebraic-geometric view 7
2.3. PSL(2) case 7
2.4. Suzuki case 8
3. PSL(2) case: details 9
4. Suzuki case: details 18
4.1. Universal model V 18
4.2. Geometric structure of V 23
4.3. Trace formula 32
4.4. Estimates of Betti numbers 35
4.5. Small fields 43
5. Appendix 46
5.1. Variations of proofs 46
5.2. A variant of Zorn's theorem 54
5.3. Profinite setting 55
References 60

Date: February 1, 2008.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement of the problem and main results.** The starting point for this research is the following classical fact: the class of finite nilpotent groups is characterized by Engel identities. To be more precise, Zorn's theorem [Zo] says that a finite group G is nilpotent if and only if it satisfies one of the identities $e_n(x,y) = [y,x,x,\dots,x] = 1$ (here $[x,y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$, $[y,x,x] = [[y,x],x]$, etc.).

Our goal is to characterize the class of finite solvable groups by two-variable identities in a similar way. More precisely, a sequence of words $u_1,\dots,u_n,\dots$ is called correct if $u_k \equiv 1$ in a group G implies $u_m \equiv 1$ in a group G for all $m > k$. We are looking for an explicit correct sequence of words $u_1(x,y),\dots,u_n(x,y),\dots$ such that a group G is solvable if and only if for some n the word u_n is an identity in G.

B. Plotkin suggested some Engel-like identities which could characterize finite solvable groups (see [PPT], [GKNP]). In the present paper we establish B. Plotkin's conjecture (in a slightly modified form).

Let w denote a word in x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}, and let ${}^w u_n(x,y)$ be an infinite sequence defined by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} {}^w u_1 &= w, \\ {}^w u_{n+1} &= [x\,{}^w u_n\,x^{-1}, y\,{}^w u_n\,y^{-1}],\dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists w such that a finite group G is solvable if and only if for some n the identity ${}^w u_n(x,y) \equiv 1$ holds in G.*

In fact, we exhibit an explicit initial term $w = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$ for which the statement of the theorem holds. Note two obvious properties of w: 1) if a group G satisfies the identity $w \equiv 1$, then $G = \{1\}$; 2) the words w and x generate the free group $F = \langle x,y\rangle$. Thus w can be used also as the initial term of a sequence characterizing finite nilpotent groups, see Proposition 5.13 below.

Remark 1.2. We believe that in the statement of Theorem 1.1 the initial word w can be chosen in the most natural way: $w = [x,y]$, as in the nilpotent case.

A theorem of J. Thompson ([Th], [Fl]) states that if G is a finite group in which every two elements generate a solvable subgroup then G is solvable. As mentioned in [BW], together with [Br, Satz 2.12] this implies that finite solvable groups can be characterized by a countable set of two-variable identities. (Note that this fact also follows from Lemma 16.1 and Theorem 16.21 from [Ne] saying that an n-generator group G belongs to a variety V if and only if all n-variable identities from V are fulfilled in G.) However, this does not provide explicit two-variable identities for finite solvable groups. Furthermore, in the above cited paper R. Brandl and J. S. Wilson construct a countable set of words $w_n(x,y)$ with the property that a finite group G is solvable if and only if for almost all n the identity $w_n(x,y) \equiv 1$ holds in G. Since in their construction there is no easily described relationship between terms of $w_n(x,y)$, they raise the question whether one

can characterize finite solvable groups by **sequences** of identities fitting into a simple recursive definition.

Recently A. Lubotzky proved that for any integer $d \geq 2$ the free prosolvable group $\hat{F}_d(S)$ can be defined by a *single* profinite relation [Lu, Prop. 3.4]. Using this proposition and Thompson's theorem, one can derive the existence of a needed sequence of identities characterizing finite solvable groups (Lubotzky's result does not give, however, any candidate for such a sequence).

The sequence constructed in our Theorem 1.1 answers the question of Brandl–Wilson and fits very well into profinite setting (see Subsection 5.3).

One can mention here some more cases where certain interesting classes of finite groups were characterized by two-variable commutator identities [Br], [BP], [BN], [Gu], [GH], [Ni1], [Ni2]; see [GKNP] or the above cited papers for more details.

Although Theorem 1.1 is a purely group-theoretic result, its proof is surprisingly diverse involving a good bunch of algebraic geometry and computer algebra (note, however, a paper of Bombieri [Bo] which served for us as an inspiring example of such an approach). We want to emphasize a special role played by problem oriented software (particularly, the packages SINGULAR and MAGMA): not only proofs but even precise statements of our results would hardly be possible without extensive computer experiments.

We shall outline the general strategy of the proof in Section 2 and give the details in Sections 3 and 4. Before that we present some digressions related to the main theorem (motivations, possible applications, open problems, etc.)

1.2. **Analogues, problems, and generalizations.** Theorem 1.1 admits some natural analogues in Lie-algebraic and group-schematic settings [GKNP]. In particular, the following analogue of the classical Engel theorem on nilpotent Lie algebras is true.

Theorem 1.3. [GKNP] *Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra defined over an infinite field k of characteristic different from 2, 3, 5. Define*

$$v_1 = [x, y], \quad v_{n+1} = [[v_n, x], [v_n, y]] \quad (n > 1). \tag{1.2}$$

Then L is solvable if and only if for some n one of the identities $v_n(x, y) \equiv 0$ holds in L.

(Here [,] are Lie brackets.)

A much more challenging question is related to the infinite-dimensional case. Namely, the remarkable Kostrikin–Zelmanov theorem on locally nilpotent Lie algebras [Ko], [Ze2], [Ze3] and Zelmanov's theorem [Ze1] lead to the following

Problem 1.4. Suppose that L is a Lie algebra over a field k, the v_n's are defined by formulas (1.2), and there is n such that the identity $v_n(x, y) \equiv 0$ holds in L. Is it true that L is locally solvable? If k is of characteristic 0, is it true that L is solvable?

(A property is said to hold locally if it holds for all finitely generated Lie subalgebras.)

Of course, it would be of significant interest to consider similar questions for arbitrary groups.

We call G an *Engel* group if there is an integer n such that the Engel identity $e_n(x,y) \equiv 1$ holds in G.

We call G an *unbounded Engel* group if for every $x, y \in G$ there is an integer $n = n(x,y)$ such that $e_n(x,y) = 1$.

We introduce the following

Definition 1.5. We call G a *w-quasi-Engel* group if there is an integer n such that the identity ${}^{w}u_n(x,y) \equiv 1$ holds in G.

Definition 1.6. We call G an *unbounded w-quasi-Engel* group if for every $x, y \in G$ there is an integer $n = n(x,y)$ such that ${}^{w}u_n(x,y) = 1$.

Here and throughout below we assume that the initial word w is chosen so that Theorem 1.1 holds, and fix such a w. Thus we shall drop w in the above definitions and use the terms 'quasi-Engel group', 'unbounded quasi-Engel group'.

Problem 1.7. Is every Engel group locally nilpotent?

Problem 1.8. Is every quasi-Engel group locally solvable?

(A property is said to hold locally if it holds for all finitely generated subgroups.)

Problem 1.7 remains open for a long time, cf. [Plo3]. The answer in general is most likely negative, however some positive results are known [BM], [Gr], [Plo1], [Plo2], [Wi], [WZ], etc. In the solvable case the situation is even less clear. We dare to state the following

Conjecture 1.9. *Every residually finite, quasi-Engel group is locally solvable.*

(A group is said to be residually finite if the intersection of all its normal subgroups of finite index is trivial.)

For profinite groups the situation looks more promising.

Theorem 1.10. [WZ, Th. 5] *Every profinite, unbounded Engel group is locally nilpotent.*

Conjecture 1.11. *Every profinite, unbounded quasi-Engel group is locally solvable.*

It is quite natural to consider restricted versions of Problems 1.7 and 1.8 like it is considered for the Burnside problem. Let E_n be the Engel variety defined by the identity $e_n \equiv 1$. Let $F = F_{k,n}$ be the free group with k generators in the variety E_n. One can prove that the intersection of all conilpotent normal subgroups H_α in F is also conilpotent. Hence there exists a group $F^0_{n,k}$ in E_n such that every nilpotent group $G \in E_n$ with k generators is a homomorphic image of $F^0_{n,k}$. This implies that all locally nilpotent groups from E_n form a variety. In other words, the restricted Engel problem has a positive solution. The situation with the restricted quasi-Engel problem is unclear.

Problem 1.12. Let $F = F_{k,n}$ be the free group with k generators in the variety of all quasi-Engel groups with fixed n. Is it true that the intersection of all cosolvable normal subgroups in $F = F_{k,n}$ is also cosolvable?

Our main theorem can be reformulated in profinite terms.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $F = F(x, y)$ denote the free group in two variables, and let $\widehat{F}$ be its profinite completion. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m, \ldots$ be any convergent subsequence of (1.1) with limit f from $\widehat{F}$. Then the identity $f \equiv 1$ defines the profinite variety of prosolvable groups.*

(See Section 5.3 for more details.)

It would be of great interest to consider the restricted quasi-Engel problem for profinite groups.

Remark 1.14. There is no sense in generalizing Conjecture 1.11 too far: from the Golod–Shafarevich counterexamples one can deduce an example of an unbounded quasi-Engel group which is not locally nilpotent (and hence not locally solvable). We thank B. Plotkin for this observation.

Note that our results can be viewed as a natural development of the classical Thompson–Flavell theorem. Indeed, the main Theorem 1.1 immediately implies that a finite group G is solvable if and only if every two-generated subgroup is solvable [Th], [Fl].

See Corollary 5.28 for the profinite setting.

Finally, consider an interesting particular case of linear groups.

Corollary 1.15. *Suppose that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ where K is a field. Then G is solvable if and only if it is quasi-Engel.*

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious. The "if" part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Platonov's theorem [Pla] stating that every linear group over a field satisfying a non-trivial identity has a solvable subgroup of finite index. (Of course, if K is of characteristic zero, the assertion follows from the Tits alternative [Ti].) □

1.3. **Related graphs.** Let us return to finite groups. Recall that to every finite group G one can attach its commuting graph $\Gamma(G)$ with vertices the non-identity elements of G and edges joining commuting elements. This graph plays a crucial role in recent works on the group structure of the group of rational points $H(k)$ of a simple linear algebraic group H defined over a number field k (to be more precise, in establishing important cases of the Margulis–Platonov conjecture on projective simplicity of $H(k)$ [Se], [SS], and of the Prasad–Rapinchuk conjecture on solvability of the finite quotients of $H(k)$ [RSS]).

Zorn's theorem and our Theorem 1.1 allow one to define the nilpotency graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{nil}}(G)$ and the solvability graph $\Gamma_{\mathrm{sol}}(G)$ in a similar way: the vertices are the non-identity elements of G and two vertices x, y are joined by an edge if for some n we have $e_n(x, y) = 1$ (resp. $u_n(x, y) = 1$); see [GKNP]. We believe that these graphs may provide an additional helpful tool in studying properties of arithmetic groups.

Acknowledgements. Bandman, Kunyavskiĭ, and Plotkin were partially supported by the Ministry of Absorption (Israel), the Israeli Science Foundation founded by the Israeli Academy of Sciences — Center of Excellence Program, and the Minerva Foundation through the Emmy Noether Research Institute of Mathematics. Kunyavskiĭ and Plotkin were also supported by the RTN network HPRN-CT-2002-00287 and INTAS 00-566.

We are grateful to N. Gordeev, D. Grayson, L. Illusie, A. Lubotzky, A. Mann, S. Margolis, R. Pink, L. Rowen, Y. Segev, J.-P. Serre, Y. Varshavsky, and N. Vavilov for useful comments and advise. We thank D. Nikolova and R. Shklyar for help in computer experiments. Our special thanks go to B. Plotkin for numerous enlightening, encouraging, and inspiring discussions.

Convention. Throughout below we assume that $w = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$ is chosen as the initial term of the sequence ${}^w u_n$ and shorten ${}^w u_i$ to u_i.

Notation. Because of extensive use of the SINGULAR package our notation sometimes differs from the standard one: say, in the output of computer sessions, powers like a^{12} are denoted as `a12` . We refer the reader to [GP3], [GP4], [GPS] for definitions of SINGULAR commands and their usage, and to [Bu], [GP1]–[GP3] for details on Gröbner bases.

All other notation is more or less standard.

Rings and fields: All rings are assumed commutative with 1; $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the ring of integers, the field of rational numbers, the field of q elements, respectively. $\overline{k}$ denotes a (fixed) algebraic closure of a field k.

Ideals and varieties: If I is an ideal in R and $i\colon R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism, IS stands for the image of I under i. The ideal generated by $f_1, \dots f_k$ is denoted $\langle f_1, \dots, f_k\rangle$.

For $f \in R$ we denote $I : f^\infty = \cup_{n=1}^\infty I : f^n$. If R is noetherian, the chain of ideals $I : f \subseteq I : f^2 \subseteq \dots$ stabilizes, and we have $I : f^\infty = I : f^n$ for some n.

$\mathbb{A}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^n$ denote affine and projective spaces. $\overline{C}$ denotes the projective closure of an affine set $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, and I_h stands for the homogenization of an ideal I. $\mathbf{V}(J)$ denotes the affine variety defined as the set of common zeros of the functions from an ideal J. If $\mathbf{V}(J) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, we denote $\mathbf{D}(J) = \mathbb{A}^n \smallsetminus \mathbf{V}(J)$. We shorten $\mathbf{V}(\langle f_1, \dots, f_k\rangle)$ to $\mathbf{V}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$, and $\mathbf{D}(\langle f_1, \dots, f_k\rangle)$ to $\mathbf{D}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. We denote by $V(k)$ the set of rational points of a k-variety V.

$\chi(V)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of (the tangent bundle of) a variety V.

If C is a projective curve (maybe singular), $p_a(C)$ is the arithmetic genus of C, and $g(C)$ denotes the arithmetic genus of the normalization of C.

Groups: $\mathrm{PSL}(n, q)$ denotes the projective special linear group of degree n over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For $q = 2^m$ we denote by $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ the Suzuki group (the twisted form of ${}^2B_2$, see [HB]).

All other notation will be explained when needed.

2. General strategy

To prove Theorem 1.1, we proceed as follows (cf. [GKNP]). We derive Theorem 1.1 from the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be one of the following groups:*

(1) $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ ($p=5$ or $p=\pm 2 \pmod 5, p\neq 3$),
(2) $\mathrm{PSL}(2,2^p)$,
(3) $\mathrm{PSL}(2,3^p)$ (p odd),
(4) $\mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$,
(5) $\mathrm{Sz}(2^p)$ (p odd).

Then there exists a word w in x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}, independent of G, such that none of the identities $u_n(x,y)\equiv 1$ holds in G.

Proposition 2.2. *Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. First note that the "only if" part of the statement of Theorem 1.1 is obvious. Indeed, if G is solvable of class n then the identity $u_n\equiv 1$ holds in G for any w since the value $u_n(x,y)$ belongs to the corresponding term of the derived series. Thus we only have to prove that the "if" part of the statement of Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 2.1. So let us assume that Theorem 2.1 holds, take w as in its statement, and suppose that there exists a non-solvable finite group in which the identity $u_n\equiv 1$ holds. Denote by G a minimal counter-example, i.e. a finite non-solvable group of the smallest order with identity $u_n\equiv 1$. Such G must be simple. Indeed, if H is a proper normal subgroup of G, then both H and G/H are solvable (because any identity remains true in the subgroups and the quotients). But the list of groups in Theorem 2.1 is none other than the list of finite simple groups all of whose subgroups are solvable [Th]. Thus for any G from this list the identity $u_n\equiv 1$ does not hold in G, contradiction. □

To prove Theorem 2.1, it is enough to find a word w and integers i and j such that the equation

$$u_i(x,y)=u_j(x,y) \tag{2.1}$$

has a non-trivial solution in every G from the above list (non-trivial means that $u_i(x,y)\neq 1$). In the next sections we explain how this can be done.

2.1. **First screening.** A possible attempt to find numerical evidence in support of the main theorem could be as follows: pick up a word w (say, take $w=[x,y]$, as in the classical Engel sequence), and consider equation (2.1) for small i,j in each group G from the list of Theorem 2.1. Let us focus on the case $G=\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$, and consider $1\leq i,j\leq 4$. Computer experiments (with the help of MAPLE) immediately show arising difficulties. Although the number of solutions to the above equations has a tendency to grow with growth of p, for each pair i,j there is p such that equation (2.1) has no non-trivial solutions in $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$.

Here is a way out (cf. Proposition 5.13): we vary the initial word of the sequence. For simplicity, we limit ourselves to the equation

$$u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y). \tag{2.2}$$

The result may seem unexpected enough: there are certain words (less than 0.1% of the total number of words of given length) such that equation (2.2) has a non-trivial solution for all $p < 1000$; moreover, for such initial words the rate of growth of the number of solutions is significantly higher than for others (the shortest words of this type are 1) $w = x^{-1}yxy^{-1}x$; 2) $w = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$; 3) $w = y^{-2}x^{-1}y$).

This purely experimental numerical phenomenon allows us to reveal even deeper properties of the equations under consideration. These properties are of algebraic-geometric nature and are of key importance for further investigation.

2.2. **Algebraic-geometric view.** The general idea can be described as follows. For a group G in the list of Theorem 2.1, we fix its standard linear representation (over the corresponding finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$). Then the equation $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$ can be viewed as a matrix equation. To be more precise, we regard the entries of the matrices corresponding to x and y in this representation as variables, and thus the above matrix equation becomes a system of polynomial equations defining an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Our goal is to apply to this variety estimates of Lang–Weil type which guarantee the existence of a solution for q big enough (see [LW]). Small values of q are checked case by case.

Here is how this strategy looks like in our setting.

2.3. PSL(2) **case.** As mentioned at the end of Subsection 2.1, our experimental data can only be explained by some algebraic-geometric phenomena. So, following the general strategy described above, we fix an initial word w and represent equation (2.2) as an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

We restrict ourselves to looking for solutions among the matrices x, y of the special form:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} t & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 1+bc \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then study the arising variety $C_w \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ (with affine coordinates b, c, t), defined by the matrix equation $u_1 = u_2$, with the help of SINGULAR package. The first striking observation is the following *dimension jump*: there are four initial words w among about 10000 shortest ones such that the dimension of C_w is one (and not zero as one might expect and as it occurs for most words w). Here are these four words: $w_1 = x^{-1}yxy^{-1}x, w_2 = x^{-2}y^{-1}x, w_3 = y^{-2}x^{-1}y, w_4 = xy^{-2}x^{-1}yx^{-1}$. Note that if $w = w_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, any solution to $u_1 = u_2$ is automatically non-trivial, thus we only consider these three words (cf. hypothesis 1 of Proposition 5.13).

For these "good" words, we proceed as follows. As explained above, the main idea is to apply the Lang–Weil bound for the number of rational points on a variety defined over a finite field. It turns out that in the $PSL(2)$ case for our purposes it is enough to use the classical Hasse–Weil bound (in a slightly modified form adapted for singular curves, cf. [FJ, Th. 3.14], [AP], [LY]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let C be an absolutely irreducible projective algebraic curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $N_q = \#C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the number of its rational points. Then $|N_q - (q+1)| \le 2p_a\sqrt{q}$, where p_a stands for the arithmetic genus of C (in particular, if C is a plane curve of degree d, $p_a = (d-1)(d-2)/2$).*

In fact, we need an affine version of the lower estimate of Lemma 2.3 (cf. [FJ, Th. 4.9, Cor. 4.10]) based on the fact that the affine curve C has at most $\deg(\overline{C})$ rational points less than the projective closure $\overline{C}$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible affine curve defined over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ the projective closure. Then the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of C is at least $q+1-2p_a\sqrt{q}-d$ where d is the degree and p_a the arithmetic genus of $\overline{C}$.*

To apply Lemma 2.3 (or Corollary 2.4) we have to compute the arithmetic genus of the curve C_w (or the degree of some plane projection of C_w) and to prove that the curve is absolutely irreducible.

The case $G = \mathrm{PSL}(3,3)$ is easily settled by full search. For example, for $w = w_2$ we find a solution to $u_1 = u_2$ given by the images in G of the following matrices:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.4. **Suzuki case.** The last remaining case $G = \mathrm{Sz}(q)$ is the most complicated one, particularly from the computational side. Large group orders require heavy computations (we used MAGMA for the group-theoretic part and SINGULAR for the algebraic-geometric one). Moreover, there are even deeper reasons making the Suzuki case especially difficult. Although both $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ and $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ are groups of Lie type of rank 1, and their algebraic structure is very similar, geometric properties of equations under consideration are significantly different. Namely, in the $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ case the algebraic variety given by equation (2.2) is in fact defined over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, and the corresponding variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is obtained by reducing modulo p; in particular, the degree is the same for all p, and we thus are able to apply the Lang–Weil estimates for p big enough.

In the Suzuki case the situation is quite different. The group $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ is defined with the help of a Frobenius-like automorphism, and hence the standard matrix representation for $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ (see below) contains entries depending on q. Therefore, the degree of the resulting variety $u_1 = u_2$ depends on q (and grows with growing q) which prevents from direct application of the Lang–Weil estimates. Fortunately, there is a way out described below.

Our strategy is essentially the same: we start with screening for "good" initial words w such that the equation $u_1 = u_2$ has a solution in $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ for $q = 8, 32, 128, \ldots$, and the number of solutions grows with growth of q (this last condition should be emphasized because it gives hope for using algebraic-geometric machinery). To be more precise, we use the standard embedding of $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ into $\mathrm{GL}(4,q)$ (see [HB]) and look for solutions among the matrices of the special form:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a^{2+\theta}+ab+b^{\theta} & b & a & 1 \\ a^{1+\theta}+b & a^{\theta} & 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} c^{2+\theta}+cd+d^{\theta} & d & c & 1 \\ c^{1+\theta}+d & c^{\theta} & 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $q = 2^n$, n odd, and θ stands for the automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\theta^2 = 2$. The following amazing fact is crucial for us: "good" initial words w are those for which the $\mathbb{F}_q$-variety V_n, corresponding to the equation $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$ with x, y chosen as above, is, in a certain sense, θ-invariant. To be more precise, if w is a "good" word, we can construct a "universal" model V for all varieties V_n in the following sense: V is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-variety carrying an operator $\alpha\colon V \to V$ such that fixed points of α^n correspond to rational points of V_n (note that a similar operator appears in [DL, Section 11]). We are thus reduced to the proof of the existence of a fixed point of α^n for every odd n. To prove that, we use a Lefschetz trace formula resulting from Deligne's conjecture (established by Pink and Fujiwara) in order to get an estimate of Lang–Weil type for the number of fixed points (see Section 4 for more details). These estimates guarantee the existence of a solution for q big enough (small values of q are checked directly). Thus our final step here is another screening for initial words satisfying the above invariance condition. Luckily enough, among these words we find the word $w_2 = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$ which is also good for the PSL(2) case and for the PSL(3, 3) case. This establishes Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.5. We have no conceptual explanation of the computational phenomenon of "good" words (which yield the dimension jump and, in the Suzuki case, additional symmetries). Here is another related observation: "good" initial words w correspond to "deep minima" of the length function

$$l(n) = \min length(w(x,y) \cdot [u_2(x,y)]^{-1}).$$

where minimum is taken over all words $w(x, y)$ of length n.

Remark 2.6. Note that the word $w = w_2 = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.13 and thus this proposition is true with w_2 chosen as the initial term of the Engel–like sequence. Note also that with $u_1 = w_2$ we have $u_1 = 1$ if and only if $y = x^{-1}$. Hence, for $w = w_2$, $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$ has a non–trivial solution if and only if it has a solution with $y \neq x^{-1}$.

3. PSL(2) case: details

We shall prove in this section:

Proposition 3.1. *If $q = p^k$ for a prime p and $q \neq 2, 3$, then there are x, y in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ with $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$.*

The proof will use some explicit computations with the following matrices. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and define

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y(b,c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 1+bc \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,R)$$

for $t, b, c \in R$.

Remark 3.2.

(1) We have

$$x(t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y(b,c)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+bc & -b \\ -c & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for t, b, c.

(2) For any $t, b, c \in R$ we have $y(b,c) \neq x(t)^{-1}$, even for the images of $x(t)$ and $y(b,c)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}(2,R)$.

(3) The equation $u_1 = u_2$ is equivalent to $x^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}x^2 = yx^{-2}y^{-1}xy^{-1}$; for $t, b, c \in R$ we put $x = x(t)$, $y = y(b,c)$, and write

$$x^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}x^2 - yx^{-2}y^{-1}xy^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1(t,b,c) & n_2(t,b,c) \\ n_3(t,b,c) & n_4(t,b,c) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $I = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[b,c,t]$ be the ideal generated by the entries of the matrix.

Using SINGULAR we can obtain I as follows:[1]

```
LIB"linalg.lib";  option(redSB);
ring R = 0,(c,b,t),(c,lp);
matrix X[2][2] = t, -1,
                 1,  0;
matrix Y[2][2] = 1, b,
                 c, 1+bc;
matrix iX = inverse(X);     matrix iY = inverse(Y);
matrix M=iX*Y*iX*iY*X*X-Y*iX*iX*iY*X*iY;  ideal I=flatten(M);   I;
I[1]=c2b3t2+c2b2t3-c2b2t2+c2b2t+c2b2-c2bt3+2c2bt2+c2bt-c2t2+c2t+c2-cb3t
     +cb2t2+cb2t+cbt3-cbt2+cbt+2cb-ct3+ct2+2ct+c-b2t+bt+1
I[2]=c2b2t+c2bt2+c2t-cb3t2-cb2t3-cb2t-cb2-2cbt2+cbt+ct2-ct-c+b3t-bt-b-1
I[3]=c3b3t2+c3b2t3+c3b2t+2c3bt2+c3t-c2b3t-c2b2t3+2c2b2t2+c2b2t-c2bt4
     +2c2bt3+c2bt2+c2bt-c2t3+2c2t2+c2t+2cb2t2-2cb2t-cb2+cbt2+cbt+cb-ct4
     +ct3+3ct2-c-b2t+bt2-bt-b+1
I[4]=-c2b3t2-c2b2t3+c2b2t2-c2b2t+c2bt3-2c2bt2+c2t2-c2t+cb3t-cb2t2-2cb2t
     -cbt3-cbt+ct3-ct2-2ct+b2t+b2-bt-b-t+1
```

Denote by C the $\mathbb{F}_q$-variety defined by the ideal $I\mathbb{F}_q[b,c,t]$.

To prove Proposition 3.1, it is enough to prove

[1] A file with all SINGULAR computations can be found at `http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~pfister/SolubleGroups`.

Proposition 3.3. *Let q be as in Proposition 3.1, then the set $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of rational points of C is not empty.*

The proof is based on the Hasse–Weil estimate (see Corollary 2.4).

Note that the Hilbert function of $\overline{C}$, $H(t) = dt - p_a + 1$, can be computed from the homogeneous ideal I_h of $\overline{C}$, hence we can compute d and p_a without any knowledge about the singularities of $\overline{C}$. The ideal I_h can be computed by homogenising the elements of a Gröbner basis of I with respect to a degree ordering (cf. [GP3]).

In the following let $q = p^k$ be an arbitrary, fixed prime power and L the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. To apply Corollary 2.4, we have to prove

Proposition 3.4. *$IL[b, c, t]$ is a prime ideal.*

We start with the following

Lemma 3.5. *The following polynomials form a Gröbner basis of $IL[c, b, t]$ with respect to the lexicographical ordering $c > b > t$,*

```
J[1]=(t2)*b4+(-t4+2t3)*b3+(-t5+3t4-2t3+2t+1)*b2+(t5-4t4+3t3+2t2)*b
      +(t4-4t3+2t2+4t+1)
J[2]=(t3-2t2-t)*c+(t2)*b3+(-t4+2t3)*b2+(-t5+3t4-2t3+2t+1)*b+(t5-4t4+3t3+2t2)
J[3]=(t)*cb+(-t2+2t+1)
J[4]=cb2+(-t2+2t+1)*c+(-t)*b3+(t3-2t2)*b2+(t4-3t3+2t2-t)*b+(-t4+4t3-3t2-2t)
J[5]=(t)*c2-cb+(t)*c+(-t2)*b3+(t4-2t3+t)*b2+(t5-3t4+t3+2t2-2t-1)*b
      +(-t5+3t4-4t2+t)
```

Proof. The Gröbner basis can be computed in SINGULAR as follows (in characteristic 0):

```
ideal J=std(I);
```

We want to verify "by hand" that J is indeed a Gröbner basis for each q. Indeed, given some intermediate data obtained with the help of a computer, the truth of the lemma can be verified without computer. We first show that I and J generate the same ideal.

```
matrix M=lift(I,J); M;

M[1,1]=b2t4+2bt3-t4-t3+3t2+2t            M[1,2]=bt4-t4+2t3+t2+t
M[1,3]=t M[1,4]=-bt3+t3-2t2-t
M[1,5]=-cb2t3-cbt4-ct3+ct+b2t2-bt4-bt+t6-t5-t4+t3-2t2-t
M[2,1]=-cbt5+cbt4+2cbt3-cbt2-cbt-ct4+ct2+ct-bt3+bt-t5+t4+2t3-2t2-2t
M[2,2]=cbt4-cbt2-cbt+ct2+ct+t4-t3-t2
M[2,3]=-cbt-t M[2,4]=-cbt3+2cbt-ct-t3+t2+2t-1
M[2,5]=-cbt4+2cbt2-cbt+ct5-2ct4+ct3+ct2-3ct-bt+t5-3t4+t3+3t2-3t+1
M[3,1]=bt4-bt3-2bt2+bt+b+t3-t-1             M[3,2]=-bt3+bt+b-t-1
M[3,3]=b M[3,4]=bt2-2b+1
M[3,5]=bt5-2bt4+bt3+2bt2-3bt+b-t5+2t4-2t2+3t
M[4,1]=cbt4-cbt3-2cbt2+cbt+cb+ct3-ct-c+b2t4+bt5-bt4+2bt2+bt+t4-2t3+3t+2
```

```
M[4,2]=-cbt3+cbt+cb-ct-c+bt2+bt-t4+t3+t2+t+1
M[4,3]=cb+bt+t+1 M[4,4]=cbt2-2cb+c-2bt+t3-t2-t-2
M[4,5]=-cb2t3+cbt5-3cbt4+cbt3+2cbt2-4cbt+cb-ct3-ct2+2ct+c+b2t2-bt2+t5-t4-3t+1
```

This implies that over $\mathbb{Z}$ and, hence, over each $\mathbb{F}_q$

$$J[k] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{4} M[\ell, k] \cdot I[\ell]\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, 5.$$

```
matrix N= lift(J,I); N;

N[1,1]=-cb+c-1 N[1,2]=b  N[1,3]=-c2b-1  N[1,4]=cb-c+b+t N[2,1]=cb2-cb+b-1
N[2,2]=-b2+1       N[2,3]=c2b2+c+b-1          N[2,4]=   -cb2+cb-b2-bt+t-1
N[3,1]=cb2t+cbt+2cb+bt+t+2                    N[3,2]=cb+ct-b2t-2bt-2b-t-1
N[3,3]=c2b2t+2c2bt+2c2b+2c2t-cb2+ct-c+bt-b+t+2
N[3,4]=-cb2t-cbt-2cb+c+b2-bt-2b-3t+1            N[4,1]=c       N[4,2]= -1
N[4,3]=0  N[4,4]=0       N[5,1]=-1  N[5,2]=1    N[5,3]=c-1     N[5,4]=t-1
```

In the same way this implies that

$$I[k] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{5} N[\ell, k] \cdot J[\ell]\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, 4.$$

We proved that the polynomials $J[1], \ldots, J[5]$ generate the ideal I.

To show that $J[1], \ldots, J[5]$ is a Gröbner basis, we use Buchberger's criterion (cf. [GP3], Theorem 1.7.3). To see this for any q, we can use the same trick as above. Let $s = \mathrm{spoly}(J[i], J[j])$, $i < j$, the s–polynomial of $J[i]$ and $J[j]$. We have to show that the normal form of s with respect to $J[1], \ldots, J[5]$ is 0. We apply `lift(s,J);` in SINGULAR and use the result to check by hand that s is a linear combination of $J[1], \ldots, J[5]$ in all characteristics. As this is similar to above, we dispense with the output. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let*

$$f_1 = t^2b^4 - t^3(t-2)b^3 + (-t^5 + 3t^4 - 2t^3 + 2t + 1)b^2 + t^2(t^2 - 2t - 1)(t-2)b + (t^2 - 2t - 1)^2$$

$$f_2 = t(t^2 - 2t - 1)c + t^2b^3 + (-t^4 + 2t^3)b^2 + (-t^5 + 3t^4 - 2t^3 + 2t + 1)b + (t^5 - 4t^4 + 3t^3 + 2t^2)\,,$$

$$h = t(t^2 - 2t - 1)\,.$$

Then the following holds for any prime power q.

(1) $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $IL(t)[b, c]$ with respect to the lexicographical ordering $c > b$;

(2) $I : h = I$;

(3) $IL(t)[b, c] \cap L[t, b, c] = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle : h^2 = I$.

Proof. Because J is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to the lexicographical ordering $c > b > t$, J is a Gröbner basis of $IL(t)[b, c]$ with respect to the lexicographical ordering $c > b$ (cf. [GP3], Chapter 4.3). But $J[1] = f_1$ and $J[2] = f_2$ and, considered in $IL(t)[b, c]$,

the leading monomials of f_1 and f_2 generate already the leading ideal of $IL(t)[b,c]$. This shows (1).

(3) is a consequence of (2) because $IL(t)[b,c] \cap L[t,b,c] = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle : h^\infty$, see [GP3, Prop. 4.3.1], and $h^2 I \subset \langle f_1, f_2\rangle$ that we shall see now.

```
M=lift(ideal(J[1],J[2]),h^2*I); M;

M[1,1]=(-t5+2t4+t3)*cb2+(-t6+3t5-2t4+3t2+t)*cb+(t6-4t5+2t4+4t3+t2)*c
       +(-t3)*b2+(t3)*b+(t2)
M[1,2]=(-t4+2t3+t2)*cb+(-t5+2t4+t3)*c+(t5-2t4)*b2+(t6-3t5+2t4-t3-3t2-t)*b
       +(-t6+4t5-3t4-2t3-t2)
M[1,3]=(-t5+2t4+t3)*c2b2+(-t6+2t5+2t3+t2)*c2b+(-2t5+4t4+2t3)*c2+(t4-t3-t2)*cb2
       +(-t5+2t4)*cb+(-t6+2t5+t4-t2)*c+(-t3)*b2+(t4-t3-t2)*b+(t2)
M[1,4]=(t5-2t4-t3)*cb2+(t6-3t5+2t4-t3-t2)*cb+(-t6+4t5-3t4-2t3)*c+(t3+t2)*b2
       +(-t3-t2)*b+(-t3+t2)
M[2,1]=(t5-2t4-t3)*cb3+(t6-3t5+2t4-3t2-t)*cb2+(-t6+4t5-2t4-4t3-t2)*cb
       +(-t5+3t4-3t2-t)*c+(t3)*b3+(-t3)*b2+(-t2)*b
M[2,2]=(t4-2t3-t2)*cb2+(t5-2t4-t3)*cb+(t4-2t3-t2)*c+(-t5+2t4)*b3
       +(-t6+3t5-2t4+t3+3t2+t)*b2+(t6-4t5+3t4+2t3+t2)*b+(t5-4t4+2t3+4t2+t)
M[2,3]=(t5-2t4-t3)*c2b3+(t6-2t5-2t3-t2)*c2b2+(2t5-4t4-2t3)*c2b+(t4-2t3-t2)*c2
       +(-t4+t3+t2)*cb3+(t5-2t4)*cb2+(t6-2t5-t4+t2)*cb+(-t4+2t3+t2)*c
       +(t3)*b3+(-t4+t3+t2)*b2+(-t2)*b
M[2,4]=(-t5+2t4+t3)*cb3+(-t6+3t5-2t4+t3+t2)*cb2+(t6-4t5+3t4+2t3)*cb+(t5-3t4+t3
       +t2)*c+(-t3-t2)*b3+(t3+t2)*b2+(t3-t2)*b
```

This implies

$$h^2 \cdot n_i = M[1,i] \cdot f_1 + M[2,i] \cdot f_2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4.$$

To prove (2) we can use the SINGULAR commands

```
poly h=t*(t2-2t-1);
reduce(quotient(I,h),std(I));
_[1]=0
_[2]=0
_[3]=0
_[4]=0
```

to see that $I : h \subset I$.

If we want to check this by hand, we can use the following method to compute the quotient (cf. [GP3], 2.8.5):

If $U = \langle [g_1, 0], \ldots, [g_n, 0], [h, 1]\rangle$ is a submodule of the free module $L[c,b,t]^2$ and $[0,h_1], \ldots, [0,h_r]$ is the part of the Gröbner basis of U (with respect to the ordering $(c, >)$ giving priority to the components (cf. [GP3, 2.3])), having the first component zero, then $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n\rangle : h = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_r\rangle$.

```
module N=[J[1],0],[J[2],0],[J[3],0],[J[4],0],[J[5],0],[h,1];
module N1=std(N);
N1;
N1[1]=[0,b4t2-b3t4+2b3t3-b2t5+3b2t4-2b2t3+2b2t+b2+bt5-4bt4+3bt3+2bt2+t4
       -4t3+2t2+4t+1]
N1[2]=[0,ct3-2ct2-ct+b3t2-b2t4+2b2t3-bt5+3bt4-2bt3+2bt+b+t5-4t4+3t3+2t2]
N1[3]=[0,cbt-t2+2t+1]
N1[4]=[0,cb2-ct2+2ct+c-b3t+b2t3-2b2t2+bt4-3bt3+2bt2-bt-t4+4t3-3t2-2t]
N1[5]=[0,c2t-cb+ct-b3t2+b2t4-2b2t3+b2t+bt5-3bt4+bt3+2bt2-2bt-b-t5+3t4-4t2+t]
N1[6]=[t2-2t-1,-cb+t-2]
N1[7]=[b3-b2-bt+2b,cb-4ct2+10ct-c+b5t-b4t-b3t5+3b3t4-4b3t2-4b3t+4b3-b2t6
      +5b2t5-6b2t4+b2t3-3b2t2+4b2t-6b2+bt6-6bt5+14bt4-13bt3+4bt2-7bt-b+t5
      -10t4+27t3-17t2-8t-4]
N1[8]=[cb,-c2+2cb-ct2+2ct-b2+bt2-2bt+t3-3t2+4]
N1[9]=[c2+c+b2-b-t+2,c3b+c2b+4c2-5cb+ct2-3ct+5c+b4t-b3t3+b3t2-b3t+2b2t3
       -4b2t2+b2t+4b2+bt5-bt4-6bt3+5bt2+5bt-5b-t5+2t4+3t3-4t2-2t-1]
```

We see that in the second component of $N1[1], \ldots, N1[5]$ we have exactly the Gröbner basis J.

We have to check that $N = N1$ and $N1$ is a Gröbner basis. The last claim follows again by using Buchberger's criterion ([GP3], Theorem 1.7.3). To see that $N = N1$, we compute

```
M=lift(N1,N);

M[1,1]=-c+b2t-b2-bt2+2bt+4b-t2+2t  M[1,2]=-b-2t2+3t+3  M[1,3]=0
M[1,4]=b+t2-2t-1 M[1,5]=b+2t2-3t-4 M[1,6]=0
M[2,1]=-b3t-b3-b2t2+b2t+bt2-2bt+8b+t-2
M[2,2]=-b2+b+8 M[2,3]=1 M[2,4]=-4 M[2,5]=b2-b-9 M[2,6]=0
M[3,1]=-b2t-4b2-2bt+2b+t-2        M[3,2]=c-b2t-4b-t+1  M[3,3]=-2
M[3,4]=b2+bt-b+1        M[3,5]=-c2-c+b2t+3b+4          M[3,6]=1
M[4,1]=b3-b2+1 M[4,2]=b2-b        M[4,3]=0             M[4,4]=-2
M[4,5]=-b2+b   M[4,6]=0 M[5,1]=0  M[5,2]=0  M[5,3]=1   M[5,4]=0
M[5,5]=-t-2    M[5,6]=0
M[6,1]=b4-b3t2-b3-b2t3+b2t2-b2t+b2+bt3-2bt2+t2-2t-1
M[6,2]=ct+b3-b2t2-b2-bt3+bt2-bt+b+t3-2t2    M[6,3]=-1
M[6,4]=-c+b2t+bt2-bt-t2+2t    M[6,5]=-b3+b2t2+b2+bt3-bt2-b-t3+t2+t
M[6,6]=t  M[7,1]=2bt+b M[7,2]=2t+1 M[7,3]=0 M[7,4]=-t  M[7,5]=-2t-1
M[7,6]=0  M[8,1]=0     M[8,2]=0    M[8,3]=t M[8,4]=b   M[8,5]=-1
M[8,6]=0  M[9,1]=0     M[9,2]=0    M[9,3]=0 M[9,4]=0   M[9,5]=t
M[9,6]=0
```

This implies

$$N[k] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{9} M[\ell, k] \cdot N1[\ell]\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, 6.$$

```
M=lift(N,N1);

M[1,1]=0 M[1,2]=0 M[1,3]=0 M[1,4]=0 M[1,5]=0 M[1,6]=0 M[1,7]=0 M[1,8]=0
M[1,9]=0 M[2,1]=0 M[2,2]=0 M[2,3]=0 M[2,4]=0 M[2,5]=0 M[2,6]=0 M[2,7]=0
M[2,8]=0 M[2,9]=0 M[3,1]=-b2t3+2b2t2+b2t+t5-4t4+2t3+4t2+t
M[3,2]=-bt3+2bt2+bt        M[3,3]=-t3+2t2+t  M[3,4]=0 M[3,5]=0 M[3,6]=t2-2t-1
M[3,7]=-b2t4+3b2t3-3b2t-b2+bt5-5bt4+7bt3+3bt2-12bt+b+2t6-11t5+16t4+2t3-10t2-3t
M[3,8]=bt2-2bt-b-2t2+5t
M[3,9]=b2t5-5b2t4+5b2t3+2b2t2+2b2t+b2+2bt6-11bt5+18bt4-5bt3-7bt2+3bt-b+t7
       -6t6+13t5-11t4-4t3+14t2-4t+2
M[4,1]=bt4-2bt3-bt2        M[4,2]=t4-2t3-t2  M[4,3]=0 M[4,4]=-t3+2t2+t
M[4,5]=0 M[4,6]=0
M[4,7]=-ct3+2ct2+ct+bt5-4bt4+2bt3+5bt2-bt-b+t5-4t4-2t3+14t2 M[4,8]=-t3+2t2+t
M[4,9]=-ct4+2ct3+2ct+c-b2t4+2b2t3+b2t2+2bt3-4bt2-2bt+t6-4t5+3t4+t3+5t+1
M[5,1]=0 M[5,2]=0 M[5,3]=0 M[5,4]=0 M[5,5]=-t3+2t2+t  M[5,6]=0
M[5,7]=b2t2-2b2t-b2-t4+4t3-2t2-4t-1 M[5,8]=t2-2t-1
M[5,9]=-cbt2+2cbt+cb+b2t3-2b2t2-b2t-t5+4t4-3t3-4t2+5t
M[6,1]=b4t2-b3t4+2b3t3-b2t5+3b2t4-2b2t3+2b2t+b2+bt5-4bt4+3bt3+2bt2+t4
       -4t3+2t2+4t+1
M[6,2]=ct3-2ct2-ct+b3t2-b2t4+2b2t3-bt5+3bt4-2bt3+2bt+b+t5-4t4+3t3+2t2
M[6,3]=cbt-t2+2t+1
M[6,4]=cb2-ct2+2ct+c-b3t+b2t3-2b2t2+bt4-3bt3+2bt2-bt-t4+4t3-3t2-2t
M[6,5]=c2t-cb+ct-b3t2+b2t4-2b2t3+b2t+bt5-3bt4+bt3+2bt2-2bt-b-t5+3t4-4t2+t
M[6,6]=-cb+t-2
M[6,7]=cb-4ct2+10ct-c+b5t-b4t-b3t5+3b3t4-4b3t2-4b3t+4b3-b2t6+5b2t5-6b2t4+b2t3
       -3b2t2+4b2t-6b2+bt6-6bt5+14bt4-13bt3+4bt2-7bt-b+t5-10t4+27t3-17t2-8t-4
M[6,8]=-c2+2cb-ct2+2ct-b2+bt2-2bt+t3-3t2+4
M[6,9]=c3b+c2b+4c2-5cb+ct2-3ct+5c+b4t-b3t3+b3t2-b3t+2b2t3-4b2t2+b2t+4b2+bt5
       -bt4-6bt3+5bt2+5bt-5b-t5+2t4+3t3-4t2-2t-1
```

This implies

$$N1[k] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{6} M[\ell, k] \cdot N[\ell]\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, 9\,,$$

and we obtain finally $N = N1$. □

We now continue the proof of Proposition 3.4. We have $IL(t)[b, c] \cap L[b, c, t] = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle : h^2 = IL[b, c, t]$. Therefore, if $IL[b, c, t]$ were reducible, then $IL(t)[b, c]$ would be reducible too. We are going to prove that this is not the case.

In $L(t)[b,c]$ the polynomial f_2 is linear in c. Since f_1 does not depend on c, we have $L(t)[b,c]/I \cong L(t)[b]/\langle f_1\rangle$ and, hence, it suffices to prove that the polynomial f_1 is irreducible.

Set $x = bt$, and let $p(x,t) = t^2 f_1(\frac{x}{t}, t)$, then

$$p(x,t) = x^4 - t^2(t-2)x^3 + (-t^5 + 3t^4 - 2t^3 + 2t + 1)x^2 + t^3(t-2)(t^2 - 2t - 1)x + t^2(t^2 - 2t - 1)^2 .$$

To prove that $f_1 \in L[t,b]$ is irreducible, it suffices to prove that $p \in L[x,t] = L[t][x]$ is irreducible.

We have to prove that p has no linear and no quadratic factor with respect to x.

First we prove that p has no linear factor, that is, that $p(x) = 0$ has no solution in $L[t]$.

Assume that $x(t) \in L[t]$ is a zero of $p(x) = 0$. Then $x(t) \mid t^2(t^2 - 2t - 1)^2$. If the characteristic of L is not 2, it is not difficult to see that $x(t)$ cannot contain the square of an irreducible factor of $t^2(t^2 - 2t - 1)^2$. If the characteristic of L is 2, it is not possible that $t^2 \mid x(t)$ or $(t+1)^3 \mid x(t)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the leading coefficient of $x(t)$ is $(-1)^{\deg(x(t))-1}$.

The following list gives the candidates for a zero of $p(x)$ and the value of $p(x)$.

If $\mathrm{char}(L) > 2$:

x	leading term of $p(x)$
-1	$-t^5$
t	$-t^6$
$t - 1 - \sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}t^6$
$t - 1 + \sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}t^6$
$-t(t - 1 + \sqrt{2})$	$-\sqrt{2}t^8$
$-t(t - 1 - \sqrt{2})$	$\sqrt{2}t^8$
$-t^2 + 2t + 1$	$-t^8$
$t(t^2 - 2t - 1)$	$-t^{11}$.

If $\mathrm{char}(L) = 2$:

x	$p(x)$
1	$t^5 + t^3 + t^2$
t	$t^6 + t^5 + t^4$
$t + 1$	$t^5 + t^3$
$t(t+1)$	$t^7 + t^5$
$(t+1)^2$	$t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2$
$t(t+1)^2$	$t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^5 + t^4$.

This implies that $p(x)$ has no linear factor with respect to x in $L[x,t]$.

Now assume that $p(x) = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + gx + d)$, $a, b, g, d \in L[t]$.

This implies:

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
(1) & bd & = & t^2(t^2-2t-1)^2 \\
(2) & ad+bg & = & t^3(t-2)(t^2-2t-1) \\
(3) & d+ag+b & = & -t^5+3t^4-2t^3+2t+1 \\
(4) & a+g & = & -t^2(t-2).
\end{array}$$

If $t^2|b$ then, because of (2), we obtain $t^2|a$. (4) implies $t^2|g$ and (2) implies $t^3|a$. (3) implies that $d \equiv 1+2t \mod (t^2)$ and (4) implies that $g \equiv 2t^2 \mod (t^3)$. If $\mathrm{char}(L) \neq 2$, we obtain $d = -(t^2-2t-1)$ and $b = -t^2(t^2-2t-1)$, because $(t^2-2t-1)^2 \equiv 1+4t \mod (t^2)$. If $\mathrm{char}(L) = 2$, then $t^3|a$ and $t^3|g$. (2) implies that $\frac{a}{t^3} \cdot d + \frac{g}{t^3} b = (t+1)^2$. This implies $(t+1)^2|b$ and $(t+1)^2|d$. Therefore, we have in any characteristic $b = -t^2(t^2-2t-1)$ and $d = -(t^2-2t-1)$. (3) implies that $ag = -t^3(t-2)^2$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $t^3|a$ and $t^2|g$.

We showed that $t^2 \nmid b$. Similarly, we obtain that $t^2 \nmid d$. This implies that $t|b$ and $t|d$. If $(t^2-2t-1)^2|b$, then (2) implies that $t^2-2t-1|a$. Let $d = d_1 t$ for a suitable $d_1 \in L$, then (3) implies that $t^2-2t-1 \mid -t^5+3t^4-2t^3+2t+1-d_1 t$, that is, $d_1 = -1$. Then $b = -t(t^2-2t-1)^2$. Now (3) implies that $ag = -t^4+4t^2+4t+1 = -(t^2-2t-1)(t+1)^2$.

But $t^2-2t-1|a$ and (4) implies that $\deg(a) = 3$ and $\deg(g) = 1$. This implies that $t+1|a$ and $t+1|g$, which is a contradiction to (4).

Similarly, we obtain that $(t^2-2t-1)^2 \nmid d$. This implies that $b = b_3 t(t^2-2t-1)$ and $d = \frac{1}{b_3} t(t^2-2t-1)$ for a suitable $b_3 \in L$. (3) implies that $\deg(ag) = 5$. Because of (4), we may assume that $\deg(a) = 3$ and $\deg(g) = 2$. (4) implies that $a = -t^3 +$ terms of lower degree. (3) implies that $g = t^2 +$ terms of lower degree. (4) implies that $a = -t^3 + t^2 +$ terms of lower degree. (2) implies that $b_3 = -1$. (3) implies that $ag = -t^5+3t^4-4t^2+1$. Let $a = t^3+t^2+a_1 t+a_0$ for suitable $a_1, a_0 \in L$ then, because of (4), $g = t^2 - a_1 t - a_0$. (3) implies that $a_0^2 = -1$. Now $-t^5+3t^4-4t^2+1 = a \cdot g$ implies that $a_0 = 0$, which is a contradiction. This proves that p is irreducible, and hence the proposition is proved. □

We can now apply Corollary 2.4 to prove Proposition 3.3.

We compute the Hilbert polynomial $H(t)$ of the projective curve corresponding to I_h, the homogenization of I. We obtain $H(t) = 10t - 11$. The corresponding SINGULAR session is:

```
ring S=0,(b,c,t,w),dp;   ideal J=imap(R,J); ideal K=std(J); K;

K[1]=bct-t2+2t+1
K[2]=bt3-ct3+t4-b2t-c2t-2bt2+2ct2-3t3+bc+2t2-t
K[3]=b2c2-bt2+ct2-t3+b2+2bc+c2+2bt-2ct+2t2+2
K[4]=c2t3-ct4+c3t-2c2t2+3ct3-t4-bc2+bt2-2ct2+4t3-2bt+ct-3t2-b-2t
```

We now compute matrices to represent the generators of J in terms of the generators of K, and vice versa, in order to see that in any characteristic $KL[b,c,t,w] = JL[b,c,t,w]$. Moreover, using Buchberger's criterion, it is not difficult to check that K is a Gröbner basis of $IL[b,c,t,w]$ in any characteristic.

```
lift(J,K);
```

```
_[1,1]=0   _[1,2]=0   _[1,3]=t-1              _[1,4]=-1
_[2,1]=0   _[2,2]=-1  _[2,3]=-bt-t2+2b+3t-2   _[2,4]=b+c-1
_[3,1]=1   _[3,2]=0   _[3,3]=-t+3             _[3,4]=1
_[4,1]=0   _[4,2]=0   _[4,3]=-t3+bt+2t2+c     _[4,4]=-t
_[5,1]=0   _[5,2]=-1  _[5,3]=t-2              _[5,4]=c

lift(K,J);

_[1,1]=-bt3-bct+2bt2+b2-t2+2t+1  _[1,2]=-t3-ct+2t2+b _[1,3]=1
          _[1,4]=t2+c-2t  _[1,5]=t3+ct-2t2-b
_[2,1]=-b2t  _[2,2]=-bt  _[2,3]=0   _[2,4]=b  _[2,5]=bt-1
_[3,1]=0     _[3,2]=0    _[3,3]=0   _[3,4]=0  _[3,5]=0
_[4,1]=0     _[4,2]=0    _[4,3]=0   _[4,4]=0  _[4,5]=0
```

We homogenise K with respect to w and obtain again a Gröbner basis, cf. [GP3], with respect to the lexicographical ordering. Since the leading ideal is independent of the characteristic, the Hilbert polynomial is the same in any characteristic. We compute

```
K=homog(K,w); hilbPoly(K);

-11,10
```

Hence, the Hilbert polynomial is $10t - 11$. From this we obtain the degree $d = 10$ and the arithmetic genus $p_a = 12$ of the projective closure. Using Corollary 2.4, we obtain:

$$N_q \geq q + 1 - 24\sqrt{q} - 10.$$

This implies that $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is not empty if $q > 593$.

For small q, we give a list of points (Tables 1 and 2) to prove that $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is not empty.

Proposition 3.3 and, hence, 3.1 are proved.

Remark 3.7. Using the leading terms of J, we can even compute the Hilbert polynomial without computer. Hence, once the matrices are computed by the `lift` command and the Gröbner bases are given, we can check everything by hand, since only simple (although tedious) manipulations are necessary. Therefore, the PSL(2) case can be verified without using any computer. Unfortunately, this will not be the case for the Suzuki groups.

In Table 2, a denotes a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

4. Suzuki case: details

4.1. **Universal model V.** We follow the strategy presented in Subsection 2.4.

We use the following representation for the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$. Let $n = 2m + 1$ and $q = 2^n$ and consider the automorphism

$$\pi\colon \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q, \quad \pi(a) = a^{2^{m+1}}.$$

We have $\pi^2(a) = a^2$.

p	point in $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$
5	$(1,2,2)$
7	$(0,1,4)$
11	$(1,9,1)$
13	$(1,1,8)$
17	$(0,7,7)$
19	$(3,2,10)$
23	$(0,11,19)$
29	$(2,12,8)$
31	$(1,18,26)$
37	$(1,25,22)$
41	$(1,4,19)$
43	$(1,15,3)$
47	$(0,2,8)$
53	$(2,16,12)$
59	$(3,33,39)$
61	$(2,21,49)$
67	$(1,11,63)$
71	$(0,18,60)$
73	$(1,44,49)$
79	$(0,17,71)$
83	$(1,54,39)$
89	$(0,19,26)$
97	$(0,10,15)$
101	$(2,1,47)$
103	$(0,23,39)$
107	$(1,61,26)$
109	$(1,69,102)$
113	$(0,37,52)$
127	$(0,10,112)$
131	$(1,14,22)$
137	$(0,5,32)$
139	$(1,19,109)$
149	$(1,87,63)$
151	$(1,99,108)$
157	$(1,22,62)$
163	$(1,67,8)$
167	$(0,3,14)$
173	$(1,101,119)$
179	$(1,11,71)$
181	$(1,3,75)$
191	$(0,7,58)$
193	$(0,45,142)$
197	$(1,18,145)$
199	$(0,67,180)$
211	$(1,51,92)$
223	$(5,6,157)$
227	$(1,118,74)$
229	$(3,220,92)$
233	$(0,19,149)$
239	$(1,179,126)$
241	$(0,67,220)$
251	$(3,15,112)$
257	$(3,97,135)$
263	$(0,47,154)$
269	$(2,205,73)$
271	$(0,64,97)$
277	$(4,21,7)$
281	$(0,98,150)$
283	$(1,188,250)$
293	$(1,26,270)$
307	$(1,100,10)$
311	$(2,56,162)$
313	$(0,45,194)$
317	$(2,34,146)$
331	$(1,197,323)$
337	$(0,138,312)$
347	$(1,252,267)$
349	$(2,314,255)$
353	$(0,142,187)$
359	$(0,80,20)$
367	$(0,28,80)$
373	$(1,82,336)$
379	$(2,9,197)$
383	$(0,149,138)$
389	$(1,27,379)$
397	$(3,271,169)$
401	$(0,48,349)$
409	$(0,50,98)$
419	$(1,121,65)$
421	$(2,331,151)$
431	$(0,100,189)$
433	$(0,67,228)$
439	$(0,4,22)$
443	$(2,213,143)$
449	$(2,215,286)$
457	$(0,63,378)$
461	$(5,5,267)$
463	$(0,62,204)$
467	$(1,70,461)$
479	$(0,202,293)$
487	$(0,9,92)$
491	$(1,31,439)$
499	$(1,275,40)$
503	$(0,12,158)$
509	$(7,424,256)$
521	$(0,219,250)$
523	$(3,8,369)$
541	$(1,220,80)$
547	$(2,264,122)$
557	$(2,42,261)$
563	$(1,317,485)$
569	$(0,269,369)$
571	$(1,443,422)$
577	$(2,169,514)$
587	$(1,45,229)$
593	$(1,240,5)$.

TABLE 1. $q = p = 5, \ldots, 593$

n	point in $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$
2	$(a,0,1)$
3	(a,a^2,a^2)
4	(a^3,a^{12},a^5)
5	(a^3,a^{20},a^{22})
6	(a^9,a^9,a^{54})
7	(a,a^{62},a^{48})
8	(a,a^{70},a^{200})
9	(a,a^{191},a^{121}).

$q = 2^n,\ n = 2, \ldots, 9$

n	point in $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$
2	$(a,0,a)$
3	(a,a^3,a^{10})
4	$(a,-1,a^{66})$
5	(a^2,a^{10},a^2).

$q = 3^n,\ n = 2, \ldots, 5$

TABLE 2.

Let

$$U(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a\pi(a)+b & \pi(a) & 1 & 0 \\ a^2\pi(a)+ab+\pi(b) & b & a & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M(c) = \begin{pmatrix} c^{1+2^m} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c^{2^m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c^{-2^m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c^{-1-2^m} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathrm{Sz}(q) = \langle U(a,b), M(c), T \mid a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q, c \neq 0 \rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{F}_q)$.

To show that $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$ has a solution with $y \neq x^{-1}$, we consider the matrices

$$x = TU(a,b) \text{ and } y = TU(c,d) \text{ in } \mathrm{Sz}(q).$$

Let V_n be the $\mathbb{F}_q$–variety in $\mathbb{A}^4$ defined by the ideal of the components of the equation

$$x^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}x^2 = yx^{-2}y^{-1}xy^{-1}$$

which is equivalent to $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$. It is easy to see that $y = x^{-1}$ in $Sz(q)$ if and only if a, b, c, d are all 0.

Now it is our aim to show that $V_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \smallsetminus \{0\}$ is not empty.

To make the problem independent of n, we replace the expressions $\pi(a)$, $\pi(b)$, $\pi(c)$, $\pi(d)$ by the indeterminates a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0.

Let

$$S(a,b,a_0,b_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ aa_0 + b & a_0 & 1 & 0 \\ a^2a_0 + ab + b_0 & b & a & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $U(a,b) = S\big(a, b, \pi(a), \pi(b)\big)$.

Again we consider the matrices $x = TS(a,b,a_0,b_0)$ and $y = TS(c,d,c_0,d_0)$ and the equation $u_1(x,y) = u_2(x,y)$. This leads to a system of equations (16 equations in 8 unknowns, see Subsection 4.2 below) defining an $\mathbb{F}_2$–variety $V \subset \mathbb{A}^8$ with ideal I_V generated by the 16 polynomials given in Subsection 4.2.

Let us describe the relationship between the "universal" model V and the varieties V_n in more detail. Let R, resp. R_1, be the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_2$ in the variables $a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0$, resp. a, b, c, d, and $l_n : R \to R_1$ the ring homomorphism acting as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\to a, & a_0 &\to a^{2^{m+1}} \\ b &\to b, & b_0 &\to b^{2^{m+1}} \\ c &\to c, & c_0 &\to c^{2^{m+1}} \\ d &\to d, & d_0 &\to d^{2^{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $I_1 = l_n(I_V) \subset R_1$ the image of the ideal I_V, and let $V_1 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1/I_1)$. Since the images of the matrices $S(a,b,a_0,b_0)$ and $S(c,d,c_0,d_0)$ (viewed over $\mathbb{F}_q$) under the homomorphism l_n take values in $\mathrm{Sz}(2^q)$, we obtain for the matrices x and y the presentation from the beginning of this subsection. In other words, the $\mathbb{F}_q$–varieties $V_1 \times_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{F}_q$ and V_n are isomorphic.

Note here that the existence of an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point on V does not guarantee the existence of an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point on V_n (the variety V_1 usually has no $\mathbb{F}_2$-points!). However, here are results of computer experiments.

Computations show that V_n $(n = 3, 5, 7, 11, 13)$ are all of dimension 0; we have $\#V_3(\mathbb{F}_8) = 13$, $\#V_5(\mathbb{F}_{2^5}) = 40$, $\#V_{11}(\mathbb{F}_{2^{11}}) > 1000$. So we have a computational phenomenon of the growing number of solutions for the equation: $u_1(x, y) = u_2(x, y)$ for the initial word $w(x, y) = x^{-2}y^{-1}x$. Note that for most other choices of w the situation is quite different: there is an odd prime n such that $V_n(\mathbb{F}_{2^n}) = \{0\}$.

Our next goal is to show that with a "good" choice of the initial word w the variety V carries an additional structure. To be more precise, define

$$S_0(a, b, a_0, b_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_0a^2 + b_0 & a^2 & 1 & 0 \\ {a_0}^2a^2 + a_0b_0 + b^2 & b_0 & a_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_0(c, d, c_0, d_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_0c^2 + d_0 & c^2 & 1 & 0 \\ {c_0}^2c^2 + c_0d_0 + d^2 & d_0 & c_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x_0 = TS_0(a, b, a_0, b_0)$, $y_0 = TS_0(c, d, c_0, d_0)$.

Define V_0 to be the affine variety given by the coordinate equations of $u_1(x_0, y_0) = u_2(x_0, y_0)$ in the form above. The variety V_0 is also given by 16 equations in 8 unknowns.

Let $W = V \cap V_0$ be the affine variety given by the equations for V together with those for V_0. The variety W is given by 32 equations in 8 unknowns. Denote the corresponding ideal by I_W.

Consider the relationship between varieties V and W. First of all, we have

Proposition 4.1.

$$\dim V = \dim W = 2$$

Proof. SINGULAR computation by showing $\dim\big(\mathtt{std}(I_V)\big) = 2$, $\dim\big(\mathtt{std}(I_W)\big) = 2$. □

Note that with most other choices of w we have $\dim V = 0$ (compare with the dimension jump in the PSL(2) case).

The key point of our approach is the following mysterious observation:

Proposition 4.2. *With the above notation, $V = W$.*

Proof. SINGULAR computation by showing

$\mathtt{reduce}\big(I_W, \mathtt{std}(I_V)\big) = \langle 0\rangle$, $\mathtt{reduce}\ \big(I_V, \mathtt{std}(I_W)\big) = \langle 0\rangle$. □

Remark 4.3. Note that, as above, this statement fails for most other choices of w. A straightforward computer verification shows that this is the only word of the length smaller than 10 with the property that $W = V \bigcap V_0$ is equal to V. As mentioned above (see Remark 2.5), more extensive computer experiments show the following phenomenon: "good" words w correspond to "deep minima" of a certain length function.

Now define an operator α on the affine space $\mathbb{A}^8 = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ by the rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a) &= a_0, \qquad & \alpha(a_0) &= a^2, \\ \alpha(b) &= b_0, \qquad & \alpha(b_0) &= b^2, \\ \alpha(c) &= c_0, \qquad & \alpha(c_0) &= c^2, \\ \alpha(d) &= d_0, \qquad & \alpha(d_0) &= d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V_0 = \alpha(V)$, and the equality $W = V$ means that the variety V is preserved by the operator α. From the definition of α it follows that

$$\alpha^2(v) = \alpha(\alpha(v)) = (a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, a_0^2, b_0^2, c_0^2, d_0^2)$$

for $v = (a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$. This implies that the variety V not only carries the operator α, but the square of this operator is the (geometric) Frobenius endomorphism Fr. This very rigid additional condition on V explains the discovered computational phenomenon on growing number of solutions, and gives rise to the proof of the existence of rational points on V_n.

Let us consider the action of α on V in more detail. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2m+1}(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) = \alpha\big(\alpha^{2m}(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)\big) \\ = \alpha\big(\mathrm{Fr}^m(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)\big) = \alpha(a^{2^m}, b^{2^m}, c^{2^m}, d^{2^m}, a_0^{2^m}, b_0^{2^m}, c_0^{2^m}, d_0^{2^m}) \\ = (a_0^{2^m}, b_0^{2^m}, c_0^{2^m}, d_0^{2^m}, a^{2^{m+1}}, b^{2^{m+1}}, c^{2^{m+1}}, d^{2^{m+1}})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set of fixed points of α^{2m+1} looks as follows:

$$\mathrm{Fix}\ \alpha^n = \mathrm{Fix}\ \alpha^{2m+1} = \{(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \mid$$
$$a = a_0^{2^m}, b = b_0^{2^m}, c = c_0^{2^m}, d = d_0^{2^m}, a_0 = a^{2^{m+1}}, b_0 = a^{2^{m+1}}, c_0 = c^{2^{m+1}}, d = d_0^{2^{m+1}}\}\,.$$

Therefore, a point $(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$ is a fixed point of α^{2m+1} if and only if

$$a = (a^{2^{m+1}})^{2^m} = (a^{2^{2m+1}}) = a^{2^n},$$

$$a_0 = (a_0^{2^m})^{2^{m+1}} = (a_0^{2^{2m+1}}) = a_0^{2^n},$$

and the same formulas are valid for b, c, d, b_0, c_0, d_0.

We conclude that the set of fixed points of the operator α^n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ coincides with set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of V_n. Indeed, a point $u = (a, b, c, d)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point of V_n, $q = 2^n$, if and only if $u^q = (a^q, b^q, c^q, d^q) = (a, b, c, d)$. Since $q = 2^n$, we get $a = a^{2^n}, b = b^{2^n}, c = c^{2^n}, d = d^{2^n}$. Moreover, the equality $\alpha(a) = a_0$ implies that the same formulas are valid for a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0. Thus the point $(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$ belongs to the set of fixed points Fix (α^n). Conversely, if $(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \in \mathrm{Fix}\ (\alpha^n)$, then according to the definition of l_n the point $l_n(a, b, c, d, a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0) \in V_n$ is a rational point over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

The idea of the observation above is to use $V \subset \mathbb{A}^8$ as a universal model, and replace the calculations in each specific variety $V_n \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ by calculations in V. By the above, V_n has a non–zero rational point over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q = 2^n$, if and only if α^n has an non–zero rational fixed point on V.

To sum up, we obtained the following reduction.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that for every odd $n > 1$ the operator α^n has a non–zero $\mathbb{F}_q$–rational fixed point on the variety V. Then the equation $u_1 = u_2$ has a non–trivial solution in $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ for every $q = 2^n$.*

4.2. **Geometric structure of V.** Denote $a_0 = v$, $b_0 = w$, $c_0 = x$, $d_0 = y$. With this notation, the ideal I defining V is obtained with SINGULAR as follows:

```
ring A=2,(a,b,c,d,v,w,x,y),dp;

matrix S1[4][4] =1           0, 0, 0,
                 a,          1, 0, 0,
                 av+b,       v, 1, 0,
                 a2v+a*b+w,  b, a, 1;

matrix S2[4][4] =1,          0, 0, 0,
                 c,          1, 0, 0,
                 cx+d,       x, 1, 0,
                 c2x+c*d+y,  d, c, 1;

matrix T[4][4] = 0, 0, 0, 1,
                 0, 0, 1, 0,
                 0, 1, 0, 0,
                 1, 0, 0, 0;

matrix X=T*S1; matrix Y=T*S2;
matrix iX = inverse(X); matrix iY = inverse(Y);

matrix M=iX*Y*iX*iY*X*X-Y*iX*iX*iY*X*iY;
ideal I=flatten(M); I;
I[1]=a3cv2+a3cvx+a2bcv+a2bcx+acv2+acvw+acvx+acwx+adv+bcx
I[2]=a5v2+a3c2vx+a3bcv+a4dv+a3cdv+a2bc2x+a3b2+a2b2c+a3bd+a2bcd
     +a3v2+ac2vx+ac2wx+a3vy+abcv+a2dv+acdv+abcw+a2dw+acdw+abcx
     +a2by+a3+ab2+a2c+abd+av2+avw+aw2+cvx+avy+awy+a
I[3]=a4cv3+a5v2x+a4cv2x+a2c3v2x+a3c2vx2+a2c3vx2+a4bv2+a2bc2v2
     +a3cdv2+a2c2dv2+a3bcvx+abc3vx+a4dvx+a2c2dvx+a2bc2x2+abc3x2
     +ab2c2v+a3bdv+abc2dv+a3v3+a3b2x+a3bdx+abc2dx+ac2v2x+c3vwx
     +ac2wx2+c3wx2+a2cv2y+a3vxy+a2cvxy+a2b3+ab3c+a2b2d+ab2cd
     +bc2v2+a2dv2+acdv2+bc2vw+acdvw+c2dvw+a2bvx+abcwx+a2dwx
     +c2dwx+a2bvy+abcvy+a2bxy+abcxy+b2cv+ac2v+abdv+av3+cv3+b2cw
     +abdw+bcdw+av2w+cvw2+a3x+ab2x+a2cx+b2cx+ac2x+abdx+bcdx
```

```
      +aw2x+cw2x+ab2y+av2y+cvwy+awxy+cwxy+a2b+b3+abc+b2d+bv2
      +dv2+bvw+bw2+bvy+bwy+ax+b
I[4]=a6v3+a5cv3+a4c2v3+a5cv2x+a3c3v2x+a2c4v2x+a3c3vx2+a2c4vx2
      +a5bv2+a4bcv2+a3bc2v2+a2bc3v2+a5dv2+a2c3dv2+a3bc2vx+abc4vx
      +a4cdvx+a2c3dvx+a2bc3x2+abc4x2+a4b2v+a3b2cv+ab2c3v+a3bcdv
      +abc3dv+a4v3+a3cv3+a4v2w+a3b2cx+a2b2c2x+ab2c3x+a3bcdx
      +abc3dx+a4v2x+a3cv2x+a2c2v2x+ac3v2x+ac3vwx+c4vwx+a2c2vx2
      +ac3vx2+ac3wx2+c4wx2+a4v2y+a3cv2y+a2c2v2y+a3cvxy+a2c2vxy
      +a3b3+a2b3c+a3b2d+a2b2cd+a2bcv2+abc2v2+bc3v2+a3dv2+ac2dv2
      +abc2vw+bc3vw+a2cdvw+c3dvw+abc2vx+a3dvx+ac2dvx+abc2wx
      +bc3wx+a2cdwx+c3dwx+abc2x2+bc3x2+a2bcvy+abc2vy+a2bcxy
      +abc2xy+a2b2v+ab2cv+ac3v+a2bdv+a2v3+c2v3+a2b2w+a2v2w
      +acv2w+a2vw2+acvw2+c2vw2+a2b2x+a3cx+ab2cx+a2c2x+b2c2x
      +ac3x+a2bdx+abcdx+a2v2x+c2v2x+a2vwx+acvwx+acw2x+a2b2y
      +a2v2y+acv2y+acvwy+c2vwy+a2vxy+acvxy+acwxy+c2wxy+b3c+a3d
      +a2cd+b2cd+adv2+cdv2+abvw+abw2+bcw2+adw2+cdw2+abvx+bcvx
      +advx+cdvx+abwx+bcwx+bcvy+abxy+bcxy+a2v+b2v+acv+bdv+acw
      +bdw+w3+a2x+a2y+b2y+w2y+ab+bc+ad+w
I[5]=a4cv2+a3c2v2+a3c2vx+a2c3vx+a3cdv+a2c2dv+a2bc2x+abc3x+a2b2c
      +ab2c2+a2bcd+abc2d+a3v2+ac2v2+ac2vw+a2cvx+ac2vx+ac2wx+c3wx
      +a2cvy+a2bv+abcv+bc2v+acdv+bc2w+acdw+c2dw+abcx+bc2x+abcy
      +a2c+b2c+ac2+bcd+av2+avw+cw2+avx+cwx+cwy+c
I[6]=a4vx+a3cvx+a3bv+a2bcv+abc2v+a3dv+a3bx+a2bcx+abc2x+bc3x
      +a2b2+ab2c+b2c2+a2bd+abcd+bc2d+c2v2+a2vx+acvx+c2vx+a2wx
      +acwx+abv+bcv+adv+cdv+abw+bcw+adw+abx+bcx+bcy+a2+ac+c2
I[7]=a3cv2x+a2c2v2x+a4vx2+a2c2vx2+a2bcv2+a2cdv2+a2bcvx+abc2vx
      +a3dvx+a2cdvx+a3bx2+abc2x2+a2b2v+a3cv+ab2cv+a2c2v+a2bdv
      +abcdv+a2c2x+ac3x+a2bdx+abcdx+a2v2x+acv2x+acvwx+c2vwx
      +a2wx2+c2wx2+ab3+a2bc+abc2+ab2d+a2cd+ac2d+abv2+bcv2+adv2
      +bcvw+cdvw+bcvx+adwx+cdwx+abx2+bcx2+a2v+acv+c2v+bdv+v3+b2w
      +acw+bdw+a2x+b2x+c2x+v2x+acy+ab+bc+cd+v
I[8]=a5v2x+a2c3v2x+a4cvx2+a2c3vx2+a4bv2+a3bcv2+a2bc2v2+a4dv2
      +a3cdv2+a2c2dv2+a2bc2vx+abc3vx+a3cdvx+a2c2dvx+a3bcx2
      +abc3x2+a2b2cv+ab2c2v+a2bcdv+abc2dv+a3b2x+ab2c2x+a2bcdx
      +abc2dx+a3v2x+ac2v2x+a2cvwx+c3vwx+a3vx2+ac2vx2+a2cwx2
      +c3wx2+a2b3+a2b2d+bc2v2+a2dv2+acdv2+abcvw+bc2vw+acdvw
      +c2dvw+bc2vx+a2dvx+acdvx+abcwx+bc2wx+acdwx+c2dwx+a2bx2
      +abcx2+a3v+ab2v+b2cv+bcdv+av3+cv3+a3x+ab2x+c3x+abdx+bcdx
      +aw2x+cw2x+avx2+cvx2+b3+abc+bc2+a2d+b2d+acd+c2d+bv2+bvw
      +bw2+dw2+bvx+bwx+av+cv+aw+cw+cy+b
I[9]=a5v3+a3c2v3+a5v2x+a4cv2x+a3c2v2x+a2c3v2x+a4cvx2+a2c3vx2
      +a3cdv2+a2c2dv2+a3bcvx+abc3vx+a4dvx+a3cdvx+a3bcx2+abc3x2
      +a3b2v+ab2c2v+a3bdv+abc2dv+a3d2v+a2cd2v+a2cv3+ac2v3
      +a2cv2w+ac2v2w+a3b2x+ab2c2x+a3bdx+a2bcdx+a3v2x+ac2vwx
```

```
      +c3vwx+a3vx2+a2cvx2+ac2vx2+a2cwx2+c3wx2+a3v2y+a2cv2y
      +a3vxy+a2cvxy+a2b2d+ab2cd+a2bd2+abcd2+a2bv2+bc2v2+a2dv2
      +abcvw+bc2vw+a2dvw+acdvw+c2dvw+a2bvx+abcvx+abcwx+bc2wx
      +a2dwx+acdwx+a2bx2+abcx2+bc2x2+a2bvy+abcvy+a2dvy+a2bxy
      +abcxy+a3v+ab2v+b2cv+ac2v+ad2v+bcdw+ad2w+cd2w+avw2+cvw2
      +a3x+b2cx+ac2x+av2x+avwx+aw2x+cw2x+avx2+awx2+abdy+avwy
      +cvwy+awxy+cwxy+a2d+b2d+acd+bd2+dw2+bvx+bwx+dwx+bx2+dwy
      +cv+cx+d
I[10]=a3bv2+abc2v2+a3bvx+a2bcvx+abc2vx+bc3vx+a2bcx2+bc3x2+a4v
       +a2b2v+a3cv+b2c2v+abcdv+bc2dv+acv3+c2v3+a2b2x+b2c2x+a2bdx
       +abcdx+acvx2+c2vx2+a3b+a2bc+ab2d+b2cd+abd2+bcd2+abv2+bcv2
       +adv2+abvw+bcvw+bcvx+advx+abwx+bcwx+abx2+abvy+bcvy+abxy
       +bcxy+acv+c2v+bdv+d2v+a2w+acw+bdw+a2x+b2x+c2x+bdx+v2x+vx2
       +bdy+ab+ad+cd+x
I[11]=a4v2+a3cv2+a3cvx+ac3vx+a3cx2+ac3x2+a2cdv+ac2dv+a3dx+a2cdx
       +a2b2+ab2c+a2bd+abcd+a2d2+acd2+a2v2+c2v2+a2vw+c2vw+a2vx
       +acwx+c2wx+a2x2+acx2+c2x2+a2vy+acvy+a2xy+acxy+bcv+adv+abw
       +bcw+adw+abx+ady+b2+bd+d2+v2+vx+x2
I[12]=a5v2+a2c3v2+a4cvx+a2c3vx+a3bcv+abc3v+a3bcx+abc3x+a3b2
       +a2b2c+a2cvw+c3vw+a2cvx+c3vx+a2cwx+c3wx+a2cx2+c3x2+bc2v
       +acdv+c2dv+abcx+bc2x+a2dx+acdx+a3+a2c+b2c+abd+bcd+ad2
       +cd2+av2+cv2+avw+cvw+aw2+cw2+cvx+awx+cwx+ax2+avy+cvy
       +axy+cxy+bv+bw+dw+bx+dx+dy+a
I[13]=a6v3+a4c2v3+a6v2x+a5cv2x+a5cvx2+a2c4vx2+a5dv2+a4cdv2
       +a4bcvx+a2bc3vx+a5dvx+a3c2dvx+a4bcx2+abc4x2+a4b2v+a2b2c2v
       +a4bdv+a2bc2dv+a3cd2v+a2c2d2v+a4v3+a2c2v3+a3cv2w+a2c2v2w
       +a4b2x+ab2c3x+a4bdx+a2bc2dx+a3cv2x+ac3v2x+a2c2vwx+a4vx2
       +a3cvx2+a2c2vx2+ac3vx2+a3cwx2+c4wx2+a4vxy+a2b2cd+ab2c2d
       +a2bcd2+abc2d2+a3bv2+abc2v2+a3dv2+ac2dv2+a2bcvw+abc2vw
       +a3dvw+abc2vx+bc3vx+a3dvx+a2cdvx+a2bcwx+abc2wx+bc3wx
       +a3dwx+ac2dwx+a3bx2+a2bcx2+abc2x2+bc3x2+a3bvy+a2bcvy
       +a3dvy+a3bxy+a4v+a3cv+abcdv+bc2dv+a2d2v+acd2v+a2v3+acv3
       +bc2dw+acd2w+c2d2w+a2v2w+acv2w+a2vw2+acvw2+a4x+ab2cx
       +b2c2x+ac3x+a2bdx+abcdx+acv2x+acvwx+a2w2x+acw2x+c2w2x
       +a2vx2+a2wx2+a2b2y+ab2cy+a2bdy+acv2y+a2vwy+acvxy+a2wxy
       +a2vy2+a2bc+abc2+ab2d+a2cd+b2cd+ac2d+abd2+bcd2+abv2+bcv2
       +adv2+abvw+bcvw+cdvw+cdw2+bcvx+advx+abwx+abx2+abvy+bcvy
       +advy+bcwy+adwy+bcxy+aby2+acv+bdv+acw+c2w+bdw+d2w+acx
       +c2x+bdx+a2y+b2y+acy+bdy+w2y+wy2+bc+ad+cd+y
I[14]=a4bv2+a2bc2v2+a4bvx+a3bcvx+a3bcx2+bc4x2+a3b2v+ab2c2v
       +a3bdv+a2bcdv+a2cv3+ac2v3+a3b2x+b2c3x+a3bdx+abc2dx
       +c3v2x+a2cvx2+ac2vx2+c3vx2+ab2cd+b2c2d+abcd2+bc2d2
       +a2dv2+acdv2+c2dv2+a2bvw+abcvw+a2bvx+bc2vx+a2dvx+acdvx
       +a2bwx+abcwx+bc2wx+a2bx2+a2bxy+ab2v+b2cv+ac2v+cd2v+av3
```

```
      +cv3+bcdw+a3x+ab2x+c3x+cv2x+avx2+ab2y+b2cy+abdy+cv2y
      +cvxy+a2b+abc+bc2+b2d+acd+c2d+bd2+dv2+dvx+bvy+dvy+bwy
      +by2+aw+ax+ay+cy+d
I[15]=a5v2+a3c2v2+a5vx+a4cvx+a4cx2+ac4x2+a4bv+a2bc2v+a4dv+a3cdv
      +a4bx+abc3x+a4dx+a2c2dx+a2bcd+abc2d+a2cd2+ac2d2+a3v2
      +a2cv2+ac2v2+a3vw+a2cvw+a3vx+c3vx+a3wx+a2cwx+ac2wx+a3x2
      +a2cx2+ac2x2+c3x2+a3xy+a2bv+abcv+a2dv+c2dv+acdw+a2bx+bc2x
      +a2dx+acdx+a2by+abcy+a2dy+ab2+a2c+ac2+abd+bcd+ad2+cd2+cv2
      +cvw+cvx+awx+cwx+ax2+cvy+awy+cxy+ay2+bv+dv+bw+dx+by+dy+c
I[16]=a3cv2+a4vx+a2c2vx+a3cx2+c4x2+a3bv+a2bcv+a3dv+a2cdv+a3bx
      +a2bcx+abc2x+bc3x+a3dx+ac2dx+a2b2+abcd+bc2d+acd2+c2d2
      +a2vw+c2vw+a2vx+a2wx+a2x2+a2xy+abv+cdv+cdw+bcx+aby+bcy
      +ady+a2+b2+ac+c2+bd+d2+w2+wy+y2
```

To show that α^n has a rational fixed point on V, we want to apply the Lefschetz trace formula, which requires that V is absolutely irreducible. This is not the case. Therefore, we exhibit a subvariety $V' \subset V$ for which we can show that it is absolutely irreducible. Then we apply the Lefschetz trace formula to the non–singular locus of V' which happens to be affine.

Set $J = I : a^3x^2$, then $J \supset I$ and $V' := \mathbf{V}(J) \subset \mathbf{V}(I) = V$.

We shall show that V' is an absolutely irreducible surface.

The ideal J is given as follows:[2]

```
ideal J=quotient(I,a3x2); J;

J[1]=d2+adv+cdv+a2v2+c2v2+abx+bcx+wx+c2x2+vy+xy+c2;
J[2]=a2b+acd+a2cv+aw+a3x+a2cx+ac2x+ay+av+cx;
J[3]=bcw+acvw+w2+a2wx+acwx+b2+bd+d2+abv+bcv+c2v2+bcx+adx+a4
     +a3c+vx+x2+ac+1;
J[4]=adv2+cdv2+d2x+abvx+bcvx+advx+cdvx+vwx+abx2+bcx2+wx2
     +c2x3+v2y+vxy+x2y+ab+cd+acv+c2v+w+a2x+acx+c2x+y;
J[5]=abd+abcv+bc2v+a2dv+dw+avw+cvw+bc2x+c2dx+ac2vx+awx
     +a2cx2+ac2x2+c3x2+by+cxy+dv+av2+cv2+bx+cx2+ac2+a+c;
J[6]=bcd+cd2+a2bv+abcv+a2dv+c2dv+bw+avw+cvw+a2dx+c2dx+c3vx
     +a3x2+a2cx2+ac2x2+by+dy+cvy+axy+bv+dv+cv2+dx+cvx+ax2+
     a3+a+c;
J[7]=a3v2+a2cv2+c2dx+a3vx+ac2vx+a2cx2+ac2x2+c3x2+cxy+cx2;
J[8]=d2v+acv3+c2v3+cdvx+a2vx2+acvx2+a2bc+ac2d+ac3v+acw+a3cx
     +vx2+acy+a2v+acx+v;
J[9]=advx+cdvx+a2v2x+c2v2x+abx2+bcx2+a2vx2+c2vx2+wx2+vxy+c3d
     +a3cv+a2c2v+a3cx+a2c2x+c4x+c2y+cd+a2v+c2v+c2x+y;
J[10]=a2vw+acvw+c2vw+w2+ac2dx+c3dx+a3cvx+ac3vx+acwx+c2wx
```

[2]Computing $I : a^3x^2$ is not an easy task. However, once J is given, it is much simpler to check $J \supset I$, which is all we need.

```
      +a3cx2+c4x2+aby+acxy+c2xy+a2v2+acv2+abx+adx+cdx+a2vx
      +acvx+c2vx+a2x2+c2x2+a4+a2c2+v2+1;
```

We compute a Gröbner basis of the ideal $J3 = J\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[w,y,b,d,x,v]$ with respect to the lexicographical ordering.

```
ring s=(2,a,c),(w,y,b,d,x,v),lp;
ideal J3=std(imap(r,J));J3;
J3[1]=(a8+a6c2+a4c4+a2c6)*v6+(a8+a7c3+a6c2+a5c3+a4c4+a3c7+a2c6
      +ac7)*v4+(a7c3+a6c2+a5c5+a5c3+a3c7+a3c5+a2c6+a2c4+ac9+c6)
      *v2+(ac9+ac5+c8+c4);
J3[2]=(a4c4+a3c7+a3c5+a3c3+a2c8+a2c4+ac7+c4)*x+(a8+a7c+a4c4
      +a3c5)*v5+(a8+a7c+a6c2+a5c3+a4c4+a4c2+a2c6+a2c4)*v3
      +(a4c4+a4c2+a3c7+a3c3+a2c8+a2c6)*v;
J3[3]=(c2+1)*d2+(xc3+xc)*d+(v3xa2+v3xc2+v2a4+v2a3c+v2ac3+v2c4
      +vxa4+vxa3c+vxa2c2+vxc4+x2a2c2+x2a2+x2ac3+x2c2+c4+c2);
J3[4]=(ac5+ac)*b+(v4a2c2+v4a2+v3xac+v2x2c4+v2x2c2+v2a5c+v2a4
      +v2a2c4+v2ac3+vx3ac+vx3+vxa5c+vxa4+vxa3c3+vxa2c4+vxa2c2
      +vxac5+vxac3+vxac+vxc2+vx+x2a3c3+x2a2c2+x2ac5+x2c4+a2c4
      +a2c2+ac3+ac+c4+c2)*d+(v2xa2c3+v2xc5+vx2a3+vx2ac2+va5c2
      +va4c+va3+va2c+vac6+vac4+vac2+vc5+xa5c2+xa4c+xa2c3+xa2c
      +xac6+xac2+xc3);
J3[5]=(c)*y+(va2c+va)*bd+(v2ac+v2c2+x4+c4+1)*b+(v4a3c+v4ac
      +v3xc2+v2x2ac3+v2x2ac+v2a4+v2a3c3+v2ac3+v2c2+vx3c2+vxa4
      +vxa3c3+vxa3c+vxa2c4+vxa2+vxac3+vxac+x2a3c+x2a2c4+x2a2
      +x2ac+x2+ac3+ac+c2+1)*d+(v3x2ac2+v3x2c3+v3a3c4+v3a3c2
      +v3a2c3+v3a2c+v3ac4+v3c3+v2xa3c2+v2xa3+v2xa2c5+v2xc5
      +v2xc+vx4a3+vx4a2c+vx4a+vx4c+vx2a7+vx2a5+vx2a3c2+vx2a2c3
      +vx2a+vx2c3+vx2c+va7c2+va7+va4c+va3c6+va3c4+va3c2+va2c5
      +va2c+vac6+vac4+vc3+vc+x5a+x5c+x3a6c+x3a5+x3a4c+x3a3
      +x3a2c+x3ac2+x3c+xa7c2+xa6c+xa5c2+xa4c3+xa3c6+xa3c4
      +xa3c2+xa3+xa2c5+xa2c3+xa2c+xac6+xac4+xa);
J3[6]=w+(vx+1)*y+(a)*b+(vxc+c)*d+(v3a2+v3ac+v2xa2+v2xc2+vx2a2
      +vx2ac+vx2c2+vx2+va2c2+va2+vac3+v+xa2c2+xa2+xac3+xc2);
```

`dim(J3);` returns 0, hence V' is a surface.

Let $f = (a^3 + a^2c^3 + a^2c + ac^4 + ac^2 + c)(ac + 1)(a + c)(c + 1)ac$ be the least common multiple of the leading coefficients of this Gröbner basis. Then, using SINGULAR[3], we obtain

$$J3 \cap \mathbb{F}_2[a,c,w,y,b,d,x,v] = \langle J3[1],\dots,J3[6]\rangle : f^\infty = \langle J3[1],\dots,J3[6]\rangle : f^6 = J.$$

Since $J : f = J$, no factor of f divides all elements of J. That is why the irreducibility of $J3$ as an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[w,y,b,d,x,v]$ implies the irreducibility of J.

[3]The first equality is a general fact (cf. [GP3]). To see that $\langle J3[1],\dots,J3[6]\rangle : f^\infty = J$, it is sufficient to know that $J \supset \langle J3[1],\dots,J3[6]\rangle$, $J = J : f$ and that $\langle J3[1],\dots,J3[6]\rangle : f^\infty$ is a prime ideal, which we shall see later. This is, computationally, much easier to check than a direct computation.

Furthermore, we compute the vector space dimension over $\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)$ as

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)} \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[w,y,b,d,x,v]/J3 = 12\,.$$

Next we show that $J3 \cap \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[b] = \langle h \rangle$ with the following polynomial h, which we compute directly by elimination (using SINGULAR).

```
poly h=(a18c2+a16+a14c6+a12c4+a10c10+a8c8+a6c14+a4c12)*b12
+(a20c2+a19c5+a18+a17c7+a17c5+a17c3+a16c6+a15c7+a15c5+a15c3
+a14c4+a13c5+a12c10+a11c13+a10c8+a9c15+a9c13+a9c11+a8c14
+a7c15+a7c13+a7c11+a6c12+a5c13)*b10+(a21c5+a20c4+a19c5+a19c3
+a18c2+a17c9+a17c3+a16c6+a16+a15c7+a14c6+a14c4+a14c2+a13c13
+a12c12+a11c13+a11c11+a10c10+a10c6+a9c17+a9c11+a8c14+a8c8
+a7c15+a6c14+a6c12+a6c10+a2c14)*b8+(a24c2+a22c4+a22+a18c6
+a18c4+a17c11+a17c3+a16c8+a15c13+a15c9+a15c7+a15c5+a14c10
+a14c8+a13c15+a13c11+a13c9+a13c7+a12c14+a12c12+a12c8+a11c17
+a11c13+a11c11+a11c5+a10c16+a10c12+a10c10+a10c4+a9c15+a9c13
+a9c11+a9c9+a8c12+a8c6+a7c13+a7c11+a6c14+a6c8+a5c15+a5c13
+a4c10+a3c15+a3c13)*b6+(a26c2+a25c5+a24c4+a24+a23c5+a23c3
+a22c2+a21c9+a21c5+a21c3+a20c8+a20c6+a20+a19c5+a18c10+a18c8
+a18c6+a18c2+a17c13+a17c5+a17c3+a16c6+a16c4+a16+a15c13
+a15c11+a15c9+a15c7+a15c5+a14c12+a14c8+a14c6+a14c4+a13c17
+a13c11+a13c5+a12c14+a12c12+a12c10+a12c8+a12c6+a12c4+a11c11
+a11c9+a11c7+a10c12+a10c10+a9c17+a9c7+a8c12+a8c10+a8c8+a8c4
+a7c11+a6c14+a6c12+a6c6+a5c17+a5c15+a5c13+a5c11+a4c12+a2c10
+c12)*b4+(a27c5+a26c4+a25c7+a25c5+a25c3+a24c6+a24c2+a23c7
+a23c5+a23c3+a22c6+a21c7+a21c5+a21c3+a20c8+a19c13+a19c9+a19c7
+a19c5+a18c12+a18c10+a18c8+a18c6+a18c4+a18+a17c15+a17c13+a17c9
+a17c5+a16c14+a16c12+a16c8+a16c4+a15c15+a15c3+a14c12+a14c10
+a14c6+a14c4+a13c11+a13c5+a12c14+a12c8+a11c13+a11c9+a11c5
+a10c14+a10c12+a10c10+a9c13+a9c11+a9c9+a8c12+a8c10+a7c13+a6c14
+a5c15+a4c14+a4c12+a4c8+a3c15+a3c13+a2c14+a2c10)*b2
+(a26c6+a24c4+a22c6+a20+a18c14+a16c12+a16c4+a16+a14c14+a14c10
+a14c2+a8c12+a8c8+a8c4+a6c14+a6c10+a4c12+a2c14+a2c10+c8);
```

h is a polynomial of degree 12 with respect to b and therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)} \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[b]/(J3 \cap \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[b]) = 12$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)} \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[w,y,b,d,x,v]/J3$ is also 12, we know that a lexicographical Gröbner basis with respect to $b < v < x < d < y < w$ of $J3$ must have leading polynomials as follows: b^{12}, v, x, d, y, w.[4]

It follows that the projection

$$[a,b,c,d,v,w,x,y] \to (a,b,c)$$

over the field $\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)$ is birational on $V(J3)$. The image of $\mathbf{V}(J3)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[b]$ is defined by the polynomial h.

[4]We do not need to compute directly $J3 \cap \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[b] = \langle h \rangle$ which is difficult. Once h is given, it suffices to know that h is irreducible of degree 12, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2(a,c)} \mathbb{F}_2(a,c)[w,y,b,d,x,v]/J3 = 12$ and $h \in J3$, which is much easier to check.

This implies that $J3\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2(a,c)[w,y,d,x,v,b]$ is a prime ideal if h is absolutely irreducible. In particular, we obtain that J is absolutely irreducible if h is absolutely irreducible.

To prove that h is absolutely irreducible, we proceed as follows:

First we show that the radical of the ideal of the coefficients of h in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[a,c]$ with respect to b is $\langle a,c\rangle \cap \langle a+1, c+1\rangle$. We do this using the factorising Gröbner basis algorithm.

```
ideal JF=coeffs(h,b); facstd(JF);
[1]:
   _[1]=c
   _[2]=a
[2]:
   _[1]=c+1
   _[2]=a+1
```

This implies that h cannot have a nontrivial factor in $\mathbb{F}_2[a,c]$. Then we consider $\tilde{h}(b,c) = h(1,b,c)$.

```
subst(h,a,1);

(c+1)^14*b12+(c+1)^14*b10+(c+1)^11*(c6+c5+c4+c+1)*b8+(c+1)^11
*(c6+c4+c2+c+1)*b6+(c+1)^8*(c9+c7+c5+c4+c3+c2+1)*b4+(c+1)^10
*b2+(c+1)^10*c2;
```

It is sufficient to show that $f(x,c) = \tilde{h}\left(\frac{x}{c+1}, c\right)/(c+1)^2$ is absolutely irreducible. To simplify the situation, we make the transformation $c \mapsto c+1$.

Let $a_4 = c^6 + c^5 + c^4 + c^2 + 1$ and $a_2 = c^9 + c^8 + c^7 + c^6 + c^4 + c^2 + 1$.

Lemma 4.5. *The polynomial*

$$f = x^{12} + c^2x^{10} + ca_4x^8 + c^3(c^6 + c + 1)x^6 + c^2a_2x^4 + c^6x^2 + c^8(c+1)^2$$

is irreducible in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[x,c]$.

Proof. We check that $f(x,c^2)$ is the square of some polynomial g, that is, g is defined by $g^2(x,c) = f(x,c^2)$. It suffices to prove that g is irreducible: if $f = f_1f_2$ is a non–trivial decomposition, then $g^2 = f_1(x,c^2)f_2(x,c^2)$. If g is irreducible, we obtain $g = f_1(x,c^2) = f_2(x,c^2)$. This implies $f = f_1^2$, which is obviously not true.

First step: g has no linear factor in x.

A linear factor of g has to be of the form $x - x_0c^i(c+1)^j$ for some $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ and $i \leq 8$, $j \leq 2$. Now it is easy to see, using divisibility by c, that $g\big(x_0c^i(c+1)^j, c\big) \neq 0$ for $i = 0,1,2,4,\ldots,8$. In the case $i = 3$, $g\big(x_0c^3(c+1)^j, c\big)\big) \neq 0$ because $\big(x_0c^3(c+1)^j\big)^6$ has degree $18+6j$ with respect to c, which is strictly larger than the degree of the other summands.

Second step: g has no quadratic factor in x.

Assume that $g = (x^4 + \alpha x^3 + \beta x^2 + \gamma x + \delta)(x^2 + \varepsilon x + \mu)$ for $\alpha,\ldots,\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2[c]$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad \mu\delta &= c^8(c+1)^2 \\
(2) \quad \mu\gamma + \varepsilon\delta &= c^6 \\
(3) \quad \mu\beta + \varepsilon\gamma + \delta &= c^2 a_2 \\
(4) \quad \mu\alpha + \varepsilon\beta + \gamma &= c^3(c^6 + c + 1) \\
(5) \quad \mu + \varepsilon\alpha + \beta &= c a_4 \\
(6) \quad \varepsilon + \alpha &= c^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $g(x,0) = x^6$ implies that $c|\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \mu$. Therefore, they all have degree ≤ 10. Equation (2) implies that $(c+1)|\mu$ and $(c+1)|\delta$ are not possible. (3) and (4) imply that $c^2|\delta$ and $c^2|\gamma$ and, therefore $\deg(\mu) \leq 8$. (4), (5) and (6) imply that $\deg(\varepsilon) \leq 4$ and $\deg(\alpha) \leq 4$.

If $\deg(\mu) = 8$, then $\deg(\mu\gamma) \geq 10$ and (2) implies that $\deg(\varepsilon\delta) \geq 10$. This implies that $\deg(\varepsilon) \geq 8$, which is not possible, as we already saw.

If $\deg(\varepsilon) = \deg(\alpha) = 4$, then (5) implies $\deg(\beta) = 8$. This implies $\deg(\varepsilon\beta) = 12$ and, therefore, by (4), $\deg(\mu\alpha) = 12$. This contradicts $\deg(\mu) \leq 7$ and $\deg(\alpha) = 4$. Thus, we have $\deg(\varepsilon) \leq 3$ and $\deg(\alpha) \leq 3$. This implies, using (5), $\deg(\beta) \leq 7$.

If $\deg(\mu) = 6$, then $\deg(\delta) = 4$ implies $\deg(\mu\gamma) \geq 8$ and $\deg(\delta\varepsilon) \leq 7$, contradicting (2).

We obtain $\deg(\mu) \leq 5$ and, using (5), $\deg(\beta) = 7$. If $\deg(\mu) \leq 3$, then $\deg(\mu\beta) \leq 10$ and (3) implies $\deg(\varepsilon\gamma) = 11$. We shall see that this is not possible.

If $\deg(\varepsilon) = 3$, then $\deg(\varepsilon\beta) = 10$ and (4) implies that $\deg(\gamma) = 10$. This contradicts $\deg(\varepsilon\gamma) = 11$.

If $\deg(\varepsilon) = 2$ then $\deg(\varepsilon\beta) = 9$ and $\deg(\gamma) = 9$. This contradicts (2).

If $\deg(\varepsilon) = 1$, then $\deg(\varepsilon\beta) = 8$ and $\deg(\gamma) = 10$. This contradicts (4).

Finally, we obtain $4 \leq \deg(\mu) \leq 5$. This implies $c^2|\mu$ and $c^3|\delta$ and, consequently, $c^3|\mu\beta$. But we know already that $c^2|\gamma$ and, therefore, $c^3|\varepsilon\gamma$ and obtain a contradiction to (3).

Third step: g has no cubic factor in x.

Let $g = (x^3 + \alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma)(x^3 + \delta x^2 + \varepsilon x + \mu)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad \gamma\mu &= c^8(c+1)^2 \\
(2) \quad \gamma\varepsilon + \mu\beta &= c^6 \\
(3) \quad \mu\alpha + \varepsilon\beta + \gamma\delta &= c^2 a_2 \\
(4) \quad \mu + \alpha\varepsilon + \beta\delta + \gamma &= c^3(c^6 + c + 1) \\
(5) \quad \varepsilon + \alpha\delta + \beta &= c a_4 \\
(6) \quad \alpha + \delta &= c^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $g(x,0) = x^6$ implies $c|\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \mu$.

As in the previous case, $(c+1)|\gamma, \mu$ is not possible. If $c^4 \nmid \gamma$, then $c^5|\mu$ and, by (2), $c^6|\varepsilon\gamma$, which implies $c^3|\varepsilon$. This contradicts (3) and (4), because (3) implies $c^3 \nmid \gamma\delta$ and, therefore, $c^2 \nmid \gamma$.

We obtain that $c^4|\gamma$ and, by symmetry, $c^4|\mu$. We may assume that $\gamma = \gamma_0 c^4(c+1)^2$ and $\mu = \mu_0 c^4$ for suitable $\gamma_0, \mu_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$. This implies $\deg(\delta) \leq 5$, $\deg(\varepsilon) \leq 5$ and $\deg(\alpha) \leq 7$, $\deg(\beta) \leq 7$ by using (3), since a_2 is of degree 9.

If $\deg(\alpha) \geq 4$, then $\deg(\delta) \geq 4$ by (6). This implies $\deg(\alpha\delta) \geq 8$, which contradicts (5). We obtain that $\deg(\alpha) \leq 3$, $\deg(\delta) \leq 3$. This implies, using (5), that $\deg(\beta) = 7$. Now (4) implies that $\deg(\delta) = 2$ and we obtain, using (3), that $\deg(\varepsilon) = 4$. This is a contradiction to (2) and finishes the third step.

Altogether, we proved now that $V' = \mathbf{V}(J)$ is absolutely irreducible. □

Next we compute the singular locus of $\mathbf{V}(J)$, using SINGULAR (with a special procedure).

Lemma 4.6. *The singular locus of $\mathbf{V}(J)$ is the union of the following six smooth curves defined by the ideals $S1, \ldots, S6$.*

```
S1[1]=y; S1[2]=x; S1[3]=v2+vw+w2+1; S1[4]=d+1; S1[5]=c+1;
S1[6]=b+w+1; S1[7]=a+1;

S2[1]=y+1; S2[2]=x+1; S2[3]=v+w+1; S2[4]=d; S2[5]=c;
S2[6]=b2+w2+w+1; S2[7]=a;

S3[1]=y+1; S3[2]=x+1; S3[3]=v+1; S3[4]=d; S3[5]=c; S3[6]=b2+w+1;
S3[7]=a2+ab+w;

S4[1]=y; S4[2]=x; S4[3]=v; S4[4]=d+1; S4[5]=c+1; S4[6]=b2+b+w+1;
S4[7]=a+b+1;

S5[1]=x2+y; S5[2]=wy+x; S5[3]=wx+1; S5[4]=v; S5[5]=d2+xy+x;
S5[6]=c; S5[7]=by+b+dw+d; S5[8]=bx+b+dw; S5[9]=bw+b+dw2;
S5[10]=bd+x+1; S5[11]=b2+w; S5[12]=a+dw;

S6[1]=x; S6[2]=w3y+w2+1; S6[3]=v+w2y; S6[4]=d+wy+1; S6[5]=c+w2y+w;
S6[6]=b+w; S6[7]=a;
```

Corollary 4.7. *The singular locus of V' is contained in the set $S = V' \cap \mathbf{V}(xc)$. The variety $U = V' \setminus S$ is a smooth irreducible affine surface invariant under the morphism α. For any odd n, α^n has no fixed points in S.*

Proof. The first two assertions are checked directly, looking at the equations S1–S6 and the equation for the action of α. To prove the third, assume that $p = (a, b, \ldots, y)$ is a fixed point of α^n lying on S. Let $x = 0$. Then, since p is α^n invariant, we have $c = 0$. Since $p \in V'$, equation J3[1] gives $a^8v^6 + a^8v^4 = 0$. (The variety defined by the ideal J3 contains V' as a component, so p must satisfy all the equations of J3.) Hence we have either $a = 0$, or $v = 0$, or $v = 1$.

In any of the two first cases we have $a = v = c = x = 0$, and equation J3[3] gives $d = 0$. Since p is an invariant point, we get $y = 0$. Furthermore, equation J[4] gives $w = 0$. Hence $b = 0$, contradiction.

If $v = 1$, then $a = 1$ which, taking into account $a = c = 0$, contradicts J[7]. □

4.3. **Trace formula.** Throughout this subsection k denotes a (fixed) algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$. All varieties under consideration, even those defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, are viewed as k-varieties.

Let V' be the variety defined by equations $J[1], \dots, J[10]$ (see Subsection 4.2). We have seen that this is an irreducible affine surface. Computations in Subsection 4.2 show that the singular locus of V' is contained in the set $S = V' \cap \mathbf{V}(xc)$. By Corollary 4.7 the variety $U = V' \setminus S$ is a smooth irreducible affine surface invariant under the morphism α acting in $\mathbb{A}^8$ as

$$\alpha(a, b, c, d, v, w, x, y) = (v, w, x, y, a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2) \tag{4.1}$$

(see Subsection 4.1).

Our goal is to prove that for n odd and large enough, the set U has an α^n-invariant point. In this subsection we prove an estimate of Lang–Weil type:

Theorem 4.8. *With the above notation, let* $\#\operatorname{Fix}(U, n)$ *be the number of fixed points of* α^n (*counted with their multiplicities*). *Then for any odd* $n > 1$ *the following inequality holds:*

$$|\#\operatorname{Fix}(U, n) - 2^n| \le b^1 2^{3n/4} + b^2 2^{n/2}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $b^i = \dim H^i_{\text{ét}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *are* ℓ*–adic Betti numbers* ($\ell \neq 2$).

The strategy of proof is as follows. The operator α and all its powers act on the étale ℓ-adic cohomology groups $H^i_c(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of U (with compact support). We are going to apply Deligne's conjecture (proved by T. Zink for surfaces [Zi], by Pink [Pi] in arbitrary dimension (modulo resolution of singularities), and by Fujiwara [Fu] in the general case) saying that the Lefschetz(–Weil–Grothendieck–Verdier) trace formula is valid for any operator on U composed with sufficiently large power of the Frobenius (in our case this means sufficiently large odd power of α). We shall show that in our case the trace formula is already valid after twisting with the first power of the Frobenius. This fact is a consequence of the above mentioned results on Deligne's conjecture together with the following crucial observation: roughly speaking, if we consider the closure $\overline{U}$ of U in $\mathbb{P}^8$, α (as well as any of its odd powers) has no fixed points at the boundary (i.e. on $\overline{U} \setminus U$). As soon as the trace formula is established, the proof can be finished by applying Deligne's estimates of the eigenvalues of the Frobenius.

Let us make all this more precise.

Denote by Γ (the transpose of) the graph of α acting on $\mathbb{A}^8$ by formulas (4.1), i.e. $\Gamma = \{(\alpha(M), M) : M \in \mathbb{A}^8\}$, and let $\Gamma_U = \Gamma \cap (U \times U)$.

Consider the natural embedding $\mathbb{A}^8 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$, and denote by $\overline{\Gamma}$ (resp. $\overline{\Gamma}_U$) $\subset \mathbb{P}^8 \times \mathbb{P}^8$ the closure of Γ (resp. Γ_U) with respect to this embedding. Let $H_0 = (\mathbb{P}^8 \times \mathbb{P}^8) \setminus (\mathbb{A}^8 \times \mathbb{A}^8)$, $H_1 = (V' \times V') \setminus (U \times U)$, $H = H_0 \cup H_1$. Let Δ denote the diagonal of $\mathbb{A}^8 \times \mathbb{A}^8$, $\overline{\Delta}$ the

diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^8 \times \mathbb{P}^8$, $\Delta_U = \Delta \cap \Gamma_U$, and $\overline{\Delta}_U = \overline{\Delta} \cap \overline{\Gamma}_U$. If n is a positive integer, denote the corresponding objects related to α^n by $\Gamma^{(n)}$, $\overline{\Gamma}^{(n)}$, $\Gamma_U^{(n)}$, $\overline{\Gamma}_U^{(n)}$, $\Delta_U^{(n)}$, $\overline{\Delta}_U^{(n)}$.

Lemma 4.9. *If n is odd, $\overline{\Delta}_U^{(n)} = \Delta_U^{(n)}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\overline{\Delta}_U^{(n)} \smallsetminus \Delta_U^{(n)} = \overline{\Gamma}_U^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H.$$

We wish to prove that this set is empty. Since

$$\overline{\Gamma}_U^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H \subseteq \overline{\Gamma}^{(n)} \cap (\overline{U \times U}) \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H,$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H = \emptyset.$$

First note that

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H_1 = \Gamma^{(n)} \cap \Delta \cap H_1 = \emptyset$$

(the first equality is obvious since H_1 is contained in $\mathbb{A}^8 \times \mathbb{A}^8$, and the second one immediately follows from Corollary 4.7). Hence we only have to prove that $\overline{\Gamma}^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H_0 = \emptyset$.

Let $(a, b, c, d, v, w, x, y), (a', b', \dots, y')$ be the coordinates in $\mathbb{A}^8 \times \mathbb{A}^8$, and let $(a : b : \cdots : t), (a' : b' : \cdots : t')$ be the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^8 \times \mathbb{P}^8$. Suppose that

$$M = ((a : b : \cdots : t), (a' : b' : \cdots : t')) \in \overline{\Gamma}^{(n)} \cap \overline{\Delta} \cap H_0.$$

If $n = 2m + 1$, denote $s = 2^m$. With this notation, since $M \in \overline{\Gamma}^{(n)}$, formulas (4.1) imply that

$$\begin{array}{llll} a' = v^s t^s, & b' = w^s t^s, & c' = x^s t^s, & d' = y^s t^s, \\ v' = a^{2s}, & w' = b^{2s}, & x' = c^{2s}, & y' = d^{2s}, \\ t' = t^{2s}. & & & \end{array}$$

On the other hand, since $M \in H_0$, we have $t = t' = 0$, and hence $a' = b' = c' = d' = 0$. Furthermore, since $M \in \overline{\Delta}$, we have $a' = \lambda a$, $b' = \lambda b$, $c' = \lambda c$, $d' = \lambda d$ for some $\lambda \in k$, and hence $a = b = c = d = 0$. This implies $v' = w' = x' = y' = 0$, contradiction. □

The next goal is to show that the Lefschetz trace formula holds for all odd nth powers of α ($n > 1$). We shall do it using the above mentioned results on Deligne's conjecture. First we briefly recall the general approach ([SGA5], [Zi], [Pi], [Fu]); we mainly use the notation of [Pi] and refer the reader to that paper for more details.

(i) *Global term.* We can (and shall) view our operator α as a particular case of the correspondence a:

$$U \xleftarrow{a_1} \Gamma_U \xrightarrow{a_2} U$$

(here a_1 and a_2 stand for the first and second projections, respectively). We regard an odd power α^{2m+1} as a "twisted" correspondence $b = \mathrm{Fr}^m \circ a$ with $b_1 = \mathrm{Fr}^m \circ a_1$, $b_2 = a_2$.

Let Λ denote a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, L a constructible Λ-sheaf (in our situation it suffices to consider the constant sheaf $L = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). Then a cohomological correspondence u on L with support in b is a morphism $u\colon b_1^* L \to b_2^! L$, where * stands for the inverse image functor, and $^!$ for the extraordinary inverse image functor (cf. [Pi, Section 1] and references

therein); in our situation $b_2 = \mathrm{id}$ and hence $b_2^! L = L$. Since b_1 is a proper morphism, u induces an endomorphism $u_!\colon H_c^\bullet(U, L) \to H_c^\bullet(U, L)$ which possesses a well-defined trace $\mathrm{tr}(u_!) \in \Lambda$; this is the global term in the desired trace formula. In down-to-earth terms, in our situation we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(u_!) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(\alpha^n | H_c^i(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell). \tag{4.3}$$

(ii) *Compactification.* Furthermore, since b_1 is proper, our correspondence b can be extended to a compactification $\bar{b}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xleftarrow{b_1} & \Gamma_U & \xrightarrow{b_2} & U \\ {\scriptstyle j}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{U} & \xleftarrow{\bar{b}_1} & \overline{\Gamma}_U & \xrightarrow{\bar{b}_2} & \bar{U} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are open embeddings and the bottom line is proper. This gives rise to a cohomological correspondence $\bar{u}_!$ on the sheaf $j_! L$ with support in $\bar{b}$; here $_!$ stands for the direct image functor with compact support (extension by 0), cf. [Pi, 2.3].

The global term does not change after compactification:

$$\mathrm{tr}(\bar{u}_!) = \mathrm{tr}(u_!). \tag{4.4}$$

(see [Pi, Lemma 2.3.1]).

For a compactified correspondence the Lefschetz–Verdier trace formula is known (cf. [Pi, 2.2.1]):

$$\mathrm{tr}(\bar{u}_!) = \sum_D LT_D(\bar{u}) \tag{4.5}$$

where D runs over all the connected components of $\mathrm{Fix}(\bar{b})$, and the local terms $LT_D(\bar{u})$ are defined as in [Pi, 2.1]. In our case $\mathrm{Fix}(\bar{b})$ consists of isolated points (since this is true for the Frobenius), and all these points are contained in U (because of Lemma 4.9 there are no fixed points at the boundary, neither on the singular locus, nor at infinity).

(iii) *Local terms.* Suppose that b_2 is quasifinite and y is a point not at infinity. Let $x = b_2(y)$, then

$$d(y) = [k(y)/k(x)]_i \cdot \mathrm{length}\, O_{\Gamma_U, y} / b_2^*(m_{U,x} O_{U,x}),$$

where $[k(y)/k(x)]_i$ denotes the inseparable degree of the residue field extension. Clearly, in our case $b_2 = \mathrm{id}$ implies $d(y) = 1$.

By [Fu, Th. 5.2.1], for an isolated fixed point y at finite distance we have

$$LT_y(u) = \mathrm{tr}_y(u) \tag{4.6}$$

provided $2^m > d(y)$. In our setting,

$$\mathrm{tr}_y(u) \text{ equals the multiplicity of } y \tag{4.7}$$

(cf. [Zi, p. 338], [Pi, 8.3.1]).

(iv) Summing up, (i) – (iii) (or, more precisely, formulas (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), together with Lemma 4.9) imply

Proposition 4.10. *If $n > 1$ is an odd integer, then*

$$\#\operatorname{Fix}(U, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}\big(\alpha^n \mid H^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\big)\,. \tag{4.8}$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.8. Since U is non–singular, the ordinary and compact Betti numbers of U are related by Poincaré duality [Ka2, p. 6], and we have $b^i_{\mathrm{c}} = b^{4-i}$. Since U is affine, $b^i = 0$ for $i > 2$ [Ka2, loc. cit.]. Since U is geometrically integral, $b^0 = 1$ and Fr acts on the one-dimensional vector space $H^0(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as multiplication by 4 [Ka2, loc. cit.]. Hence α acts on the same space as multiplication by 2. (Indeed, if it were multiplication by (-2), for a sufficiently big power of α the right-hand side of (4.8) would be negative.) Hence α^n acts as multiplication by 2^n. Thus $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha^n | H^4_c(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = 2^n$.

On the other hand, according to Deligne [De, Th. 1] for every eigenvalue α_{ij} of Fr acting on $H^i_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ we have $|\alpha_{ij}| \le 2^{i/2}$. This yields similar inequalities for the eigenvalues β_{ij} of α: $|\beta_{ij}| \le 2^{i/4}$ and the eigenvalues $\beta_{ij,n}$ of α^n: $|\beta_{ij,n}| \le 2^{ni/4}$. We thus get

$$|\operatorname{tr}\big(\alpha^n | H^3_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\big)| \le b^1 2^{3n/4}\,,$$
$$|\operatorname{tr}\big(\alpha^n | H^2_{\mathrm{c}}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\big)| \le b^2 2^{n/2}\,.$$

This proves the theorem. □

Remark 4.11. Probably one can get another proof of Proposition 4.10 (and hence Theorem 4.8) using an approach of [DL]. In that paper the Lefschetz trace formula is established for any endomorphism of finite order. A remark in Section 11 of the above cited paper (see also [SGA4$\frac{1}{2}$, Sommes trig., 8.2, p. 231]) says that the results of the paper can be extended to the case of an endomorphism α with the property $\alpha^2 = \mathrm{Fr}$.

4.4. **Estimates of Betti numbers.** As in the previous subsection, we assume that the ground field is $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_2$.

Recall that we consider the variety V' defined by equations $J[1\text{–}10]$ (see Subsection 4.2) whose singular locus is contained in the set $S = V' \cap \mathbf{V}(xc)$. As before, we denote $U = V' \setminus S$; it is a smooth irreducible affine variety invariant under the morphism α. Our aim is to estimate $b^1(U)$ and $b^2(U)$.

First we deal with $b^1(U)$. We want to use the Lefschetz Theorem on hyperplane sections. For technical reasons we want to use hyperplanes of special type, namely those defined by equations $\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma = 0$. These hyperplane sections are not general, and in order to apply the Lefschetz Theorem, we have to provide a quasifinite map of the surface V' onto $\mathbb{A}^2$ with coordinates a, c.

The next step is to estimate the Euler characteristic of U. To do this, we represent U as the union of an open subset U' and a finite number of curves. We estimate the Euler characteristics of these curves and of U' separately, using the fact that U' is a double cover of a simpler variety. Having in hand bounds for $b^1(U)$ and $\chi(U)$, we estimate $b^2(U)$.

Proposition 4.12. *A regular map $\pi\colon U \to \mathbb{A}^2$ defined as $\pi(a,b,c,d,v,w,x,y) = (a,c)$ is quasifinite.*

Proof. Consider the variety $\widetilde{W}$ defined in $\mathbb{A}^8$ by equations $J3[1-6]$ (see Subsection 4.2).

We have $\widetilde{W} \supset V'$ and $\widetilde{W} \setminus V' \subset \mathbf{V}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^8$, where

$$f(a,c) = c(ac+1)a(a+c)(c+1)(a^3 + a^2c^2c^3 + a^2c + ac^4 + ac^2 + c)$$

(see Subsection 4.2). Thus, if $f(a,c) \neq 0$, the equation $J3[1]$ provides at most six different possible values for v. The equation $J3[2]$ implies that for each of these six values only one value of x is possible. The equation $J3[3]$ gives at most two values for d, and all the proceeding equations provide one value for b, y and w. Hence, for any point $(a,c) \in \mathbb{A}^2$, the preimage $\pi^{-1}(a,c)$ is finite if $f(a,c) \neq 0$.

Let now $A = \mathbf{V}(f) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$. Then $\pi^{-1}(A) \cap U = \cup A_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ which may be described as follows.

1. $A_1 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(c-1)$.

According to calculations, $A_1 = A_1^1 \cup A_1^2$, where $A_1^1 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(c-1) \setminus \mathbf{V}\big(a(a+1)(a^2+a+1)\big)$ and $A_1^2 = U \cap \mathbf{V}\big(c-1, a(a+1)(a^2+a+1)\big)$.

The set A_1^1 is defined by the ideal L.

```
L[1]=c+1;
L[2]=(a5+a4+a3+a2)*v4+(a5+a)*v2+(a4+a2+1);
L[3]=x+(a3+a2)*v3+(a3+a2+a)*v;
L[4]=(a+1)*d2+(a4+a2)*dv3+(a4+a)*dv+(a8+a6+a5+a4+a3+a2+1)*v2+(a8+a5+a+1);
L[5]=(a4+a2+1)*b+(a5+a4+a2+a)*dv2+(a4+a)*d+(a6+a4)*v3+(a6+a2+a+1)*v;
L[6]=(a2+a+1)*y+(a2+a+1)*d+(a7+a6+a5+a2)*v3+(a7+a6+a4+a3+a2+a)*v;
L[7]=(a4+a2+1)*w+(a6+a5+a3+a2)*dv2+(a5+a2)*d+(a7+a4+a2+a)*v3+(a7+a6+a5+a)*v;
```

These equations show that for a fixed value of a, if $a(a+1)(a^2+a+1) \neq 0$, there are at most six points in $U \cap \pi^{-1}(a,1)$. The set A_1^2 is defined by the ideal L1.

```
L1[1]=a2+a+1
L1[2]=c+1
L1[3]=v+a+1
L1[4]=x+a
L1[5]=d2+da+1
L1[6]=b+da+d
L1[7]=y+d+a
L1[8]=w+d+1
```

It follows that $\pi^{-1}(1,1) = \emptyset$; $\pi^{-1}(0,1) = \emptyset$; $\pi^{-1}(a_0,1)$, where a_0 is a root of a^2+a+1, consists of two points.

2. $A_2 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(c) = \emptyset$.

3. $A_3 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(a) = \emptyset$.
4. $A_4 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(a+c) = \emptyset$.
5. $A_5 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(ac+1) = A_5^1 \cup A_5^2$.

5.1 $A_5^1 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(ac+1) \cap \mathbf{D}\big((a^2+a+1)(a-1)a\big)$. This set is defined by the ideal D.

```
D[1]=(a)*c+1;
D[2]=(a3+a2)*v2+(a3+a2+a)*v+1;
D[3]=x+(a4)*v3+(a4)*v;
D[4]=(a6+a2)*d2+(a9+a5)*dv3+(a9+a5)*dv+(a10+a6+a4+a2+1)*v2+(a10+a2+1);
D[5]=(a3+a)*b+(a5+a3)*dv2+(a)*d+(a4+a2)*v3+(a4+a2+1)*v;
D[6]=(a)*y+d+(a7+a5)*v3+(a7+a5+a3)*v;
D[7]=(a2+1)*w+(a5+a3)*dv2+(a)*d+(a4+a2)*v3+v;
```

which show that for any point $a \neq 0, 1$, or a_0 (a root of a^2+a+1), the set $\pi^{-1}(a, \frac{1}{a})$ contains at most four points.

5.2 $A_5^2 = U \cap \mathbf{V}\big((a-1)(a-a_0)a\big)$.

This set consists of four points defined by the ideal D1.

```
D1[1]=a2+a+1
D1[2]=c+a+1
D1[3]=v+a
D1[4]=x+1
D1[5]=d2+da+d+1
D1[6]=b+d+a
D1[7]=y+da+d+a+1
D1[8]=w+da+a
```

6. $A_6 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(h_1)$, where $h_1(a,c) = a^3 + a^2c^3 + a^2c + ac^4 + ac^2 + c$.
   $A_6 = A_6^1 \cup A_6^2 \cup A_6^3$, where
   $A_6^1 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(h_1) \cap \mathbf{D}\big(v^2 + ac^3 + c^2 + a^2, a(a+1)(a^2+a+1)\big)$;
   $A_6^2 = U \cap \mathbf{V}(h_1, v^2 + ac^3 + c^2 + a^2) \cap \mathbf{D}\big(a(a+1)(a^2+a+1)\big)$;
   $A_6^3 = U \cap \mathbf{V}\big(a(a+1)(a^2+a+1)\big)$.

The set A_6^1 is defined by the ideal K1.

```
K1[1]=(a)*c4+(a2)*c3+(a)*c2+(a2+1)*c+(a3);
K1[2]=(a3+a)*v4+(a6+a4+a2)*v2c3+(a5+a)*v2c2+v2c+(a7+a3+a)*v2+(a10+a8+1)*c3
     +(a9+a5)*c2+(a4+a2+1)*c+(a11+a7+a5);
K1[3]=(a2+1)*xv2+(a3+a)*xc3+(a2+1)*xc2+(a4+a2)*x+(a)*v3c3+(a)*v3c+v3+(a5)*vc3
     +(a4+a2)*vc2+(a)*vc+(a6+a4+a2)*v;
K1[4]=(a6+a4+a2+1)*x2+(a7+a5)*xvc3+(a8+a4)*xvc2+(a7+a)*xvc+(a8+a2)*xv
     +(a3)*v2c3+(a8+a6)*v2c2+(a7+a5+a3)*v2c+(a6)*v2+(a11+a3+a)*c3+(a10+a8)*c2
     +(a5+a3+a)*c+(a12+a10+a6+a4+1);
K1[5]=(a10+a6+a4+1)*d2+(a10+a6+a4+1)*dxc+(a13+a11+a9+a7+a3+a)*xvc3
     +(a14+a12+a4+a2)*xvc2+(a13+a9+a7+a5)*xvc+(a6+a4)*xv+(a13+a11+a9+a7+a3)
```

```
      *v2c3+(a14+a12+a8+a6+a2+1)*v2c2+(a13+a11+a5+a3+a)*v2c+(a8+a4+a2)*v2
      +(a13+a9+a5)*c3+(a12+a6)*c2+(a5+a3+a)*c+(a14+a12+a8+a4+a2);
K1[6]=(a12+a10+a8+a6+a4+a2)*b+(a3+a)*dxvc3+(a8+a6)*dxvc2+(a5+a)*dxvc
      +(a10+a4+a2+1)*dxv+(a5+a3)*dv2c3+(a10+a8)*dv2c2+(a7+a3)*dv2c
      +(a12+a6+a4+a2)*dv2+(a7+a5+a3)*dc3+(a12+a8+a6+a2)*dc2+(a11+a9+a7)*dc
      +(a12+a10+a8)*d+(a8+a2)*xc3+(a7+a)*xc2+(a10+a8+a4+a2)*xc+(a11+a9+a5+a3)
      *x+(a13+a11+a9+a7+a5+a3)*v3c2+(a14+a12+a10+a8+a6+a4)*v3c+(a13+a11+a9+a7
      +a5+a3)*v3+(a6+a4+a2)*vc3+(a13+a11+a7+a5)*vc2+(a14+a10+a8+a6+a2)*vc
      +(a11+a9+a7)*v;
K1[7]=y+bdx+bdv3+bdv+bc3+bc+d3c+(a)*d3+dx2c3+dx2c+(a)*dxvc12+(a2)*dxvc11
      +(a2+1)*dxvc9+(a)*dxvc6+(a)*dxvc4+(a2)*dxvc3+(a)*dxvc2+(a2)*dxvc+(a)*dxv
      +dv4c+(a)*dv2c12+(a2)*dv2c11+(a2+1)*dv2c9+dv2c7+(a)*dv2c6+dv2c5+(a2+1)
      *dv2c3+dv2c+(a)*dc10+(a)*dc8+(a2)*dc7+(a2)*dc5+(a2)*dc3+(a)*dc2+(a)
      *d+x3c2+x3+x2vc2+x2v+(a)*xc21+(a2)*xc20+(a2+1)*xc18+(a)*xc17+(a2+1)
      *xc16+(a2)*xc14+xc12+(a)*xc11+(a2+1)*xc10+(a)*xc9+(a)*xc7+(a2)*xc6+(a)
      *xc5+xc4+(a)*xc3+(a)*xc+(a)*v3c19+(a2)*v3c18+(a)*v3c17+v3c16+(a2)*v3c14
      +(a)*v3c11+(a2+1)*v3c10+(a2)*v3c8+(a)*v3c7+(a2)*v3c6+v3c4+v3c2+(a)*v3c
      +(a2)*v3+(a)*vc21+(a2)*vc20+(a)*vc19+vc18+(a2)*vc16+(a)*vc15+(a2)*vc14
      +(a)*vc13+vc10+(a)*vc9+vc6+(a)*vc5+(a2)*vc4+(a2+1)*vc2+(a2+1)*v;
K1[8]=w+bdx+bdv3+bdvc2+(a)*b+(a)*d3+dx2c3+dx2c+(a)*dxvc12+(a2)*dxvc1
      1+(a)*dxvc10+dxvc9+(a)*dxvc8+(a2)*dxvc5+(a2+1)*dxvc3+(a)*dxvc2+(a2)*dxvc
      +(a)*dxv+(a)*dv2c12+(a2)*dv2c11+(a)*dv2c10+dv2c9+(a)*dv2c8+(a)*dv2c6
      +(a2)*dv2c5+dv2c3+dv2c+(a)*dc10+(a2)*dc7+dc5+(a2+1)*dc3+(a2)*dc
      +(a)*d+x3c2+(a)*xc21+(a2)*xc20+(a)*xc19+xc18+(a2)*xc16+(a)*xc15+(a2)
      *xc14+(a)*xc13+(a)*xc11+xc10+(a2)*xc6+(a2)*xc2+x+v5+(a)*v3c19+(a2)*v3c18
      +(a2+1)*v3c16+v3c14+(a)*v3c13+(a2+1)*v3c12+(a)*v3c11+v3c10+(a)*v3c9+v3c8
      +(a)*v3c7+v3c6+(a)*v3c5+(a)*v3c3+(a2)*v3c2+(a)*v3c+(a2+1)*v3+(a)*vc21
      +(a2)*vc20+(a2+1)*vc18+vc16+vc14+(a2+1)*vc10+(a2)*vc8+vc6+(a)*vc5
      +(a)*vc3+vc2+(a)*vc+v;
```

This shows that each point (a, c) such that $f(a, c) = 0$, $v^2 + ac^3 + c^2 + a^2 \neq 0$, $a(a + 1)(a^2 + a + 1) \neq 0$ has at most four preimages in U_1. The set A_6^2 is defined by the ideal K2.

```
K2[1]=(a)*c4+(a2)*c3+(a)*c2+(a2+1)*c+(a3);
K2[2]=v2+(a)*c3+c2+(a2);
K2[3]=(a4+1)*x2+(a5)*xvc3+(a6+a4)*xvc2+(a5+a3+a)*xvc+(a6+a4+a2)*xv
      +(a3+a)*c3+(a6+a2)*c2+(a3+a)*c+(a2+1);
K2[4]=(a9+a7+a3+a)*d2+(a9+a7+a3+a)*dxc+(a12+a8+a2)*xvc3+(a13+a3)*xvc2
      +(a12+a10+a6)*xvc+(a5)*xv+(a12+a10+a8+a6+a4+a2)*c3+(a13+a11+a7+a5)*c2
      +(a6+1)*c+(a11+a5);
K2[5]=(a12+a10+a8+a6+a4+a2)*b+(a3+a)*dxvc3+(a8+a6)*dxvc2+(a5+a)*dxvc
      +(a10+a4+a2+1)*dxv+(a11+a7+a3)*dc3+(a12+a10+a8+a6+a4+a2)*dc2
      +(a11+a7+a3)*dc+(a12+a8+a4)*d+(a8+a2)*xc3+(a7+a)*xc2+(a10
      +a8+a4+a2)*xc+(a11+a9+a5+a3)*x+(a6+a4+a2)*vc3+(a9+a3)*vc2
```

```
     +(a10+a8+a6)*vc+(a11+a9+a7)*v;
K2[6]=(a4+a2+1)*y+(a4+a2+1)*dc+(a)*xc3+(a4+a2)*xc2+(a5)*xc+(a6+a4+a2+1)*x
     +(a5+a3+a)*vc+(a6+1)*v;
K2[7]=(a11+a9+a7+a5+a3+a)*w+(a3+a)*dxvc3+(a8+a6)*dxvc2+(a5+a)*dxvc+(a10
     +a4+a2+1)*dxv+(a11+a7+a3)*dc3+(a12+a10+a8+a6+a4+a2)*dc2+(a11+a7+a3)*dc
     +(a12+a8+a4)*d+(a10+a8+a6+a4+a2+1)*xc+(a11+a9+a7+a5+a3+a)*x+(a6+a4+a2)
     *vc3+(a9+a3)*vc2+(a10+a8+a6)*vc+(a13+a5+a3)*v;
```

It follows that in this case the preimage of each point is finite.

The set A_6^3 consists of 54 points defined by the ideals W1, W2, W3, W4, H1, H2 and H3.

```
W1[1]=c3+c+1
W1[2]=a+1
W1[3]=v+1
W1[4]=x+c2+c
W1[5]=d+c2+1
W1[6]=b+c2+c
W1[7]=y+c+1
W1[8]=w+c2
```

```
W2[1]=c3+c+1
W2[2]=a+1
W2[3]=v+1
W2[4]=x+c2+c
W2[5]=d+c
W2[6]=b+c2+c+1
W2[7]=y+c2+c
W2[8]=w+c2+1
```

```
W3[1]=c3+c+1
W3[2]=a+1
W3[3]=v+c2
W3[4]=x+c
W3[5]=d2+dc2+1
W3[6]=b+d+c
W3[7]=y+dc+1
W3[8]=w+d+c2+1
```

```
W4[1]=c3+c+1
W4[2]=a+1
W4[3]=v+c2
W4[4]=x+1
W4[5]=d2+dc+c
W4[6]=b+dc
W4[7]=y+dc+c
W4[8]=w+dc
```

```
H1[1]=a2+a+1
H1[2]=c3+c2a+c2+ca+a+1
H1[3]=v+c2a+c2+1
H1[4]=x+c2a+c2+a+1
H1[5]=d2+dc2a+dca+da+c2a+c2
H1[6]=b+dc2+dc+da+d+c2a
H1[7]=y+dc+a
H1[8]=w+dc2a+dca+d+ca+c+1
```

```
H2[1]=a2+a+1
H2[2]=c3+c2a+c2+ca+a+1
H2[3]=v+c2a+c2+1
H2[4]=x+ca+1
H2[5]=d2+dc2a+dc+c
H2[6]=b+dc2a+dc2+dca+da+c+a+1
H2[7]=y+dc+c2+ca+a+1
H2[8]=w+dc2+dca+dc+da+d+c2a+c2+ca+c+a
```

```
H3[1]=a2+a+1
H3[2]=c3+c2a+c2+ca+a+1
H3[3]=v+c2a+ca+c+1
H3[4]=x+c2a+c2
H3[5]=d2+dc2a+dc+da+c2a+c2+ca+c
H3[6]=b+dc2a+dc2+dca+c2a
```

```
H3[7]=y+dc+c2a+ca+c+a
H3[8]=w+dc2+dca+dc+ca+c+a
```

Thus, any point in $\mathbb{A}^2$ has a finite (maybe, empty) preimage. Hence π is quasifinite. $\square$

Further on we shall consider the following sets:

$V' \subset \mathbb{A}^8$, defined by the ideal J;

$\widetilde{W} \subset \mathbb{A}^8$, defined by the ideal J3;

$W \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ with coordinates (a, c, v, x), defined by the ideal $\langle$J3(1), J3(2)$\rangle$.

$L = W \cap \mathbf{V}(f) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$;

$U = V' \setminus \mathbf{V}(xc) \subset \mathbb{A}^8$;

$U' = V' \setminus \mathbf{V}(f) \subset \mathbb{A}^8$;

$Y = \mathbf{V}(J3[1]) \cap \mathbf{D}(f) \subset A^3$ with coordinates (a, c, v).

$Z = W \setminus L \subset \mathbb{A}^4$;

These affine sets are included in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \widetilde{W} & \supset & V' & \supset U \supset & U' \\ & {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ & W & & \supset & & Z & \\ & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} & \\ & & & & & Y & \end{array}$$

The inclusion $U \supset U'$ follows from computations: we have $V' \cap \mathbf{V}(x) \subset \mathbf{V}(f) \cap V'$. The map π_1 is a double unramified cover. This follows from the structure of equations $J3[1], \ldots, J3[6]$: all the branch points are contained in the set $\mathbf{V}(f)$. The map π_2 is an isomorphism since x appears linearly in the equation $J3[2]$ and its coefficient does not vanish in U'.

Proposition 4.13. $b^1(U) \leq 675$.

Proof. This estimate follows from the Weak Lefschetz Theorem proved by N. Katz ([Ka1, Cor. 3.4.1]). Indeed, we have:

- an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2 \leq \ell$.
- U, a separated k-scheme of finite type which is a local complete intersection, purely of dimension $2 > 0$.
- $U \to \mathbb{A}^2$, a quasifinite morphism (see Proposition 4.12).

Then, for a constant $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U, there exists a dense open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ such that for any $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{U}$ the restriction map

$$H^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \to H^1\big(U \cap \{\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma = 0\}, i^*\mathcal{F}\big)$$

is injective (i denotes the embedding of the hyperplane section into U).

Denote:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= U \cap \mathbf{V}(\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma); \\ \widetilde{S} &= S_1 \cap U' = U' \cap \mathbf{V}(\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma) \subset S_1; \\ S &= Y \cap \mathbf{V}(\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma) \subset Y\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since U' is a double unramified cover of Y, $\widetilde{S}$ is a double unramified cover of S. The curve S is defined in $\mathbb{A}^3$ with coordinates (a, c, v) by $\mathbf{V}(J3[1], \alpha a + \beta c + \gamma) \cap \mathbf{D}(f)$ with

```
J3[1]=(a8+a6c2+a4c4+a2c6)*v6+(a8+a7c3+a6c2+a5c3+a4c4+a3c7+a2c6
      +ac7)*v4+(a7c3+a6c2+a5c5+a5c3+a3c7+a3c5+a2c6+a2c4+ac9+c6)
      *v2+(ac9+ac5+c8+c4)=0;
```

Let $\overline{S}$ be the projectivization of S in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For a general triple (α, β, γ) it is an irreducible complete intersection of degree $d = 14$. By [GL, Cor. 7.4], we have

$$b^1(\overline{S}) \le (d-1)(d-2) \le 156\,.$$

Let B be the union of the plane at infinity with the closure of the set $\mathbf{V}\big((\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma) f(a, c)\big)$. Since $\deg f = 11$, we have $\deg B = 13$. Thus $\overline{S} \cap B$ contains at most $14 \cdot 13 = 182$ points. Hence $b^1(S) \le 156 + 182 = 338$. Since $\widetilde{S}$ is a double unramified cover of S, $b^1(\widetilde{S}) = 2b^1(S) - 1 \le 675$. Since $\widetilde{S} \subset S_1$, $b^1(S_1) \le b^1(\widetilde{S}) \le 675$. □

Proposition 4.14. *The Euler characteristic of L can be estimated as follows: $\chi(L) \le 71430 < 2^{17}$.*

Proof. The set $L = W \cap \mathbf{V}(f)$ consists of several components. According to computations, the list of components is as follows:

F_1	=	$\mathbf{V}(a, c)$;	$\dim F_1$	=	2,	$\chi(F_1)$	= 1
F_2	=	$\mathbf{V}(v, c)$;	$\dim F_2$	=	2,	$\chi(F_2)$	= 1
F_3	=	$\mathbf{V}(v-1, c)$;	$\dim F_3$	=	2,	$\chi(F_3)$	= 1
F_4	=	$\mathbf{V}(a-1, c-1)$;	$\dim F_4$	=	2,	$\chi(F_4)$	= 1
E	=	$\mathbf{V}(ac-1, v)$;	$\dim E$	=	2,	$\chi(E)$	= 0
G	=	$\mathbf{V}(ac-1, av^2+c^2+av+cv+v^2+v)$,	$\dim G$	=	2,	$\chi(G)$	= −3
C_1	=	$\mathbf{V}(x, a, c^2+cv+1)$,	$\dim C_1$	=	1,	$\chi(C_1)$	= 0
C_2	=	$\mathbf{V}(c-1, v, x)$,	$\dim C_2$	=	1,	$\chi(C_2)$	= 1
C_3	=	$\mathbf{V}(I_3)$,	$\dim C_3$	=	1,		
H_1	=	$\mathbf{V}(I_1)$,	$\dim H_1$	=	2,		
H_2	=	$\mathbf{V}(I_2)$,	$\dim H_2$	=	2,		

where $I_3 = \langle c-1, a^2v^2x + a^2v + v^2x + av + ax + v + x, a^4x^2 + a^2vx^3 + a^3v^2 + a^3x^2 + a^4 + a^2vx + vx^3 + avx + ax^2 + a^2 + vx + 1, av^2x^4 + v5x + v^4x^2 + v^2x^4 + av^4 + avx^3 + v^4 + a^2vx + a^2x^2 + vx^3 + x^4 + avx + vx + x^2\rangle$,

$I_1 = \langle c^3+c^2v+c^2+av+cv+v^2, acv+ac+c^2+av+v^2+a+c+v, a^2v+a^2+ac+cv+v^2+c\rangle$, and

$I_2 = \langle ac^2v + c^3v + c^3 + c^2v + av^2 + cv^2 + cv + a, c^4 + acv + c^2v + ac + cv + v + 1, a^3v^2 + a^2v^3 + acv^3 + c^3v + a^2v^2 + acv^2 + cv^2\rangle$.

Let us explain how the Euler characteristics were computed. We have $\chi(F_i) = 1, i = 1, \dots, 4$ because the F_i's are just affine spaces. E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1$ with coordinate a punctured at the point $a = 0$, so $\chi(E) = 1 - 1 = 0$. The component G is the direct product of $\mathbb{A}^1$ with coordinate x and a curve T which is a ramified covering of $\mathbb{A}^1$ with coordinate a. For a fixed point (a, c, v) in T we have $c = \frac{1}{a}$, and v is defined by the quadratic equation

$$v^2(a^3 + a) + v(a^3 + a^2 + a) + 1 = 0\,.$$

It follows that if $a \neq 0, a \neq 1, a^2 + a + 1 \neq 0$, there are precisely two points in T with this value of a. There are no points with $a = 0$ and precisely one point for each value $a = 1$ or $a^2 + a + 1 = 0$. Since the Euler characteristics of $\mathbb{A}^1$ without 4 points is -3, we have $\chi(G) = 2(-3) + 3 = -3$.

In order to estimate the Euler characteristics of C_3, H_1, H_2, we use the following theorem of Adolphson and Sperber:

Proposition 4.15. [AS, Th. 5.27], [Ka2] *If an affine variety V is defined in $\mathbb{A}^N$ by r polynomial equations all of degree $\leq d$, then*

$$|\chi(V)| \leq 2^r D_{N,r} \underbrace{(1, 1 + d, \dots, 1 + d)}_{r+1}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $D_{N,r}(x_0, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{|W|=N} X^W$ is the homogeneous form of degree N in $x_0, \dots, x_r$ all of whose coefficients equal 1.

According to formula (4.9),

$|\chi(C_3)| \leq 2^3 D_{3,3}(1, 8, 8, 8) \leq 44232 < 2^{16}$

$|\chi(H_1)| \leq 2^3 D_{3,3}(1, 4, 4, 4) \leq 5992 < 2^{13}$

$|\chi(H_2)| \leq 2^3 D_{3,3}(1, 6, 6, 6) \leq 19160 < 2^{15}$.

The pairwise intersection of these components is a union of 16 lines and 10 points. The triple intersections contain 3 lines and 3 points. No four of these components intersect. Thus, $|\chi(L)| \leq 5 - 3 + 44232 + 5992 + 21224 - 26 + 6 < 2^{17}$. □

Proposition 4.16. $b^2(U) \leq 2^{22}$.

Proof. We consider two cases:

I. $\chi(U) \leq 0$. Then $1 - b^1(U) + b^2(U) \leq 0$ and $b^2(U) \leq b^1(U) < 675$.

II. $\chi(U) > 0$. We first find $|(\chi(U')|$. Since U' is a double cover of Z, we have $|\chi(U')| = 2|\chi(Z)|$. Since $Z = W \smallsetminus L$, we have $\chi(Z) = \chi(W) - \chi(L)$. By formula (4.9), we get $|\chi(W)| \leq 2^2 D_{4,2}(1, 15, 15) \leq 1128908$. In view of Proposition 4.14, we have $|\chi(L)| \leq 71430$. Hence $|\chi(Z)| \leq |\chi(W)| + |\chi(L)| \leq 1200338$, and therefore $|\chi(U')| \leq 2400676 < 2^{22}$. On the other hand, $\chi(U) = \chi(U') + \chi(U \smallsetminus U')$. In order to find $\chi(U)$, we have to evaluate $\chi(U \smallsetminus U')$. Let $N = U \setminus \mathbf{V}(f)$. Since N is the intersection of the smooth affine surface U with the hypersurface $\mathbf{V}(f)$, all of its irreducible components N_i are curves

(i.e., $\dim N_i = 1$). This follows from [Sh, Th.5, p.74], and is confirmed by calculations. Since by Proposition 4.12 the projection $\pi\colon U \to \mathbb{A}^2$ is quasifinite, none of N_i is mapped into a point. Hence $\pi(N_i) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ is a curve. This curve does not meet the lines $\mathbf{V}(c)$ and $\mathbf{V}(a)$ because $\mathbf{V}(a) \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. This means that the ring $O(\pi(N_i))$ contains the nonvanishing function ac. If $ac = \text{const}$ on $\pi(N_i)$, then $\pi(N_i)$ has two punctures at infinity. If $ac \neq \text{const}$, then the normalization of $\pi(N_i)$ has at least two punctures, as does any curve having a nonconstant and nonvanishing regular function. Thus $\chi(\pi(N_i)) \leq 0$. But then for any N_i we have

$$\chi(N_i) \leq \chi\big(\pi(N_i)\big) \leq 0\,.$$

Hence

$$\chi\left(\bigcup N_i\right) = \chi(N) \leq 0;$$

$$\chi(U) = \chi(U') + \chi(N) \leq \chi(U') \leq 2400676,$$

and, therefore,

$$b^2(U) = \chi(U) + b^1(U) \leq 2401351 < 2^{22}.$$

□

Corollary 4.17. *Let $n > 48$, $q = 2^n$. Then V_n has an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point.*

Proof. On plugging the estimates of Propositions 4.13 and 4.16 into formula (4.2), we see that $\#\operatorname{Fix}(U, n) > 0$ as soon as $n > 48$. This proves the corollary. □

4.5. **Small fields.** The purpose of this section is to study the fixpoints and also numbers of fixpoints of the operator α^n on the variety V' given by the equations $J[1], \ldots, J[10]$. Let k denote the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$ and N_n the number of fixpoints of α^n on $V'(k)$. As explained before, if n is even ($n = 2k$) then N_n is just the number of points of V' in the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$. We are interested here in the numbers N_p for odd primes p.

We first give a table of the numbers N_n for $1 \leq n \leq 23$.

n	N_n	n	N_n	n	N_n
1	0	9	516	17	130084
2	8	10	1088	18	263504
3	12	11	2332	19	523260
4	16	12	3904	20	1050016
5	20	13	8372	21	2102420
6	56	14	16416	22	4198752
7	140	15	32012	23	8378348
8	240	16	65360		

TABLE 3. Fixpoint numbers

The zeta-function $Z(\alpha, T)$ of the operator α is defined by

$$Z(\alpha, T) := \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_n}{n} T^n\right).$$

¿From Table 3 we find

$$Z(\alpha,T) = 1 - 4T^2 - 4T^3 + 4T^4 + 12T^5 + 4T^6 - 20T^7 - 22T^8 + 12T^9 + 32T^{10} - 12T^{11} + 68T^{13} + 32T^{14} - 76T^{15} - 179T^{16} - 28T^{17} + 172T^{18} + 96T^{19} + 92T^{20} + 32T^{21} - 196T^{22} - 112T^{23} + \mathbf{O}(T^{24})\,.$$

In the next table we give for every prime p with $3 \le p \le 47$ a fixpoint of α^p. This point has coordinates a, b, c, d, v, w, x, y in the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^p}$. Here t is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^p}$ and MP is its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

These data close the gap between Corollary 4.17 and Theorem 2.1 thus finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1. Although it is quite difficult to find fixpoints of α^p, it is easily checked, given the coordinates of point, whether it is a fixpoint or not.

$p = 3, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^3 + t + 1$
$a = 1, \quad b = t^4, \quad c = t, \quad d = t^6, \quad v = 1, \quad w = t^2, \quad x = t^4, \quad y = t^3\,.$

$p = 5, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^5 + t^2 + 1$
$a = t^5, \quad b = 0, \quad c = t^{14}, \quad d = 1, \quad v = t^9, \quad w = 0, \quad x = t^{19}, \quad y = 1.$

$p = 7, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^7 + t + 1$
$a = t^5, \quad b = t^3, \quad c = t^{56}, \quad d = t^{91}, \quad v = t^{80}, \quad w = t^{48}, \quad x = t^7, \quad y = t^{59}.$

$p = 11, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{11} + t^2 + 1$
$a = t^3, \quad b = t^{228}, \quad c = t^{151}, \quad d = t^8, \quad v = t^{192}, \quad w = t^{263}, \quad x = t^{1476}, \quad y = t^{512}.$

$p = 13, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{13} + t^4 + t^3 + t + 1$
$a = t^9,\ b = t^{2129},\ c = t^{6077},\ d = t^{7814},\ v = t^{1152},\ w = t^{2209},\ x = t^{7902},\ y = t^{890}.$

$p = 17, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{17} + t^3 + 1$
$a = t^5, \quad b = t^{39028}, \quad c = t^{30333}, \quad d = t^{16060}, \quad v = t^{2560}, \quad w = t^{59544}, \quad x = t^{64118}, \quad y = t^{96318}.$

$p = 19, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{19} + t^5 + t^2 + t + 1$
$a = t, \quad b = t^{45681}, \quad c = t^{503015}, \quad d = t^{8107}, \quad v = t^{1024}, \quad w = t^{115801}, \quad x = t^{237526}, \quad y = t^{437263}.$

$p = 23, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{23} + t^5 + 1$
$a = t,$
$b = t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^7 + t^4 + t,$
$c = t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^6 + t^5 + t^3 + t,$
$d = t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^6 + t^5 + t^2,$
$v = t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^7 + t^5 + t^4,$
$w = t^{22} + t^{18} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^8 + t^7 + t^5 + t,$
$x = t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^6 + t^4 + t^2,$
$y = t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{15} + t^9 + t^7 + t^6 + t^3 + t^2 + t.$

$p = 29, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{29} + t^2 + 1$
$a = t^2 + t,$
$b = t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{19} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + 1,$
$c = t^{25} + t^{23} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^9 + t + 1,$

$d = t^{26} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{20} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^6,$
$v = t^{28} + t^{26} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^4 + t^3 + t,$
$w = t^{23} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^8 + t^6 + t^3 + t^2,$
$x = t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{19} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^4 + 1,$
$y = t^{27} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^9 + t^8 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + 1,$

$p = 31, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{31} + t^3 + 1$
$a = t^3,$
$b = t^{30} + t^{27} + t^{25} + t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^5 + t^3 + t,$
$c = t^{27} + t^{24} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^5 + t^4,$
$d = t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{13} + t^8 + t^7 + t^6,$
$v = t^{24} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^2 + t,$
$w = t^{29} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t,$
$x = t^{30} + t^{28} + t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{24} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^5 + t,$
$y = t^{30} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t.$

$p = 37, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{37} + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1$
$a = t^2 + t,$
$b = t^{36} + t^{34} + t^{30} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{17} + t^{14} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^7 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1,$
$c = t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{31} + t^{29} + t^{19} + t^{14} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^7 + t^2,$
$d = t^{36} + t^{34} + t^{32} + t^{31} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + t + 1,$
$v = t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{31} + t^{30} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1,$
$w = t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{29} + t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^2 + t + 1,$
$x = t^{36} + t^{31} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{24} + t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{12} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^8 + t^3 + t^2 + t,$
$y = t^{35} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{25} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{15} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^7 + t^4 + t^3 + t + 1.$

$p = 41, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{41} + t^3 + 1$
$a = t,$
$b = t^{39}+t^{37}+t^{36}+t^{35}+t^{34}+t^{33}+t^{30}+t^{28}+t^{26}+t^{24}+t^{23}+t^{20}+t^{19}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{16}+t^{15}+t^{13}+t^{11}+t^{10}+t^9+t^6+t^3+t^2+t+1,$
$c = t^{40}+t^{38}+t^{36}+t^{33}+t^{32}+t^{31}+t^{29}+t^{28}+t^{27}+t^{26}+t^{24}+t^{23}+t^{22}+t^{19}+t^{18}+t^{14}+t^{12}+t^{10}+t^7+t^3+1,$
$d = t^{40}+t^{39}+t^{37}+t^{35}+t^{34}+t^{30}+t^{28}+t^{27}+t^{23}+t^{21}+t^{19}+t^{18}+t^{15}+t^{14}+t^{13}+t^{11}+t^9+t^7+t^4+t^2,$
$v = t^{38}+t^{37}+t^{36}+t^{35}+t^{34}+t^{32}+t^{31}+t^{29}+t^{26}+t^{23}+t^{21}+t^{20}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{15}+t^{14}+t^{13}+t^{12}+t^{11}+t^{10}+t^7+t^6+t^4+t^3+t^2+t,$
$w = t^{40}+t^{37}+t^{35}+t^{33}+t^{32}+t^{31}+t^{30}+t^{29}+t^{28}+t^{27}+t^{26}+t^{25}+t^{24}+t^{20}+t^{19}+t^{18}+t^{16}+t^{14}+t^{13}+t^9+t^8+t^7+t^6+t^4+t^2+1,$
$x = t^{38} + t^{36} + t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{29} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^7 + t^6 + t^2 + t + 1,$
$y = t^{40} + t^{39} + t^{35} + t^{26} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^7 + t^5 + t^4 + t^2.$

$p = 43, \qquad \mathrm{MP} = t^{43} + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + 1$
$a = t^3,$
$b = t^{42} + t^{39} + t^{37} + t^{32} + t^{31} + t^{30} + t^{29} + t^{26} + t^{23} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{10} + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + 1,$
$c = t^{40} + t^{39} + t^{38} + t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{31} + t^{30} + t^{26} + t^{23} + t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{19} + t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{13} + t^{12} + t^9 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + 1,$
$d = t^{39} + t^{38} + t^{37} + t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{34} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{22} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{13} + t^9 + t^7 + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + +1,$

$v = t^{40} + t^{37} + t^{35} + t^{33} + t^{31} + t^{30} + t^{26} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{11} + t^8 + t^4 + t + 1,$
$w = t^{41}+t^{39}+t^{38}+t^{37}+t^{36}+t^{31}+t^{29}+t^{28}+t^{24}+t^{21}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{14}+t^9+t^8+t^7+t^5+t^4+t^3+1,$
$x = t^{41} + t^{39} + t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{32} + t^{29} + t^{25} + t^{24} + t^{20} + t^{18} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^{10} + t^9 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t + 1,$
$y = t^{41} + t^{40} + t^{38} + t^{37} + t^{35} + t^{34} + t^{33} + t^{30} + t^{29} + t^{28} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{24} + t^{19} + t^{18} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^6 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t,$

$p = 47, \qquad \text{MP}= t^{47} + t^5 + 1$
$a = t^2 + t + 1,$
$b = t^{46}+t^{44}+t^{43}+t^{41}+t^{40}+t^{39}+t^{37}+t^{36}+t^{35}+t^{34}+t^{27}+t^{25}+t^{24}+t^{23}+t^{22}+t^{20}+t^{19}+t^{17}+t^{16}+t^{14}+t^{13} + t^{12} + t^{11} + t^9 + t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^2,$
$c = t^{40}+t^{33}+t^{31}+t^{30}+t^{29}+t^{26}+t^{25}+t^{24}+t^{23}+t^{21}+t^{19}+t^{16}+t^{15}+t^{14}+t^{11}+t^{10}+t^9+t^8+t^7+t^6,$
$d = t^{44} + t^{42} + t^{41} + t^{39} + t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{31} + t^{27} + t^{26} + t^{24} + t^{20} + t^{19} + t^{17} + t^{16} + t^{15} + t^{13} + t^9 + t^7 + t^5 + t^4 + t^2 + t,$
$v = t^{44}+t^{41}+t^{40}+t^{38}+t^{37}+t^{34}+t^{33}+t^{32}+t^{31}+t^{28}+t^{27}+t^{26}+t^{25}+t^{23}+t^{22}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{16}+t^{12}+t^{10}+t^9 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^2 + t + 1,$
$w = t^{45} + t^{43} + t^{42} + t^{41} + t^{39} + t^{38} + t^{36} + t^{35} + t^{34} + t^{32} + t^{30} + t^{24} + t^{23} + t^{21} + t^{20} + t^{16} + t^{12} + t^9 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t,$
$x = t^{46}+t^{45}+t^{44}+t^{41}+t^{39}+t^{37}+t^{35}+t^{33}+t^{31}+t^{30}+t^{29}+t^{27}+t^{26}+t^{25}+t^{21}+t^{20}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{11}+t^9+t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + t^5 + t^3 + t^2,$
$y = t^{46}+t^{42}+t^{41}+t^{40}+t^{39}+t^{38}+t^{37}+t^{35}+t^{34}+t^{33}+t^{32}+t^{31}+t^{30}+t^{28}+t^{26}+t^{25}+t^{22}+t^{15}+t^{13}+t^{11}+t^{10} + t^9 + t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^2.$

TABLE 4. Fixpoints in $\mathbb{F}_{2^p}$

5. Appendix

5.1. **Variations of proofs.** In this section we present another proof of the main theorem in the PSL(2) case (Proposition 3.1). We use the notations of Section 3 and consider the curve defined by the ideal I.

The difference to the proof in Section 3 is the proof of the absolute irreducibility of the polynomial $f_1 = J[1]$, which uses here the analysis of the singularities. Furthermore, the Hasse–Weil Theorem is applied here to the normalisation of the plane curve defined by f_1, while in Section 3 it was applied to the curve defined by I and not to its projection defined by f_1.

Lemma 5.1. *With the notations of Lemma 3.6 we obtain, substituting* $c = \frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}$

$$\begin{aligned} bJ[2]\left(t, b, \frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}\right) &= J[1] \\ J[3]\left(t, b, \frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}\right) &= 0 \\ tbJ[4]\left(t, b, \frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}\right) &= -J[1] \\ tb^2J[5]\left(t, b, \frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}\right) &= (1-tb)J[1]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is an easy computation. □

Corollary 5.2. *A point (t,b) of the plane curve defined by $J[1]=0$ with $tb\neq 0$ defines a point $\left(t,b,\frac{t^2-2t-1}{tb}\right)$ of the curve defined by the ideal I.*

Proof. Just note that $J[1],\dots,J[5]$ is a Gröbner basis of I and use Lemma 5.1. □

Remark 5.3. In Section 3 we did not use this reduction to the case of a plane curve since this allowed a verification without computer. We used the Hasse–Weil theorem involving the arithmetic genus which avoids an analysis of the singularities. The arithmetic genus is 12 for the curve defined by I and 15 for its projection to the plane defined by f_1. The analysis of singularities allows us to use the geometric genus, which is 8. In principle, this does not make a big difference because we are using a computer for small fields $\#q$, anyway. For genus 15, resp. 12, resp. 8, Hasse–Weil guarantees rational points if $q\geq 977$, resp. $q\geq 593$, resp. $q\geq 277$. Hence, the analysis of the singularities reduces the number of small fields which have to be treated by computer. On the other hand, we shall see that analysing the singularities, we have the disadvantage of treating the field $\mathbb{F}_{864007}$. That such a large prime will play a special role in the analysis of singularities was unexpected for us.

We reduced the problem to find a point $(t,b)\in\mathbb{F}_q$ on the plane curve $\mathbf{V}(f_1)$ with $tb\neq 0$. Note that $f_1(0,b)=b^2+1$ and $f_1(t,0)=(t^2-2t-1)^2$. Hence there are at most four points on the curve with $t=0$ or $b=0$. We shall show that there are at least five points on such a curve. We did the calculations in SINGULAR and MAGMA to work with independent computer algebra systems.

¿From now on, we denote $P(t,b)=f_1(t,b)$.

We shall analyse the plane algebraic curve given by the polynomial $P(t,b)$ over various (finite) fields. We put $C,\overline{C}$ for this curve (over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$) and its projective closure, respectively. We use the coordinate system $(t:b:z)$ in the projective plane. The projective curve $\overline{C}$ is then given by the homogeneous polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{P}(t,b,z) = &-b^3t^4-b^2t^5+b^4t^2z+2b^3t^3z+3b^2t^4z+bt^5z-2b^2t^3z^2-4bt^4z^2+3bt^3z^3+t^4z^3\\ &+2b^2tz^4+2bt^2z^4-4t^3z^4+b^2z^5+2t^2z^5+4tz^6+z^7\,.\end{aligned}$$

If p is a prime number, we put $C_p,\overline{C}_p$ for these curves over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The curves C_p and $\overline{C}_p$ are then defined by the reductions of the polynomials $P(t,b)$ and $\overline{P}(t,b,z)$ modulo p respectively.

We use the standard formula:

$$g(C)=\frac{\big(\mathrm{degree}(\overline{C})-1\big)\big(\mathrm{degree}(\overline{C})-2\big)}{2}-\sum_{Q\in\overline{C}(\bar{k})}\delta_Q$$

where the local contributions of singular points δ_Q are defined as $\dim_{\bar{k}}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_Q/\mathcal{O}_Q$; here $\mathcal{O}_Q$ is the local ring of Q and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_Q$ in the function field of $\overline{C}$.

We also define

$$A(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\{\, (t,b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \;\mid\; P(t,b) = 0 \,\} = \#C_p(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

for a prime power q. The following tables contain the solution numbers $A(L)$ for various finite fields L.

$A(\mathbb{F}_2)$	=	2	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^8})$	=	218	$A(\mathbb{F}_3)$	=	0	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^8})$	=	6806
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^2})$	=	6	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^9})$	=	551	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})$	=	14			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^3})$	=	11	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}})$	=	1026	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^3})$	=	36			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^4})$	=	10	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^{11}})$	=	2048	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})$	=	78			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^5})$	=	32	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}})$	=	4279	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^5})$	=	190			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^6})$	=	39	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^{13}})$	=	7880	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^6})$	=	734			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^7})$	=	128	$A(\mathbb{F}_{2^{14}})$	=	16722	$A(\mathbb{F}_{3^7})$	=	2380			

TABLE 5. Number of points on $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $q = 2^n$ or 3^n

The numbers contained in Table 5 and also those in Table 6 can be obtained in microseconds on a computer. We have, in fact, used MAGMA and verified this with SINGULAR.

$A(\mathbb{F}_5)$	=	11	$A(\mathbb{F}_{53})$	=	53	$A(\mathbb{F}_{109})$	=	121	$A(\mathbb{F}_{181})$	=	210	$A(\mathbb{F}_{257})$	=	
$A(\mathbb{F}_7)$	=	5	$A(\mathbb{F}_{59})$	=	36	$A(\mathbb{F}_{113})$	=	122	$A(\mathbb{F}_{191})$	=	233	$A(\mathbb{F}_{263})$	=	
$A(\mathbb{F}_{11})$	=	8	$A(\mathbb{F}_{61})$	=	72	$A(\mathbb{F}_{127})$	=	136	$A(\mathbb{F}_{193})$	=	223	$A(\mathbb{F}_{269})$	=	
$A(\mathbb{F}_{13})$	=	16	$A(\mathbb{F}_{67})$	=	57	$A(\mathbb{F}_{131})$	=	121	$A(\mathbb{F}_{197})$	=	201	$A(\mathbb{F}_{271})$	=	
$A(\mathbb{F}_{17})$	=	19	$A(\mathbb{F}_{71})$	=	76	$A(\mathbb{F}_{137})$	=	121	$A(\mathbb{F}_{199})$	=	167	$A(\mathbb{F}_{277})$	=	
$A(\mathbb{F}_{19})$	=	15	$A(\mathbb{F}_{73})$	=	78	$A(\mathbb{F}_{139})$	=	134	$A(\mathbb{F}_{211})$	=	229			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{23})$	=	9	$A(\mathbb{F}_{79})$	=	89	$A(\mathbb{F}_{149})$	=	140	$A(\mathbb{F}_{223})$	=	203			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{29})$	=	45	$A(\mathbb{F}_{83})$	=	76	$A(\mathbb{F}_{151})$	=	164	$A(\mathbb{F}_{227})$	=	230			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{31})$	=	33	$A(\mathbb{F}_{89})$	=	82	$A(\mathbb{F}_{157})$	=	161	$A(\mathbb{F}_{229})$	=	220			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{37})$	=	36	$A(\mathbb{F}_{97})$	=	92	$A(\mathbb{F}_{163})$	=	170	$A(\mathbb{F}_{233})$	=	250			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{41})$	=	61	$A(\mathbb{F}_{101})$	=	98	$A(\mathbb{F}_{167})$	=	136	$A(\mathbb{F}_{239})$	=	272			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{43})$	=	32	$A(\mathbb{F}_{103})$	=	97	$A(\mathbb{F}_{173})$	=	167	$A(\mathbb{F}_{241})$	=	277			
$A(\mathbb{F}_{47})$	=	42	$A(\mathbb{F}_{107})$	=	98	$A(\mathbb{F}_{179})$	=	128	$A(\mathbb{F}_{251})$	=	233			

TABLE 6. Number of points on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, p prime

We add the information:

$$(*) \qquad A(\mathbb{F}_{523}) = 474, \qquad A(\mathbb{F}_{864007}) \geq 3000$$

which can be also obtained by a simple computer calculation.

We shall show:

Proposition 5.4. *If $q = p^k$ for a prime p and $q \neq 2, 3$ then $A(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq 5$.*

Our theorems would be much easier to prove if a rational point on C could be found. Unfortunately, even an extensive computer search has not revealed such a point.

We proceed by our analysis of the curve C. Consider affine charts $C^1 = \mathbf{D}(z) \cap \overline{C}$, $C^2 = \mathbf{D}(b) \cap \overline{C}$, and $C^3 = \mathbf{D}(t) \cap \overline{C}$. The part at infinity $\mathbf{V}(z) \cap \overline{C}$ is denoted by C^∞. Putting a prime p as an index to C stands then for the analogous construction over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. We have:

Lemma 5.5. *The part at infinity $C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ consists exactly of the points $(0:1:0)$, $(1:0:0)$, $(1:1:0)$. Also $C_p^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ consists exactly of the points $(0:1:0)$, $(1:0:0)$, $(1:1:0)$ for every prime p.*

Proof. We find

$$\overline{P}(t, b, 0) = -b^2t^4(b + t)$$

and the statement follows. □

We shall later prove Proposition 5.4 by an application of a Hasse–Weil estimate for the number of points on $\overline{C}_p$. To do this, we have to understand the singularities of $\overline{C}_p$ and also prove the absolute irreducibility as the prime p varies. The following contains a description of the singularities of $\overline{C}$.

Lemma 5.6. *The projective curve $\overline{C}$ has the 4 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (-\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),\ Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{2}$. The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are ordinary double points whereas Q_4 is a singularity of type D_6, that is Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which are simply tangent. The projective curve $\overline{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 8$.

Proof. Most of this statement is computed by MAGMA and SINGULAR, the absolute irreducibility follows from Bezout. We shall not carry this out here since we shall give the same argument over the finite fields $\mathbb{F}_p$ later. □

¿From general theory it is clear that Lemma 5.6 also holds for the curve $\overline{C}_p$ for almost all primes p. To get later explicit estimates, we have to find the exceptional set of primes. We put:

$$S = \{\, 2,\ 23,\ 37,\ 523,\ 864007 \,\}$$

and prove:

Proposition 5.7. *Let p be a prime with $p \notin S$. Then the projective curve $\overline{C}_p$ has the 4 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (-\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),\ Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0)$$

where ω is a root of $x^2 - 2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are ordinary double points whereas Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which meet in Q_4 of order 2 and the third intersects them transversally (D_6-configuration). The projective curve $\overline{C}_p$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_p) = 8$.

Proof. We shall first find the singularities of $\overline{C}_p$. The description of the singularities is obtained by looking at the blow ups of $\overline{C}_p$ in the four singular points. These can be computed by SINGULAR or MAGMA.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the first affine patch C_p^1. Let $\mathfrak{a}_1$ be the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[t,b]$ generated by P and its derivatives with respect to t, b. A Gröbner basis computation over $\mathbb{Z}$ carried out in SINGULAR or MAGMA shows that $sb \in \mathfrak{a}_1$ where

$$s = 35378249251012 = 4 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 523 \cdot 864007.$$

We have $\langle \mathfrak{a}_1, b\rangle = \langle b, t^2 - 2t - 1\rangle$. This shows that the affine patch C_p^1 contains only the (distinct) singular points Q_1, Q_2.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$. Note that $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. The points Q_1, Q_2 have their coordinates in $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ containing p. The polynomial $P(v+\sqrt{2}+1, b)$ has $H_2(b,v) = -(\sqrt{2}+1)b^2 + 2(\sqrt{2}+2)bv + 8v^2$ as its homogeneous part of lowest degree. A simple computation shows that the only prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ with the property that $H_2(b,v)$ is a square modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ are $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \sqrt{2}\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (-3+4\sqrt{2})\mathcal{O}$. Note that $\mathfrak{p}_2$ contains 23. The point Q_2 is analyzed similarly.

This shows that for $p \notin S$ the affine patch C_p^1 only contains the ordinary double points Q_1, Q_2 as singularities. Note that $\delta_{Q_1} = \delta_{Q_2} = 1$.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the second affine patch C_p^2. Put $P_2(t,z) = \overline{P}(t,1,z)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_2$ be the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[t,z]$ generated by P_2 and its derivatives with respect to t, z. A Gröbner basis computation over $\mathbb{Z}$ carried out in MAGMA shows that $s_2 b \in \mathfrak{a}_2$ where

$$s_2 = 66877597828 = 4 \cdot 37 \cdot 523 \cdot 864007.$$

We have $\langle \mathfrak{a}_2, z\rangle = \langle z, t^2\rangle$. This shows that this affine patch contains only the singular point Q_4. The polynomial $P_2(t,z)$ has $t^2 z$ as its homogeneous part of lowest degree, hence Q_4 is a triple point. Let C be the affine curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ given by P_2 and C_1 be the curve given by the polynomial $T_1(t,z) = P_2(t,zt)/t^3$. The polynomial T_1 has $t+z$ as its homogeneous part of lowest degree. This shows that the blown up curve C_1 has only a simple point lying over $(0,0) \in C$. Let C_2 be the curve given by the polynomial $T_2(t,z) = P_2(tz,z)/z^3$. The polynomial T_2 has $t^2 + z^2$ as its degree 2 homogeneous part. This shows that the blown up curve C_2 has an ordinary double point lying over $(0,0) \in C$.

This shows that 3 branches meet in Q_4. Two of them intersect of order 2 and the third intersects these transversally. By M. Noether's formula (δ_{Q_4} equals the sum of $m_Q(m_Q-1)/2$ where Q runs over all points in all blow-ups lying over Q_4 and m_Q is the multiplicity of Q) we find $\delta_{Q_4} = 4$.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the third affine patch C_p^3. Put $P_3(b,z) = \overline{P}(1,b,z)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_3$ be the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[b,z]$ generated by P_3 and its derivatives with respect to b, z. A Gröbner basis computation over $\mathbb{Z}$ carried out in MAGMA shows that $sb \in \mathfrak{a}_3$. We have $\langle \mathfrak{a}_3, b\rangle = \langle b, z(z^2+2z-1)\rangle$. This shows that Q_3 is the only singular point on this patch which was not found on the previous affine patches. The polynomial $P_3(b,z)$ has $-(b+z)z$ as its homogeneous part of lowest degree.

This shows that Q_3 is an ordinary double point and $\delta_{Q_3} = 1$.

So far we have described the singularities of $\overline{C}_p$. Also, the degree of C_p being 7, we find $g(\overline{C}_p) = 15 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 4 = 8$.

It remains to prove the absolute irreducibility of $\overline{C}_p$. Suppose $\overline{C}_p$ had 2 components C_1, C_2. From the description of the singularities we infer the following possibilities for the intersection numbers:

$$I(C_1, C_2; Q_1) = 0,\ 1, \quad I(C_1, C_2; Q_2) = 0, 1, \quad I(C_1, C_2; Q_3) = 0,\ 1,$$

$$I(C_1, C_2; Q_4) = 0,\ 2,\ 3.$$

Note that $Q_1, \ldots, Q_4$ do not lie on a common line. The degree of $\overline{C}_p$ being 7, Bezout's theorem shows that $\overline{C}_p$ is absolutely irreducible. □

Although we shall not need all of it, we shall also describe the situation for the exceptional primes p in S. We start with $p = 2$.

Proposition 5.8. *The projective curve $\overline{C}_2$ has the 4 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (0 : 1 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),\ Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0).$$

The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are double points whereas Q_4 is a triple point. The point Q_3 is ordinary, at Q_1 two branches with a common tangent touch of order 2, Q_2 is an ordinary cusp, at Q_4 two branches with distinct tangents meet, one of them behaves like a third order cusp, the other is smooth in Q_4 (a D_9-configuration). The projective curve $\overline{C}_2$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_2) = 6$.

Proof. We shall first find the singularities of $\overline{C}_2$. The description of the singularities is obtained by looking at the blow ups of $\overline{C}_2$ in the four singular points. These can be computed by MAGMA or SINGULAR.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the first affine patch C_2^1 ($z = 1$). The Jacobian ideal of $P(t, b)$ is generated by $b^2(b^2 + 1)$ and $t^2 + b^2 + 1$. This shows that Q_1, Q_2 are the only singularities on this affine patch.

Put $L_1(t, b) = P(t + 1, b)$. The polynomial $L_1(t, b)$ has b^2 as homogeneous component of lowest degree. Let C be the affine curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ given by L_1 and C_1 be the curve given by the polynomial $T_1(t, b) = L_1(tb, b)/b^2$. A look at T_1 shows that there is no point of C_1 lying over $(0, 0) \in C$. Let C_2 be the curve given by the polynomial $T_2(t, b) = L_1(t, tb)/t^2$. The polynomial has $b(b + t)$ as its homogeneous component of lowest degree. This shows that there is an ordinary double point over $(0, 0) \in C$ on C_2. Altogether we find that two branches with a common tangent touch of order 2 in Q_1. This implies $\delta_{Q_1} = 2$.

Put $L_2(t, b) = P(t, b + 1)$. The polynomial $L_2(t, b)$ has $(t + b)^2$ as homogeneous component of lowest degree. Let C be the affine curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ given by L_2. Both blow-ups of $(0, 0) \in C$ contain (the same) smooth point over $(0, 0) \in C$. This shows that Q_2 is a cusp (one branch passing through Q_2) and $\delta_{Q_1} = 1$.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the second affine patch C_2^2 ($b = 1$). The points Q_2 and Q_4 are the only singularities on this affine patch. To analyze Q_4, put $P_2(t, z) = \overline{P}(t, 1, z)$. The polynomial P_2 has $t^2 z$ as its homogeneous component of lowest degree. Hence Q_4 is a triple point with two distinct tangents. Let C be the affine curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ given by P_2. In the first blow-up ($z = zt$) we find a simple point over $(0, 0) \in C$, In the second blow-up we find a point Q_5 of multiplicity 2 with a double tangent over $(0, 0) \in C$. The blow-ups of Q_5 give one double point with a double tangent Q_6. The blow-ups of Q_6 give one simple point Q_7. This shows that at Q_4 two branches with distinct tangents meet, one of them behaves like a third order cusp, the other is smooth in Q_4. By M. Noether's formula we find $\delta_{Q_4} = 3 + 1 + 1 = 5$.

We shall now analyze the singularities on the third affine patch C_2^3 ($t = 1$). The points Q_1 and Q_3 are the only singularities on this affine patch. To analyze Q_3 put $P_3(b, z) = \overline{P}(1, b, z)$. The polynomial P_3 has bz as its homogeneous component of lowest degree. This shows that Q_3 is an ordinary double point and $\delta_{Q_3} = 1$.

The analysis of the singularities being completed, we have found $g(\overline{C}_2) = 15 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 5 = 6$.

Suppose $\overline{C}_2$ had 2 components C_1, C_2. From the description of the singularities we infer the following possibilities for the intersection numbers:

$$I(C_1, C_2; Q_1) = 0,\ 2,\ I(C_1, C_2; Q_2) = 0,\ I(C_1, C_2; Q_3) = 0,\ 1,$$
$$I(C_1, C_2; Q_4) = 0,\ 2.$$

These numbers cannot add up to 6 or more. The degree of $\overline{C}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ being 7, Bezout's theorem shows that $\overline{C}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ is absolutely irreducible. □

Proposition 5.9. *The projective curve $\overline{C}_{23}$ has the 4 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (19 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (6 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),\ Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0).$$

The points Q_2, Q_3 are ordinary double points, Q_1 is an ordinary cusp, whereas Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which meet in Q_4 of order 2 and the third intersects them transversally (D_6-configuration). Q_1 is a cusp singularity. The projective curve $\overline{C}_{23}$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_{23}) = 8$.

Proof. The singular points and their types were computed by MAGMA. To complete the Bezout-argument notice that Q_4 does not lie on a line with at the three double points. □

Proposition 5.10. *The projective curve $\overline{C}_{37}$ has the 8 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (-\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),\ Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0),$$
$$Q_5 = (27 : 17 : 1),\ Q_6 = (10 : 10 : 1),\ Q_7 = (10 : 24 : 1),\ Q_8 = (27 : 34 : 1)$$

where ω is a root of $x^2 - 2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{37}$. The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_5, Q_6, Q_7, Q_8 are ordinary double points whereas Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which meet in Q_4 of order 2 and the third intersects them transversally (D_6-configuration). The projective curve $\overline{C}_{37}$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_{37}) = 4$.

Proof. The singular points and their types were computed by MAGMA. To complete the Bezout-argument notice that Q_4 does not lie on a line with at least three of the double points, and also that the points $Q_1,\ldots,Q_8$ do not lie on a quadric. □

Proposition 5.11. *The projective curve $\overline{C}_{523}$ has the 5 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (-\omega + 1 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),$$

$$Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0), Q_5 = (479 : 463 : 1)$$

where ω is a root of $x^2 - 2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{523}$. The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_5 are ordinary double points whereas Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which meet in Q_4 of order 2 and the third intersects them transversally (D_6-configuration). The projective curve $\overline{C}_{523}$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_{523}) = 7$.

Proof. The singular points and their types were computed by MAGMA. To complete the Bezout-argument notice that Q_4 does not lie on a line with at least three of the double points. □

Proposition 5.12. *The projective curve $\overline{C}_{864007}$ has the 5 singular points*

$$Q_1 = (767405 : 0 : 1),\ Q_2 = (96604 : 0 : 1),\ Q_3 = (1 : 0 : 0),$$

$$Q_4 = (0 : 1 : 0),\ Q_5 = (395579 : 564628 : 1).$$

The points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_5 are ordinary double points whereas Q_4 is a triple point with 3 branches, two of which meet in Q_4 of order 2 and the third intersects them transversally (D_6-configuration). The projective curve $\overline{C}_{864007}$ is absolutely irreducible and $g(\overline{C}_{864007}) = 7$.

Proof. The singular points and their types were computed by MAGMA. To complete the Bezout-argument notice that Q_4 does not lie on a line with at least three of the double points. □

We are now ready for the

Proof of Proposition 5.4: We first assume that the prime p satisfies $p \notin S$ and also $p \neq 3$. We shall then show that the statement of Proposition 5.4 is already true for $q = p$. We have to show that

$$\#C_p(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq 5, \tag{5.1}$$

which is by Lemma 5.5 equivalent to

$$\#\overline{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) > 7. \tag{5.2}$$

We write $\mathcal{D}_p$ for a nonsingular model of $\overline{C}_p$ and

$$\pi_p : \mathcal{D}_p \to \overline{C}_p$$

for the birational projection. The map π_p is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $M \subset \overline{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the set of singular points. The map π_p defines a bijection

$$\pi_p : \mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus \pi_p^{-1}(M) \to \overline{C}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus M.$$

Since the singularities of $\overline{C}_p$ are three double and a triple point, we find:

$$\#C_p(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq \#\mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) - 5.$$

Hence it is sufficient to show that

$$\#\mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) > 12. \tag{5.3}$$

By the Hasse–Weil estimate we know that

$$p - 16\sqrt{p} \leq \#\mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{F}_p). \tag{5.4}$$

If $p \geq 280$ the estimate (5.4) implies (5.3). If $p \leq 280$ the estimate (5.1) is already contained in our Table 6.

For the primes $p \in S$, $p \neq 2$, 3 we also have $\#C_p(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq 5$ by Table 6 or the addition (*).

For $q = 2^k$, $k \geq 2$, we use Proposition 5.8 and an argument similar to the above to show $A(\mathbb{F}_{2^k}) \geq 5$ for $k \geq 8$. The remaining values can be found in Table 5.

For $q = 3^k$, $k \geq 2$, we use Proposition 5.7 and an argument similar to the above to show $A(\mathbb{F}_{3^k}) \geq 5$ for $k \geq 6$. The remaining values can be found in Table 5.

5.2. **A variant of Zorn's theorem.** In this appendix we prove

Proposition 5.13. *Let G be a finite group, and let $w = w(x, y)$ be a word in two variables such that: 1) if $w(x, y) \equiv 1$ in G then $G = \{1\}$; 2) the words x and $w(x, y)$ generate the free group $F_2 = \langle x, y\rangle$. Then G is nilpotent if and only if it satisfies one of the identities $[w(x, y), x, x, \ldots, x] = 1$.*

Proof. Necessity. Let G be a nilpotent group of class n. Since the element $e_n(x, y) = [w(x, y), x, \ldots, x]$ lies in the nth term of the invariant series, $e_n(x, y)$ is an identity.

Sufficiency. We want to prove that any G satisfying the identity $e_n(x, y) \equiv 1$ for some n is nilpotent. Assume the contrary.

Suppose that $n = 1$. Then according to assumption (1) of the proposition, the group G is trivial. Let $n > 1$. Let Γ denote a minimal counterexample, i.e. a non-nilpotent group of the smallest order satisfying the identity $e_n(x, y) \equiv 1$. Obviously, all subgroups of Γ are nilpotent. Then Γ is a Schmidt group, i.e. a non-nilpotent group all of whose proper subgroups are nilpotent (see [Sch], [Re] for the description of these groups). In particular, the commutator subgroup Γ' is the unique maximal Sylow subgroup in Γ. Since Γ' is nilpotent, it contains a non-trivial center $Z(\Gamma')$. Take a nontrivial $a \in Z(\Gamma')$. For any element $x \notin \Gamma'$ there exists $y \in G$ such that $w(x, y) = a$ (condition (2)). Consider the sequence $[a, x, x, \ldots, x] = [w(x, y), x, x, \ldots, x] = e_n(x, y)$. There exists n such that $[w(x, y), x, x, \ldots, x] \equiv 1$. Let n denote the smallest number satisfying this equality, and let $b = [w(x, y), x, x, \ldots, x] = e_{n-1}(x, y)$. Clearly, $b \in Z(\Gamma')$. Moreover, $[b, x] = e_n(x, y) = 1$ and hence b is a nontrivial element from $Z(\Gamma)$. Take $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$. Then the order of $\bar{\Gamma}$ is less than the order of Γ, hence $\bar{\Gamma}$ is nilpotent. Therefore Γ is nilpotent. Since $e_n(x, y)$ is an identity in Γ, we get a contradiction.

The proposition is proved. □

5.3. **Profinite setting.**

5.3.1. *Pseudovarieties of finite groups.* A *variety* of groups is a class C of groups defined by some set of identities T (i.e. $G \in C$ if and only if for every $u \in T$ the identity u holds in G). Birkhof's theorem says that C is a variety if and only if C is closed under taking subgroups, homomorphic images, and direct products. To work with classes of finite groups (which cannot be closed under taking infinite direct products), one needs a more general notion.

Definition 5.14. A *pseudovariety* of groups is a class of groups closed under taking subgroups, homomorphic images, and **finite** direct products.

By Birkhoff's theorem every variety of groups is a pseudovariety. We will be interested in pseudovarieties of all finite groups, all finite solvable groups, and all finite nilpotent groups.

Let $F = F(X^0)$ be a free group with countable set of generators X^0. Consider a sequence of words $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots$ in F. The sequence u determines a class of groups V_u by the rule: a group G belongs to V_u if and only if almost all elements u are identities in G. The class V_u is a pseudovariety. It turns out that this construction is universal:

Theorem 5.15. [ES] *For every pseudovariety of finite groups V there exists a sequence of elements $u\colon \mathbb{N} \to F$, $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots$ such that $V = V_u$.*

We will consider a special class of sequences.

Definition 5.16. Let X be a finite set. We say that a sequence of elements (not necessarily distinct) $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots$ of the free group $F(X)$ is *correct* if given any group G, as soon as an identity $u_n \equiv 1$ holds in G, for all $m > n$ the identities $u_m \equiv 1$ hold in G, too.

As above, a correct sequence u defines a pseudovariety of groups V by the rule: $G \in V$ if and only if some identity $u_n \equiv 1$, $u_n \in u$, holds in G.

Remark 5.17. If u is a correct sequence defining a pseudovariety V and v is a subsequence of u, then v is also correct and defines the same pseudovariety V.

Let $F = F(x, y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= [\, x, y \,], \\ e_{n+1} &= [e_n, y \,], \dots \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

This sequence is correct and defines the pseudovariety of all finite Engel groups. According to Zorn's theorem [Zo], this pseudovariety coincides with the pseudovariety of all finite nilpotent groups.

Our main sequence of quasi-Engel words

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= w = x^{-2}y^{-1}x, \\ u_{n+1} &= [x\, u_n\, x^{-1}, y\, u_n\, y^{-1}], \dots \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

is also correct, and according to Theorem 1.1 it defines the pseudovariety of all finite solvable groups.

5.3.2. *Residually finite groups.*

Definition 5.18. We say that a group G is *residually finite* if the intersection of all its normal subgroups of finite index H_α, $\alpha \in I$, is trivial.

Define a partial order on the set I by: $\alpha < \beta$ if and only if $H_\beta \subset H_\alpha$. The intersection of two normal subgroups of finite index is also of finite index, and therefore for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\alpha < \gamma$, $\beta < \gamma$. Thus the set I is directed.

Denote $G_\alpha = G/H_\alpha$. If $\alpha < \beta$ then there is a natural homomorphism $\varphi_\alpha^\beta : G_\beta \to G_\alpha$. If gH_β is an element of G_β then its image in G_α is gH_α. Let $\bar{G}$ be the direct product of all G_α. Then there is an embedding $G \to \bar{G}$ which associates to each $g \in G$ the element $\bar{g} = (gH_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$. Hence G can be approximated by finite groups G_α, i.e. if f, g are distinct elements of G then there is α such that $\bar{f}_\alpha$ and $\bar{g}_\alpha$ are distinct elements of G_α.

A group G is regarded as a topological group, with the topology defined by the system of neighbourhoods of 1 consisting of all normal subgroups of finite index H_α. The system of neighbourhoods of an element $g \in G$ is given by the cosets gH_α. The group $\bar{G}$ is also a topological group. To define the topology, consider the projections $\pi_\alpha \colon \bar{G} \to G_\alpha$. Let $\ker \pi_\alpha = U_\alpha$. Then $\bar{G}/U_\alpha$ is isomorphic to $G_\alpha = G/H_\alpha$. For every $g \in G$ the element $\bar{g}$ lies in U_α if and only if $g \in H_\alpha$. The system of neighbourhoods of 1 in $\bar{G}$ consists of all finite intersections of normal subgroups U_α. This defines the Tikhonov topology on $\bar{G}$. Since all groups G_α are finite, the group $\bar{G}$ is compact.

Let $g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots$ be a sequence of elements of G. As usual, we say that this sequence tends to 1 if for every neighbourhood H_α there exists a natural number $N = N(\alpha)$ such that for all $n > N$ the element g_n lies in H_α.

Definition 5.19. Let $F = F(X)$ be a free group. We say that a sequence $u = u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots$ of elements of F *identically converges to 1* in a group G if for any homomorphism $\mu \colon F \to G$ the sequence $\mu(u) = \mu(u_1), \dots, \mu(u_n), \dots$ tends to 1 in G. In this case we write $u \equiv 1$ in G.

Proposition 5.20. *Let X be a finite set. If a sequence $u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots$ identically converges to 1 in G then for every neighbourhood H_α there exists $N = N(\alpha)$ such that all $u_n, n > N$, are identities of the group G/H_α.*

Proof. Take a homomorphism $\mu \colon F \to G$, and let $\mu^0 \colon G \to G/H_\alpha$ be the natural projection. Then $\nu = \mu^0\mu$ is a homomorphism $F \to G/H_\alpha$, and every homomorphism $\nu \colon F \to G/H_\alpha$ can be represented in this way. Since both G/H_α and X are finite, the set of different ν's is also finite. Denote them $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k\}$.

Define an equivalence relation on the set of all homomorphisms $\mu \colon F \to G$ by: $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$ if $\mu^0\mu_1 = \mu^0\mu_2$. For an arbitrary $u \in F$ we have $\mu(u) \in H_\alpha$ if and only if $\mu^0\mu(u) = 1$. Thus, if $\mu_1 \equiv \mu_2$ then for every $u \in F$ we have $\mu_1(u) \in H_\alpha$ if and only if $\mu_2(u) \in H_\alpha$. Indeed, let $\mu_1(u) \in H_\alpha$. Then $\mu^0\mu_1(u) = 1 = \mu^0\mu_2(u) = 1$, and $\mu_2(u) \in H_\alpha$.

For every ν_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$ take μ_i such that $\mu^0\mu_i = \nu_i$. Consider the equivalence classes $[\mu_1], \dots, [\mu_k]$. Each $\mu\colon F \to G$ belongs to one of these classes. Since the sequence $u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots$ identically converges to 1 in G, for every $\mu\colon F \to G$ there exists $N = N(\alpha, \mu)$ such that $\mu(u_n) \in H_\alpha$ for $n > N$. Let N_0 be the maximum of $N(\alpha, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. If $n > N_0$ then $\mu_i(u_n) \in H_\alpha$ for every μ_i. Since every μ is equivalent to some μ_i, we have $\mu(u_n) \in H_\alpha$ for every μ. This means that $\nu(u_n) = 1$ for every $\nu : F \to G/H_\alpha$. Thus the element u_n defines an identity of the group G/H_α. □

5.3.3. *Profinite groups.* We now focus on profinite groups, with a goal to establish a relationship with pseudovarieties and give another reformulation of our main result. Generalities on profinite groups can be found in [RZ], [Al1], etc. We recall here some basic notions.

Let V be a pseudovariety of finite groups. Given a group G, consider all its normal subgroups of finite index H_α such that $G/H_\alpha = G_\alpha \in V$. If the intersection of all these H_α is trivial, we say that G is a *residually V-group*. This is a topological group with V-topology (the subgroups H_α as above are taken as the neighbourhoods of 1).

Let $\bar{G}$ be the direct product of all G_α. Denote by $\widehat{G}$ a subgroup in $\bar{G}$ defined as follows: an element $f \in \bar{G}$ belongs to $\widehat{G}$ if and only if for every α and β such that $H_\beta \subset H_\alpha$ the equality $\varphi_\alpha^\beta(f_\beta) = f_\alpha$ holds. Denote $f_\alpha = g_\alpha H_\alpha$. Then

$$\varphi_\alpha^\beta(g_\beta H_\beta) = g_\alpha H_\alpha = g_\beta H_\alpha.$$

Recall that φ_α^β are natural homomorphisms.

The group $\widehat{G}$ turns out to be the completion of G in its V-topology [ESt].

Such a group $\widehat{G}$ is called a *pro-V-group*. If V is the pseudovariety of all finite groups, $\widehat{G}$ is called *a profinite group*. Thus in the class of all profinite groups one can distinguish subclasses related to particular pseudovarieties V.

A free group $F = F(X)$ is residually finite. Take all normal subgroups of finite index in F. They define the profinite topology in F. Denote by $\widehat{F}$ the completion of F in this topology. This group is a free profinite group (see, for example, [RZ]).

Indeed, if $\widehat{G}$ is the profinite completion of an arbitrary residually finite group G, then every map $\mu\colon X \to \widehat{G}$ induces a homomorphism $\mu\colon F \to \widehat{G}$ which turns out to be a continuous homomorphism of topological groups and therefore induces a continuous homomorphism $\hat{\mu}\colon \widehat{F} \to \widehat{G}$.

Another approach to free profinite groups is based on the idea of implicit operations (cf. [Al1], [Al2], [AV], [MSW], [We], etc.). This approach has a lot of advantages but we do not use it since it needs additional notions which are not necessary for our aims.

Definition 5.21. Let $f \in \widehat{F}$. The expression $f \equiv 1$ is called a *profinite identity* of a profinite group $\widehat{G}$ if for every continuous homomorphism $\widehat{\mu}\colon \widehat{F} \to \widehat{G}$ we have $\widehat{\mu}(f) = 1$.

Definition 5.22. (see also [AV], [Al1]) A variety of profinite groups (for brevity, a *provariety*) is a class of profinite groups defined by some set of profinite identities.

An analogue of Birkhoff's theorem for profinite groups says that a class of profinite groups is a provariety if and only if it is closed under taking closed subgroups, images under continuous homomorphisms, and direct products. This implies that for an arbitrary pseudovariety V of finite groups, the class of all pro-V-groups is a provariety. The converse statement is also true. For any provariety C there exists a pseudovariety of finite groups V such that the class of all pro-V-groups coincides with C. In the case where V is a correct pseudovariety of finite groups (i.e., is defined by a correct sequence), one can construct identities defining the provariety of pro-V-groups in an explicit form.

Let X be a finite set. Let $u = u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots$ be a sequence of elements of a free group $F = F(X)$. Since $\widehat{F}$ is a compact group, there exists a convergent subsequence $v = v_1, \dots, v_m, \dots$ of u.

Proposition 5.23. *Let $v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \dots$ be a convergent sequence of elements of F with $\lim \bar{v}_n = f$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a profinite group. Then the identity $f \equiv 1$ holds in $\widehat{G}$ if and only if $v \equiv 1$ in G (i.e. v identically converges to 1 in G, see Definition 5.19).*

Proof. First of all the sequence $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_n, \dots$ converges to 1 in $\widehat{G}$ if and only if $g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots$ converges to 1 in G.

Let the identity $f \equiv 1$ be fulfilled in $\widehat{G}$. Then

$$\widehat{\mu}(f) = \lim \widehat{\mu}(\bar{v}_n) = \lim \overline{\mu(v_n)} = 1.$$

Thus, $\lim \mu(v_n) = 1$ in G. This means that $v \equiv 1$ in G. Conversely, let $v \equiv 1$ in G. Then for every $\mu\colon F \to G$ the sequence $\mu(v)$ converges to 1 in G. The sequence $\overline{\mu(v)}$ converges to 1 in $\widehat{G}$. Using

$$\lim \widehat{\mu}(\bar{v}_n) = \lim \overline{\mu(v_n)} = 1 = \widehat{\mu}(f),$$

we conclude that $\widehat{\mu}(f) = 1$ for arbitrary μ. This means that the identity $f \equiv 1$ holds in $\widehat{G}$. □

Let V be a pseudovariety of finite groups defined by a correct sequence $u = u_1$, $u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots$, and let $v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \dots$ be a convergent subsequence of u. Denote the limit of v by f. Since u is a correct sequence, v determines the same class V as u.

Theorem 5.24. *With the above notation, the class of all pro-V-groups is the provariety defined by the profinite identity $f \equiv 1$.*

Proof. Let the profinite identity $f \equiv 1$ hold in a profinite group $\widehat{G}$. Then by Proposition 5.23, $v \equiv 1$ in G. Proposition 5.20 implies that for every neighbourhood H in G and all sufficiently large n the identity $v_n \equiv 1$ holds in G/H. This means that G/H lies in V and $\widehat{G}$ is a pro-V-group.

Conversely, let G/H lie in V. By the definition of V, this means that v identically converges to 1 in G. Therefore, the identity $f \equiv 1$ holds in $\widehat{G}$. □

Remark 5.25. Although all convergent subsequences of a correct sequence define the same pseudovariety, their limits may be different. For example, consider a correct sequence of the form $u = v_1, av_1a^{-1}, v_2, av_2a^{-1}, \dots, v_n, av_na^{-1}, \dots$, where $a \in F$ and

$v = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, \ldots$ is a correct convergent sequence. If the limit of the subsequence v is f, we get a new convergent subsequence $v' = av_1a^{-1}, av_2a^{-1}, \ldots, av_na^{-1}, \ldots$ with limit afa^{-1}. However, the elements f and afa^{-1} define the same variety.

Corollary 5.26. *Let $F = F(x, y)$, and let u_n be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= w, \\ u_{n+1} &= [u_n, y], \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $w = [x, y]$ or w is any word satisfying the conditions the hypotheses of Proposition 5.13.

Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m, \ldots$ be any convergent subsequence of (5.7) with limit f from $\widehat{F}$. Then the identity $f \equiv 1$ defines the profinite variety of pronilpotent groups.

Proof. The corollary immediately follows from Proposition 5.13, Zorn's theorem, and Theorem 5.24. □

Theorem 5.27. *Let $F = F(x, y)$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= w = x^{-2}yx^{-1}, \\ u_{n+1} &= [xu_nx^{-1}, yu_ny^{-1}], \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

be our main sequence, and let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m, \ldots$ be any convergent subsequence of (5.8) with limit f from $\widehat{F}$. Then the identity $f \equiv 1$ defines the profinite variety of prosolvable groups.

Proof. The theorem immediately follows from Theorems 1.1 and 5.24. □

We can now state the profinite analogue of the Thompson–Flavell theorem.

Corollary 5.28. *A profinite group G is prosolvable if and only if every closed two-generator subgroup of G is prosolvable.*

Proof. Let every two-generator subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ be prosolvable. Take an element $f \in \widehat{F}(x, y)$ which is the limit of a convergent subsequence of our sequence u. Let μ be an arbitrary continuous homomorphism $\widehat{F}(x, y) \to \widehat{G}$. Then $\mu(f) = 1$ since $\mu(f)$ lies in a two-generator subgroup of $\widehat{G}$. This is true for arbitrary μ and, therefore, $f \equiv 1$. According to Theorem 5.27, $\widehat{G}$ is prosolvable. □

Corollary 5.26 and Theorem 5.27 should be compared with results of J. Almeida [Al2]. He used the language of implicit operations and the notion of $n!$-type convergent subsequence to get nice proofs of theorems of similar type. He also noticed that if our main theorem about solvable groups is true for the sequence ${}^wu_n$ with $w = [x, y]$, the $n!$ version of the corresponding statement is also true. We were now able to formulate the theorem for our sequence (5.6).

Remark 5.29. It is still not clear whether one can take $w = [x, y]$. We believe that the answer is "yes".

References

[AS] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, *On the degree of the L-functions associated with an exponential sum*, Compositio Math. **68** (1998), 125–159.

[Al1] J. Almeida, *Finite Semigroups and Universal Algebra*, World Scientific, 1994.

[Al2] J. Almeida, *Dynamics of implicit operations and tameness of pseudovarieties of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 387–411.

[AV] J. Almeida and M. Volkov, *Profinite methods in semigroup theory*, Preprint CMUP 2001-02.

[AP] Y. Aubry and M. Perret, *A Weil theorem for singular curves*, In: "Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory", R. Pellikaan, M. Perret, and S. G. Vlăduţ (eds.), Walter de Gruyter, Berlin–New York, 1996, pp. 1–7.

[BP] M. Boffa and F. Point, *Identités de Thue–Morse dans les groupes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **312** (1991), 667–670.

[Bo] E. Bombieri, *Thompson's problem* $\sigma^2 = 3$, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), 77–100.

[Br] R. Brandl, *Zur Theorie der untergruppenabgeschlossenen Formationen: endliche Varietäten*, J. Algebra **73** (1981), 1–22.

[BN] R. Brandl and D. Nikolova, *Simple groups of small Engel depth*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **33** (1986), 245–251.

[BW] R. Brandl and J. S. Wilson, *Characterization of finite soluble groups by laws in a small number of variables*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), 334–341.

[Bu] B. Buchberger, *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal*, PhD Thesis, Univ. of Innsbruck, Austria, 1965.

[BM] R. G. Burns and Yu. Medvedev, *A note on Engel groups and local nilpotence*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **64** (1998), 92–100.

[De] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil II*, Inst. Hautes Études Scient. Publ. Math. **52** (1981), 313–428.

[DL] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), 103–161.

[ES] S. Eilenberg and S. Schützenberger, *On pseudovarieties*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), 413–418.

[ESt] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1952.

[Fl] P. Flavell, *Finite groups in which every two elements generate a soluble group*, Invent. Math. **121** (1995), 279–285.

[FJ] M. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[Fu] K. Fujiwara, *Rigid geometry, Lefschetz–Verdier trace formula and Deligne's conjecture*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), 480–533.

[GL] S. R. Ghorpade and G. Lachaud, *Etale cohomology, Lefschetz theorems and number of points of singular varieties over finite fields*, Moscow Math. J. **2** (2002), 589–631.

[GP1] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, *Advances and improvements in the theory of standard bases and syzygies*, Arch. Math. **66** (1996), 163–176.

[GP2] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, *Gröbner bases and algebraic geometry*, In: "Gröbner Bases and Applications", B. Buchberger and F. Winkler (eds.), Lecture Notes Ser. **251**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998, pp. 109–143.

[GP3] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, *A* Singular *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin et al., 2002.

[GP4] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, *Computer algebra and finite groups*, In: Proc. First Intern. Congr. Math. Software, Beijing 2002, A. Cohen, X.-S. Gao, and N. Takayama (eds.), World Scientific, 2002.

[GPS] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, Singular 2.0. *A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations*, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2001, `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de` .

[Gr] K. Gruenberg, *Two theorems on Engel groups*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **49** (1953), 377–380.

[GKNP] F. Grunewald, B. Kunyavskiĭ, D. Nikolova, and E. Plotkin, *Two-variable identities in groups and Lie algebras*, Zap. Nauch. Semin. POMI **272** (2000), 161–176; J. Math. Sci. (New York), to appear.

[Gu] N. D. Gupta, *Some group laws equivalent to the commutative law*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **17** (1966), 97–102.

[GH] N. D. Gupta and H. Heineken, *Groups with a two-variable commutator identity*, Math. Z. **95** (1967), 276–287.

[HB] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups*, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1982.

[Ka1] N. M. Katz, *Affine cohomological transforms, perversity, and monodromy*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 149–222.

[Ka2] N. M. Katz, *Sums of Betti numbers in arbitrary characteristics*, Finite Fields and Their Applications **7** (2001), 29–44.

[Ka3] N. M. Katz, *L-functions and monodromy: four lectures on Weil II*, Preprint, `http://www.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/arizona34.pdf` .

[Ko] A. N. Kostrikin, *Around Burnside*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986; English transl. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1990.

[LW] S. Lang and A. Weil, *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 819–827.

[LY] D. Leep and C. Yeomans, *The number of points on a singular curve over a finite field*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **63** (1994), 420–426.

[Lu] A. Lubotzky, Pro-finite presentations, J. Algebra **242** (2001), 672–690.

[MSW] S. Margolis, M. Sapir, and P. Weil, *Closed subgroups in pro-V topologies and the extension problem for inverse automata*, Intern. J. Algebra and Computation **11** (2001), 405–445.

[Ne] H. Neumann, *Varieties of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.

[Ni1] D. Nikolova, *Groups with a two-variable commutator identity*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **36** (1983), 721–724.

[Ni2] D. Nikolova, *Solubility of finite groups with a two-variable commutator identity*, Serdica **11** (1985), 59–63.

[Pi] R. Pink, *On the calculation of local terms of the Lefschetz–Verdier trace formula and its application to a conjecture of Deligne*, Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), 483–525.

[Pla] V. P. Platonov, *Linear groups with identical relations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR **11** (1967), 581–582. (Russian)

[Plo1] B. I. Plotkin, *On nilgroups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **94** (1954), 999–1001. (Russian)

[Plo2] B. I. Plotkin, *Radical groups*, Mat. Sb. N.S. **37(79)** (1955), 507–526; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **17** (1961), 9–28.

[Plo3] B. I. Plotkin, *Generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **13** (1958), *no.*4, 89–172; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **17** (1961), 29–115.

[PPT] B. Plotkin, E. Plotkin, and A. Tsurkov, *Geometrical equivalence of groups*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 4015–4025.

[RSS] A. S. Rapinchuk, Y. Segev, and G. M. Seitz, *Finite quotients of the multiplicative group of finite dimensional division algebras are solvable*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 929–978.

[Re] L. Rédei, *Die endlichen einstufig nichtnilpotenten Gruppen*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1956), 303–324.

[RZ] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[Sch] O. J. Schmidt, *Groups all of whose subgroups are special*, Mat. Sbornik **31** (1924), 366–372. (Russian)

[Se] Y. Segev, *On finite homomorphic images of the multiplicative group of a division algebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 219–251.

[SS] Y. Segev and G. Seitz, *Anisotropic groups of type A_n and the commuting graph of finite simple groups*, Pacific J. Math. **202** (2002), 125–225.

[SGA4$\frac{1}{2}$] *Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie* SGA 4$\frac{1}{2}$, *Cohomologie Étale* (P. Deligne et al.), Lecture Notes Math. **569**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin et al., 1977.

[SGA5] *Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–66* SGA 5, *Cohomologie ℓ-adique et Fonctions L* (A. Grothendieck et al., L. Illusie, ed.), Lecture Notes Math. **589**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin et al., 1977.

[Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin et al., 1994.

[Th] J. Thompson, *Non-solvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 383–437.

[Ti] J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*, J. Algebra **20** (1972), 250–270.

[We] P. Weil, *Profinite methods in semigroups*, Intern. J. Algebra and Computation **12** (2002), 137–178.

[Wi] J. S. Wilson, *Two-generator conditions for residually finite groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 239–248.

[WZ] J. S. Wilson and E. Zelmanov, *Identities for Lie algebras of pro-p groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **81** (1992), 103–109.

[Ze1] E. I. Zelmanov, *Engel Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **29** (1988), *no.* 5, 112–117, 238; English transl. in Siberian Math. J. **29** (1988), 777–781.

[Ze2] E. I. Zelmanov, *Solution of the restricted Burnside problem for groups of odd exponent*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **54** (1990), 42–59; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. **36** (1991), 41–60.

[Ze3] E. I. Zelmanov, *Solution of the restricted Burnside problem for* 2*-groups*, Mat. Sb. **182** (1991), 568–592; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. **72** (1992), 543–565.

[Zi] T. Zink, *The Lefschetz trace formula for an open algebraic surface*, In: "Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties and L-Functions", Proc. Conf. Ann Arbor 1988 (L. Clozel and J. S. Milne, eds.), Perspectives in Math. **11**, Academic Press, Boston, 1990, pp. 337–376.

[Zo] M. Zorn, *Nilpotency of finite groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 485–486.

Bandman, Kunyavskiĭ and Plotkin: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat Gan, ISRAEL

E-mail address: bandman@macs.biu.ac.il , kunyav@macs.biu.ac.il, plotkin@macs.biu.ac.il

Greuel and Pfister: Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Kaiserslautern, Postfach 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, GERMANY

E-mail address: greuel@mathematik.uni-kl.de, pfister@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Grunewald: Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heinrich Heine Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, GERMANY

E-mail address: grunewald@math.uni-duesseldorf.de